



PHYSICIAN GUIDELINES

**Current, Evidence-based
Recommendations Regarding Cardiology**

Effective: June 22, 2018

Please note the following:

CPT Copyright 2016 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Table of Contents

CPT Code	Page
Cardiac Rhythm Implantable Device (CRID) Guidelines	6
33206 Insertion of New or Replacement of Permanent Pacemaker with Transvenous Electrode(s); Atrial	6
33207 Insertion of New or replacement of permanent pacemaker with transvenous electrode(s); ventricular	6
33208 Insertion of new or replacement of permanent pacemaker with transvenous electrode(s); atrial and ventricular	6
33212 Insertion of pacemaker pulse generator only; with existing single lead	6
33213 Insertion of pacemaker pulse generator only; with existing dual leads	6
33214 Upgrade of implanted pacemaker system, conversion of single chamber system to dual chamber system (includes removal of previously placed pulse generator, testing of existing lead, insertion of new lead, insertion of new generator)	6
33221 Insertion of pacemaker pulse generator only; with existing multiple leads	6
33224 Insertion of pacing electrode, cardiac venous system, for left ventricular pacing, with attachment to previously placed pacemaker or implantable defibrillator pulse generator (including revision of pocket, removal, insertion, and/or replacement of existing generator)	6
33225 Insertion of pacing electrode, cardiac venous system, for left ventricular pacing, at time of insertion of implantable defibrillator or pacemaker pulse generator (i.e., for upgrade to dual chamber system) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)	6
33227 Removal of permanent pacemaker pulse generator with replacement of pacemaker pulse generator; single lead system	6
33228 Removal of permanent pacemaker pulse generator with replacement of pacemaker pulse generator; dual lead system	7
33229 Removal of permanent pacemaker pulse generator with replacement of pacemaker pulse generator; multiple lead system	7
33230 Insertion of implantable-defibrillator pulse generator only; with existing dual leads	7
33231 Insertion of implantable-defibrillator pulse generator only; with existing multiple leads	7
33240 Insertion of implantable-defibrillator pulse generator only; with existing single lead	7
33249 Insertion or replacement of permanent implantable-defibrillator system with transvenous lead(s) single or dual chamber	7
33262 Removal of implantable-defibrillator pulse generator with replacement of implantable - defibrillator pulse generator; single lead system	7
33263 Removal of implantable-defibrillator pulse generator with replacement of implantable - defibrillator pulse generator; dual lead system	7
33264 Removal of implantable-defibrillator pulse generator with replacement of pacing cardioverter-defibrillator pulse generator; multiple lead system	7
33270 Insertion or replacement of permanent subcutaneous implantable defibrillator system, with subcutaneous electrode, including defibrillation threshold evaluation, induction of arrhythmia, evaluation of sensing for arrhythmia termination, and programming or reprogramming of sensing or therapeutic parameters when performed	7
I. Definite Indications for Permanent Pacemaker Implantation	9
II. Reasonable Indications for Permanent Pacemaker Implantation	11

III.	Non-Indications	12
IV.	Indications for CRT-P	12
V.	Indications for Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (CRT)-D Implantation	13
VI.	Definite Indications for ICD Implantation	14
VII.	Reasonable Indications for ICD Implantation	15
VIII.	ICD Implantation—Non-Indications	17
Echocardiography		21
93303	Transthoracic Echocardiography for Congenital Cardiac Anomalies; Complete	21
93304	Transthoracic Echocardiography for Congenital Cardiac Anomalies; Follow-up or Limited Study	21
93306	Echocardiography, Transthoracic, Real-time with Image Documentation (2D), Includes M-mode Recording, when Performed, Complete, with Spectral Doppler Echocardiography, and with Color Flow Doppler Echocardiography	21
93307	Echocardiography, Transthoracic, Real-time with Image Documentation (2D) with or without M-mode Recording; Complete	21
93308	Echocardiography, Transthoracic, Real-time with Image Documentation (2D) with or without M-mode Recording; Follow-up or Limited Study	21
93320	Doppler Echocardiography, Pulsed Wave and/or Continuous Wave with Spectral Display; Complete	21
93321	Doppler Echocardiography, Pulsed Wave and/or Continuous Wave with Spectral Display; Follow-up or Limited Study	21
93325	Doppler Echocardiography Color Flow Velocity Mapping	21
I.	Transthoracic Echocardiography (TTE)	22
II.	3D Echocardiography	26
Stress Echocardiography		29
93350	Echocardiography, Transthoracic, Real-Time with Image Documentation (2D), Includes M-Mode Recording, when Performed, During Rest and Cardiovascular Stress Test Using Treadmill, Bicycle Exercise and/or Pharmacologically Induced Stress, with Interpretation and Report with or without M-Mode Recording, During Rest and Cardiovascular Stress Test, with Interpretation and Report	29
93351	Echocardiography, Transthoracic, Real-Time with Image Documentation (2D), Includes M-Mode Recording, when Performed, During Rest and Cardiovascular Stress Test Using Treadmill, Bicycle Exercise and/or Pharmacologically Induced Stress, with Interpretation and Report with or without M-Mode Recording, During Rest and Cardiovascular Stress Test, with Interpretation and Report; Including Performance of Continuous Electrocardiographic Monitoring, with Supervision by a Qualified Healthcare Professional	29
I.	Stress Echocardiography (Stress Echo)	30
II.	General Issues – Cardiac	31
III.	Stress Testing without Imaging – Procedures	32
IV.	Stress Testing with Imaging-Procedures	33
V.	Stress Testing with Imaging - Indications	33
VI.	Stress Testing with Imaging – Preoperative	35
VII.	Transplant Patients	36
VIII.	Non-imaging Heart Function and Cardiac Shunt Imaging	36
IX.	Genetic lab testing in the evaluation of CAD	37

Diagnostic Heart Catheterization	39
93452 Left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, imaging supervision and interpretation, when performed	39
93453 Combined right and left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, imaging supervision and interpretation, when performed	39
93454 Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation	39
93455 Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with catheter placement(s) in bypass graft(s) (internal mammary, free arterial venous grafts) including intraprocedural injection(s) for bypass graft angiography	39
93456 Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with right heart catheterization	39
93457 Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with catheter placement(s) in bypass graft(s) (internal mammary, free arterial, venous grafts) including intraprocedural injection(s) for bypass graft angiography and right heart catheterization	40
93458 Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, when performed	40
93459 Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, when performed, catheter placement(s) in bypass graft(s) (internal mammary, free arterial, venous grafts) with bypass graft angiography	40
93460 Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with right and left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, when performed	40
93461 Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with right and left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, when performed, catheter placement(s) in bypass graft(s) (internal mammary, free arterial, venous grafts) with bypass graft angiography	41
I. Diagnostic Left Heart Catheterization (LHC)	42
II. Right Heart Catheterization (RHC)	43
III. Combined Right and Left Heart Catheterization Indications	44
IV. Planned (Staged) Coronary Interventions	44

Cardiac Rhythm Implantable Device (CRID) Guidelines

- 33206** Insertion of New or Replacement of Permanent Pacemaker with Transvenous Electrode(s); Atrial
- 33207** Insertion of New or replacement of permanent pacemaker with transvenous electrode(s); ventricular
- 33208** Insertion of new or replacement of permanent pacemaker with transvenous electrode(s); atrial and ventricular
- 33212** Insertion of pacemaker pulse generator only; with existing single lead
- 33213** Insertion of pacemaker pulse generator only; with existing dual leads
- 33214** Upgrade of implanted pacemaker system, conversion of single chamber system to dual chamber system (includes removal of previously placed pulse generator, testing of existing lead, insertion of new lead, insertion of new generator)
- 33221** Insertion of pacemaker pulse generator only; with existing multiple leads
- 33224** Insertion of pacing electrode, cardiac venous system, for left ventricular pacing, with attachment to previously placed pacemaker or implantable defibrillator pulse generator (including revision of pocket, removal, insertion, and/or replacement of existing generator)
- 33225** Insertion of pacing electrode, cardiac venous system, for left ventricular pacing, at time of insertion of implantable defibrillator or pacemaker pulse generator (i.e., for upgrade to dual chamber system) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
- 33227** Removal of permanent pacemaker pulse generator with replacement of pacemaker pulse generator; single lead system

- 33228** Removal of permanent pacemaker pulse generator with replacement of pacemaker pulse generator; dual lead system
- 33229** Removal of permanent pacemaker pulse generator with replacement of pacemaker pulse generator; multiple lead system
- 33230** Insertion of implantable-defibrillator pulse generator only; with existing dual leads
- 33231** Insertion of implantable-defibrillator pulse generator only; with existing multiple leads
- 33240** Insertion of implantable-defibrillator pulse generator only; with existing single lead
- 33249** Insertion or replacement of permanent implantable-defibrillator system with transvenous lead(s) single or dual chamber
- 33262** Removal of implantable-defibrillator pulse generator with replacement of implantable - defibrillator pulse generator; single lead system
- 33263** Removal of implantable-defibrillator pulse generator with replacement of implantable - defibrillator pulse generator; dual lead system
- 33264** Removal of implantable-defibrillator pulse generator with replacement of pacing cardioverter-defibrillator pulse generator; multiple lead system
- 33270** Insertion or replacement of permanent subcutaneous implantable defibrillator system, with subcutaneous electrode, including defibrillation threshold evaluation, induction of arrhythmia, evaluation of sensing for arrhythmia termination, and programming or reprogramming of sensing or therapeutic parameters when performed

Abbreviations

ACE inhibitor	angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor
AMI	acute myocardial infarction
ARVC	arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy
CC	complications/comorbid conditions
CHF	congestive heart failure
CM	cardiomyopathy
CRT	cardiac resynchronization therapy
EP	electrophysiology
ICD	implantable cardioverter defibrillator
LV	left ventricular
LVEF	left ventricular ejection fraction
MCC	major complications/comorbid conditions
MI	myocardial infarction
NCCM	non-compaction cardiomyopathy
NYHA	New York Heart Association functional classification
VF	ventricular fibrillation
VT	ventricular tachycardia

Glossary

Class	NYHA Heart Failure Definitions
I	No symptoms and no limitation in ordinary physical activity, e.g. shortness of breath when walking, climbing stairs etc.
II	Mild symptoms (mild shortness of breath and/or angina) and slight limitation during ordinary activity.
III	Marked limitation in activity due to symptoms, even during less-than-ordinary activity, e.g. walking short distances (20–100 m). Comfortable only at rest.
IV	Severe limitations. Experiences symptoms even while at rest. Mostly bedbound patients
<p>Abnormal blood pressure response to exercise: Flat response/failure to augment; rise then fall during exercise; vasoactive cardiovascular drugs may result in an abnormal blood pressure response to exercise</p>	
<p>Non-Sustained Ventricular Tachycardia (NSVT): Three or more consecutive ventricular beats at a rate of greater than 120 beats/min with a duration of less than 30 seconds</p>	
<p>Incessant VT: Frequent recurrences of ongoing hemodynamically stable VT</p>	
<p>Long QT Syndrome (LQTS): A congenital disorder characterized by a prolongation of the QT interval on ECG and a propensity to ventricular tachyarrhythmias, which may lead to syncope, cardiac arrest, or sudden death.</p> <p>The QT interval on the ECG, measured from the beginning of the QRS complex to the end of the T wave, represents the duration of activation and recovery of the ventricular myocardium. QT intervals corrected for heart rate (QTc) longer than 0.44 seconds are generally considered abnormal, though a normal QTc can be more prolonged in females (up to 0.46 sec). The Bazett formula is the formula most commonly used to calculate the QTc, as follows: $QTc = QT / \sqrt{RR}$ (in seconds).</p>	
<p>Optimal Medical Therapy: Three months of heart failure medications in maximally titrated doses as tolerated. These include beta blockers, ACE inhibitors or ARBs, and diuretics.</p>	
<p>Structural Heart Disease: A structural or functional abnormality of the heart, or of the blood vessels supplying the heart, that impairs its normal functioning.</p>	
<p>Non-Compaction Cardiomyopathy: A rare congenital cardiomyopathy that affects children and adults. It results from the failure of myocardial development during embryogenesis. It is also called spongiform cardiomyopathy. Symptoms are often a result of a poor pumping performance by the heart. The disease can be associated with other problems with the heart and the body.</p>	

I. Definite Indications for Permanent Pacemaker Implantation

A. Symptomatic Bradycardia

1. Permanent pacemaker implantation is indicated for symptomatic bradycardia including:
 - a. Frequent sinus pauses that produce symptoms and any degree of AV block producing symptoms

- b. Required drug therapy for medical conditions (for example, beta blocker therapy in patients with prior myocardial infarction)
- B. Symptomatic Chronotropic Incompetence
 - 1. Permanent pacemaker implantation is indicated for symptomatic chronotropic incompetence defined as limitations due to the inability to achieve 80 percent of the predicted maximum heart rate (220-age)
- C. Indications for Asymptomatic Patients
 - 1. Permanent pacemaker implantation is indicated for asymptomatic patients with third degree AV block
 - 2. Permanent pacemaker implantation is indicated for asymptomatic patients with advanced second degree AV block (Mobitz type II) and intermittent third Degree AV Block
 - 3. Permanent pacemaker implantation is indicated for asymptomatic patients with second degree AV block and documented periods of asystole greater than or equal to 3.0 seconds
 - 4. Permanent pacemaker implantation is indicated for second degree AV block in awake, symptom-free patients with atrial fibrillation and a documented pause of 5 seconds or longer
 - 5. Permanent pacemaker implantation is indicated for an alternating bundle-branch block in asymptomatic patients.
 - 6. Permanent pacemaker implantation is indicated for asymptomatic patients with second degree AV block at any anatomic level associated with neuromuscular diseases known to involve the heart
- D. Prior to Planned Catheter Ablation
 - 1. Permanent pacemaker implantation is indicated prior to a planned catheter ablation of the AV junction intended for a rate control strategy for management of atrial fibrillation
- E. Persistent Second Degree AV Block
 - 1. Permanent pacemaker implantation is indicated for persistent second degree AV block in the His-Purkinje system with alternating bundle branch block or third degree AV block within or below the His-Purkinje system after myocardial infarction
- F. Syncope
 - 1. Permanent pacemaker implantation is indicated for syncope caused by spontaneously occurring carotid sinus stimulation and carotid sinus pressure that induces ventricular asystole of more than 3 seconds

II. Reasonable Indications for Permanent Pacemaker Implantation

A. General Considerations

1. For the “reasonable” or “considered” indications listed in this guideline, consensus opinion is less clear about permanent pacing in these settings, with evidence suggesting that device placement may be reasonable or may be considered

B. Sinus Node dysfunction

1. Permanent pacemaker implantation is reasonable for individuals with sinus node dysfunction with a resting heart rate less than 40 beats per minute when periodic symptomatic bradycardia is suspected

C. Syncope

1. Permanent pacemaker implantation may be reasonable or may be considered for individuals with syncope in the following settings:
 - a. Syncope of unexplained origin when clinically significant abnormalities of sinus node function are discovered or provoked in electrophysiological studies
 - b. Syncope without clear, provocative events and with a hypersensitive cardioinhibitory response of 3 seconds or longer
 - c. Significantly symptomatic neurocardiogenic syncope associated with Bradycardia documented spontaneously or at the time of tilt table testing

D. Asymptomatic Second Degree AV Block

1. Permanent pacemaker implantation is reasonable for individuals with asymptomatic second degree AV block at intra- or infra- His levels found at electrophysiological study Intra- or infra-His bundle block is demonstrated on electrophysiologic study

E. First or Second AV Block

1. Permanent pacemaker implantation is reasonable for individuals with first or second degree AV block with symptoms similar to those of pacemaker syndrome Symptoms similar to pacemaker syndrome

F. Symptomatic Recurrent SVT

1. Permanent pacemaker implantation is reasonable for individuals with symptomatic, recurrent SVT that is reproducibly terminated by pacing when catheter ablation and/or drugs fail to control the arrhythmia or produce intolerable side effects

G. Relative Bradycardia – Postoperative Cardiac Transplant

1. Permanent pacemaker implantation may be considered for individuals when relative bradycardia is prolonged or recurrent, which limits rehabilitation or discharge after postoperative recovery from cardiac transplantation

H. Incidental Finding at Electrophysiology (EP) Study

1. Permanent pacemaker implantation may be reasonable for an incidental finding at electrophysiology study of a markedly prolonged HV interval (greater than or equal to 100 milliseconds) or non-physiological intra- or infra- Hisian block in asymptomatic patients

I. Neuromuscular Diseases Known to Involve the Heart

1. Permanent pacemaker implantation may be considered for progressive neuromuscular diseases known to involve the heart with any degree of AV block (including first degree AV block) or any fascicular block, with or without symptoms, because there may be unpredictable progression of AV conduction disease. Progressive neuromuscular diseases known to involve the heart include:
 - a. Myotonic muscular dystrophy
 - b. Kearns-Sayre syndrome
 - c. Erb dystrophy (limb-girdle muscular dystrophy)
 - d. Peroneal muscular atrophy

III. Non-Indications

A. Permanent pacemaker implantation is not indicated in any of the following settings:

1. Sinus node dysfunction in asymptomatic patients
2. Sinus node dysfunction in patients for whom the symptoms, suggestive of bradycardia, have been clearly documented to occur in the absence of bradycardia
3. Fascicular block without AV block or symptoms concerning for AV block
4. Incidentally noted hypersensitive cardioinhibitory response to carotid sinus stimulation without symptoms or with vague symptoms
5. Asymptomatic first degree AV block
6. Asymptomatic type I second degree AV block at the supra-His (AV node) level or that which is not known to be intra- or infra-Hisian
7. Permanent ventricular pacing not indicated for asymptomatic transient AV block in the absence of intraventricular conduction defects or in isolated single fascicular block
8. Permanent pacing not indicated for situational vasovagal syncope in which avoidance behavior is effective

IV. Indications for CRT-P

A. High grade AV block and NYHA Class I, II or III Congestive Heart Failure

1. CRT-P implantation is indicated in individuals who have all of the following:
 - a. LV ejection fraction less than 50% **and**
 - b. NYHA Class I, II, or III heart failure **and**
 - c. High grade AV block, including AV nodal ablation, requiring more than 40% ventricular pacing

V. Indications for Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (CRT)-D Implantation

- A. Sinus Rhythm, Dilated Cardiomyopathy with NYHA Class II, III, or IV Congestive Heart Failure (CHF)
1. CRT-D implantation is indicated in individuals with ischemic or nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy who have **all** of the following:
 - a. Left bundle branch block with QRS greater than or equal to 150 msec **and**
 - b. LV ejection fraction less than or equal to 35% **and**
 - c. Are NYHA functional Class II, III, or ambulatory class IV on stable optimal medical therapy
 2. Optimal medical therapy is defined as 3 months of maximally titrated doses as tolerated of an ACE inhibitor/angiotensin II receptor blocker, beta-blocker, and diuretic
- B. Sinus Rhythm, Dilated Cardiomyopathy with NYHA Class II, III, or IV Congestive Heart Failure (CHF) and QRS duration 120-149 ms
1. CRT-D or CRT-P implantation is indicated in individuals with ischemic or nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy who have all of the following:
 - a. Left bundle branch block with QRS duration 120 to 149 msec **and**
 - b. LV ejection fraction less than or equal to 35% **and**
 - c. Are NYHA functional Class II, III, or ambulatory class IV on stable optimal medical therapy
 - i. Optimal medical therapy is defined as 3 months of maximally titrated doses as tolerated of an ACE inhibitor/angiotensin II receptor blocker, beta-blocker, and diuretic
- C. Sinus Rhythm, Dilated Cardiomyopathy with non-LBBB and NYHA Class III or IV Congestive Heart Failure (CHF)
1. CRT-D Implantation is indicated in individuals who have all of the following:
 - a. NYHA Class III, or IV Congestive Heart Failure **and**
 - b. Non-LBBB with QRS duration greater or equal to 150 ms, and LV ejection fraction less than or equal to 35%
- D. Atrial Fibrillation and NYHA Class I, II, or III Congestive Heart Failure
1. CRT is indicated in patients with AF and the following:
 - a. A left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) ≤ 35 percent on guideline-directed medical therapy and **all** of the following:
 - i. The patient requires ventricular pacing or otherwise meets CRT criteria "Meets CRT criteria" means either:
 01. Has left bundle branch block (LBBB) and a QRS duration ≥ 120 ms and New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class II, III, or ambulatory class IV HF symptoms on stable optimal medical therapy

or

02. Has a non-LBBB pattern with a QRS duration ≥ 150 and NYHA class III or ambulatory class IV HF symptoms

- ii. Atrioventricular nodal ablation or pharmacologic rate control will allow near 100 percent ventricular pacing with CRT

VI. Definite Indications for ICD Implantation

A. Survivors of Cardiac Arrest

- 1. ICD implantation is indicated in individuals who are survivors of known cardiac arrest due to ventricular tachycardia (VT) or ventricular fibrillation (VF) after evaluation has excluded any completely reversible cause

B. Structural Heart Disease with Sustained VT

- 1. ICD implantation is indicated in individuals with structural heart disease (such as prior myocardial infarction (MI), congenital heart disease, and/or ventricular dysfunction) and spontaneous, sustained VT (greater than 30 seconds), whether hemodynamically stable or unstable

C. Syncope of Undetermined Origin and Positive EP Study

- 1. ICD implantation is indicated in individuals with syncope of undetermined origin who have clinically relevant, hemodynamically significant sustained VT or VF induced at electrophysiology (EP) study

D. Unexplained Syncope

- 1. ICD implantation is indicated in individuals with unexplained syncope **and**:
 - a. Significant left ventricular (LV) dysfunction (LV ejection fraction less than 50%), **and**
 - b. Structural heart disease such as prior myocardial infarction (MI),
 - c. Congenital heart disease, **and/or**
 - d. Ventricular dysfunction

E. Ischemic Cardiomyopathy

- 1. ICD implantation is indicated in individuals with the following:
 - a. Left ventricular dysfunction due to prior myocardial infarction (MI), **and**
 - b. LV ejection fraction less than or equal to 35%, **and**
 - c. At least 40 days post-MI, **and**
 - d. Are NYHA functional Class II or III, **and**
 - e. Are on optimal medical therapy, defined as 3 months of maximally titrated doses as tolerated of an ACE inhibitor, beta-blocker, and diuretic

Or

- f. LV dysfunction due to prior MI, **and**
- g. LV ejection fraction less than or equal to 30%, **and**
- h. At least 40 days post-MI, and
- i. Are NYHA functional Class I

Or

- j. Have non-sustained VT due to prior MI, **and**
- k. LV ejection fraction less than or equal to 40%, **and**
- l. Have inducible VF or sustained VT at EP study performed at least 96 hours after revascularization or MI

F. Nonischemic Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM)

- 1. ICD implantation is indicated in individuals with nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy, who have LV ejection fraction less than or equal to 35%, NYHA Class II or III congestive heart failure and who are on optimal medical therapy. Trials assessing ICD therapy in primary prophylaxis of DCM have not generally included asymptomatic, NYHA functional Class I patients
- 2. Optimal medical therapy is defined as 3 months of maximally titrated doses as tolerated of an ACE inhibitor, beta-blocker, and diuretic

VII. Reasonable Indications for ICD Implantation

A. General Considerations

- 1. For the “reasonable” or “considered” indications listed in this guideline, consensus opinion is less clear about the use of ICD implantation in these settings. Limited evidence suggests that ICD placement may be reasonable or may be considered; this category includes VF or hypotensive VT events where pharmaceutical or ablative techniques are indicated but the results of treatment are too unpredictable to withhold ICD implantation

B. Sustained Ventricular Tachycardia with Normal LV Function

- 1. ICD implantation is reasonable for individuals with sustained VT and normal or near-normal ventricular function

C. Cardiomyopathy

- 1. Cardiomyopathy due to Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy who have one or more risk factors for sudden cardiac death
 - a. Unheralded syncope
 - b. Nonsustained VT (< 30 seconds)
 - c. Family history of sudden cardiac death
 - d. Septal wall thickness of greater than or equal to 30 mm
 - e. Abnormal blood pressure response to exercise
- 2. Cardiomyopathy due to Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Cardiomyopathy (ARVC):
 - a. ICD implantation is reasonable for individuals with ARVC who have one or more risk factors for sudden cardiac death
 - i. Unheralded syncope
 - ii. Family history of sudden death
 - iii. Nonsustained VT (< 30 seconds)
 - iv. Clinical signs of RV failure

- D. LV non compaction
 - 1. ICD implantation should be considered for the primary prevention of sudden cardiac death due to malignant ventricular arrhythmias in individuals with non-compaction cardiomyopathy and impaired LV function (LV ejection fraction less than 50%)
 - a. ICD implantation is also indicated for normal LV function (LVEF greater than 50%), primary prevention cases with positive family history of sudden cardiac death. This exception is due to the presence of sarcomeric gene mutations reported in non-compaction cardiomyopathy.
- E. Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia
 - 1. ICD implantation is reasonable for individuals with catecholaminergic polymorphic VT who have
 - a. Syncope while receiving B-blockers **and/or**
 - b. Documented sustained ventricular tachycardia while receiving B-blockers
- F. ICD implantation is reasonable, regardless of LV ejection fraction measurement, for individuals with:
 - 1. Cardiac sarcoidosis
 - 2. Giant cell myocarditis **or**
 - 3. Chagas disease,
- G. ICD implantation is reasonable for individuals with Brugada syndrome who have had the following
 - 1. Syncope
 - 2. Documented or inducible VT or VF
- H. Long QT Syndrome
 - 1. ICD implantation is reasonable in Long QT syndrome in the following settings:
 - a. Syncope while on B-blockers
 - b. Ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation while on B-blockers **or**
 - c. If beta-blockers are contraindicated **or**
 - d. Asymptomatic with other risk factors for sudden cardiac death. Risk factors for sudden cardiac death include the following:
 - i. Family history of sudden cardiac death
 - ii. QTc interval > 500 milliseconds
 - iii. LQT 2 or 3
- I. ICD implantation may be considered in affected individuals with a familial cardiomyopathy associated with sudden death

VIII. ICD Implantation—Non-Indications

A. Ischemic Cardiomyopathy

1. ICD implantation is not indicated in individuals who have had a myocardial infarction within the past 40 days or who have had coronary revascularization within the past 90 days **unless** the following applies:
 - a. A separate indication for permanent pacemaker implantation exists (thus preventing a likely repeat procedure for an upgraded device in the near future)

B. NYHA Class IV CHF

1. ICD implantation is not indicated for individuals with NYHA functional class IV symptoms **unless** one of the following applies:
 - a. It is a CRT-D device meeting the indications for CRT-D implantation listed in this guideline. Sinus Rhythm, Dilated Cardiomyopathy with NYHA Class II, III, or IV Congestive Heart Failure (CHF) Dilated Cardiomyopathy with NYHA Class III or IV Congestive Heart Failure (CHF) or
 - b. The individual is awaiting heart transplantation or
 - c. Left ventricular assist device (LVAD) is being used as destination therapy

C. Limited Life Expectancy

1. ICD implantation is not indicated for individuals who do not have a reasonable expectation of survival with an acceptable functional status for at least one year, even if they meet ICD implantation criteria listed in:
 - a. Definite Indications for ICD Implantation or
 - b. Reasonable Indications for ICD Implantation

D. Incessant VT or VF

1. ICD implantation is not indicated for individuals with incessant VT or VF defined as hemodynamically stable VT or VF continuing for hours

E. Psychiatric Conditions

1. ICD implantation is not indicated in individuals with significant psychiatric illnesses that may be aggravated by device implantation or that may preclude systematic follow-up

F. Reversible Cause of VT/VF

1. ICD implantation is not indicated when VF or VT is due to a reversible cause
 - a. Sudden death can occur secondary to reversible derangements such as severe electrolyte disturbance or acute, reperfused myocardial infarction with preserved ejection fraction

G. Ablation Candidate, No Structural Heart Disease

1. ICD implantation is not indicated if the individual has no structural heart disease and is a candidate for ablation. Surgical or catheter ablation can be curative in this setting

References

1. Josephson ME and Nisam S. The AVID trial executive committee. Are implantable cardioverter-defibrillators or drugs more effective in prolonging life? *Am J Cardiol.* 1997 Mar;79(5):661-663. Accessed October 24, 2017. [http://www.ajconline.org/article/S0002-9149\(96\)00834-X/pdf](http://www.ajconline.org/article/S0002-9149(96)00834-X/pdf).
2. Kuck K, Cappato R, Siebels J, et al. Randomized comparison of antiarrhythmic drug therapy with implantable defibrillators in patients resuscitated from cardiac arrest: The Cardiac Arrest Study Hamburg. *Circulation.* 2000 Aug;102(7):748-754. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/102/7/748.long>.
3. Connolly SJ, Gent M, Roberts RS, et al. Canadian Implantable Defibrillator Study (CIDS). A randomized trial of the implantable cardioverter defibrillator against amiodarone. *Circulation.* 2000 Mar;101(11):1297-1302. Accessed on October 24, 2017. <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/101/11/1297.long>.
4. Gronefeld G, Connolly SJ, and Hohnloser SH. The Defibrillator in Acute Myocardial Infarction Trial (DINAMIT): rationale, design and specific aims. *Card Electrophysiol Rev.* 2003 Dec;7(4):447-451. Accessed October 24, 2017. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/B:CEPR.0000023154.52786.f4>
5. Steinbeck G, Andresen D, Seidl K, et al. Defibrillator implantation early after myocardial infarction.(IRIS): *N Engl J Med.* 2009 Oct;361:1427-1436. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa0901889#t=article>.
6. Moss A, Hall W, Cannom D, et al. Cardiac-resynchronization therapy for the prevention of heart-failure events (MADIT2): *N Engl J Med.* 2009 Oct;361:1329-1338. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa0906431#t=article>.
7. Bardy G, Lee K, Mark D, et al. Amiodarone or an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator for congestive heart failure.(SCD-HeFT). *N Engl J Med.* 2005 Jan;352:225-37. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa043399#t=article>.
8. Buxton AE, Lee KL, DiCarlo L, et al. Electrophysiologic testing to identify patients with coronary artery disease who are at risk for sudden death. Multicenter Unsustained Tachycardia Trial Investigators. (MUSTT): *N Engl J Med.* 2000 Jun;342:1937-1945. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJM200006293422602#t=article>.
9. Epstein A, Dimarco J, Ellenbogen K, et al. ACC/AHA/HRS 2008 Guidelines for device-based therapy of cardiac rhythm abnormalities: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to revise the ACC/AHA/NASPE 2002 Guideline update for implantation of cardiac pacemakers and antiarrhythmia devices): Developed in Collaboration With the American Association for Thoracic Surgery and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *Circulation.* 2008 May;117(21). Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2008/05/15/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.189742>.
10. Russo AM, Stainback RF, Bailey SR, et al. ACCF/HRS/AHA/ASE/HFSA/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR 2013 appropriate use criteria for implantable cardioverter-defibrillators and cardiac resynchronization therapy: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation appropriate use criteria task force, Heart Rhythm Society, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, Heart Failure Society of America, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, and Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013 Apr; 10(4):e11-e58. Accessed October 24, 2017. [http://www.heartrhythmjournal.com/article/S1547-5271\(13\)00009-X/fulltext](http://www.heartrhythmjournal.com/article/S1547-5271(13)00009-X/fulltext).
11. Gersh BJ, Maron BJ, Bonow RO, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation.* 2011 Dec;124(24):2761-2796. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/124/24/2761.long>.
12. Caliskan K, Szili-Torok T, Theuns D, et al. Indications and outcome of implantable cardioverter-defibrillators for primary and secondary prophylaxis in patients with noncompaction cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 2011 Aug;22(8):898-904. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1540-8167.2011.02015.x/abstract>.
13. Zareba W, Klein H, Cygankiewicz I, et al. Effectiveness of cardiac resynchronization therapy by QRS morphology in Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial – Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (MADIT-CRT). *Circulation.* 2011 Mar;123(10):1061-1072. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/123/10/1061.long>.
14. Tang A, Wells G, Talajic M, et al. for the Resynchronization–Defibrillation for Ambulatory Heart Failure Trial (RAFT) Investigators. Cardiac-resynchronization therapy for mild-to-moderate heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2010 Dec;363:2385-2395. Accessed October 25, 2017. <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1009540>.
15. Linde C, Gold MR, Abraham WT, et al. Rationale and design of a randomized controlled trial to assess the safety and efficacy of cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with asymptomatic left ventricular dysfunction with previous symptoms or mild heart failure—the REsynchronization reVERses Remodeling in Systolic left ventricular dysfunction (REVERSE) study. *Am Heart J.* 2006 Feb;151(2):288-294. Accessed October 24, 2017. [http://www.ahjonline.com/article/S0002-8703\(05\)00222-X/fulltext](http://www.ahjonline.com/article/S0002-8703(05)00222-X/fulltext).

16. Tracy C, Epstein A, Darbar D, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/HRS focused update of the 2008 guidelines for device-based therapy of cardiac rhythm abnormalities: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2012 Dec; 144(6): e127–e145. Accessed October 25, 2017. [http://www.jtcvsonline.org/article/S0022-5223\(12\)01010-0/fulltext](http://www.jtcvsonline.org/article/S0022-5223(12)01010-0/fulltext).
17. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *Circulation*. 2013 Oct;128:e240–e327. Accessed October 25, 2017. <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/128/16/e240>.
18. Daubert J, Saxon L, Adamson P, et al. 2012 EHRA/HRS expert consensus statement on cardiac resynchronization therapy in heart failure: implant and follow-up recommendations and management: A registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), and the Heart Rhythm Society; and in collaboration with the Heart Failure Society of America (HFSA), the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE), the American Heart Association (AHA), the European Association of Echocardiography (EAE) of the ESC and the Heart Failure Association of the ESC (HFA). In: *Europace: European Pacing, Arrhythmias, and Cardiac Electrophysiology: Journal of the Working Groups on Cardiac Pacing, Arrhythmias, and Cardiac Cellular Electrophysiology of the European Society of Cardiology*. *EP Europace*. 2012 Sept;14(9):1236–1286. Accessed October 25, 2017. <https://academic.oup.com/europace/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/europace/eus222>.
19. Healey JS, Hohnloser SH, Exner DV, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with permanent atrial fibrillation: results from the Resynchronization for Ambulatory Heart Failure Trial (RAFT). *Circulation: Heart failure*. 2012 Sept;5(5):566–570. Accessed October 25, 2017. <http://circheartfailure.ahajournals.org/content/5/5/566.long>.
20. Curtis AB, Worley SJ, Adamson PB, et al. Biventricular pacing for atrioventricular block and systolic dysfunction. *N Engl J Med*. 2013 Apr;368:1585–93. Accessed October 25, 2017. <http://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/NEJMoa1210356>.
21. Bristow MR, Saxon LA, Boehmer J, et al. Cardiac-Resynchronization Therapy with or without an Implantable Defibrillator in Advanced Chronic Heart Failure. *N Engl J Med*. 2004 May;350:2140–2150. Accessed October 25, 2017. <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa032423#t=article..>
22. Kay R, Estioko M, and Wiener I. Primary sick sinus syndrome as an indication for chronic pacemaker therapy in young adults: incidence, clinical features, and long-term evaluation. *Am Heart J*. 1982 Mar;103(3):338–42. Accessed October 24, 2017. [http://www.ahjonline.com/article/0002-8703\(82\)90271-X/pdf](http://www.ahjonline.com/article/0002-8703(82)90271-X/pdf).
23. Kusumoto F and Goldschlager N. Cardiac pacing. *N Engl J Med*. 1996 Jan; 334:89–99. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJM199601113340206>.
24. Rasmussen K. Chronic sinus node disease: natural course and indications for pacing. *Euro Heart J*. 1981 Dec;2(6):455–459. Accessed October 24, 2017. <https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article-abstract/2/6/455/515983?redirectedFrom=PDF>.
25. Linde-Edelstam C, Nordlander R, Pehrsson SK, et al. A double-blind study of submaximal exercise tolerance and variation in paced rate in atrial synchronous compared to activity sensor modulated ventricular pacing. *PACE*. 1992 Jun;15(6):905–15. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1540-8159.1992.tb03081.x/abstract>.
26. Charles R, Holt S, Kay JM, et al. Myocardial ultrastructure and the development of atrioventricular block in Kearns-Sayre syndrome. *Circulation*. 1981 Jan;63(1):214–219. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/63/1/214>.
27. Clemmensen P, Bates ER, Califf RM, et al. Complete atrioventricular block complicating inferior wall acute myocardial infarction treated with reperfusion therapy. TAMI Study Group. *Am J Cardiol*. 1991 Feb;67(4):225–230. Accessed October 24, 2017. [http://www.ajconline.org/article/0002-9149\(91\)90550-5/pdf](http://www.ajconline.org/article/0002-9149(91)90550-5/pdf).
28. Ector H, Rolies L, and De Geest H. Dynamic electrocardiography and ventricular pauses of 3 seconds and more: etiology and therapeutic implications. *PACE*. 1983 May;6(3):548–551. Accessed October 24, 2017. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/51292426_Dynamic_Electrocardiography_and_Ventricular_Pauses_of_3_Seconds_and_More_Etiology_and_Therapeutic_Implications.
29. Glikson M, Dearani JA, Hyberger LK, et al. Indications, effectiveness, and long-term dependency in permanent pacing after cardiac surgery. *Am J Cardiology*. 1997 Nov;80(10):1309–13. [http://www.ajconline.org/article/S0002-9149\(97\)00671-1/fulltext](http://www.ajconline.org/article/S0002-9149(97)00671-1/fulltext).
30. Hiromasa S, Ikeda T, Kubota K, et al. Myotonic dystrophy: ambulatory electrocardiogram, electrophysiologic study, and echocardiographic evaluation. *Am Heart J*. 1987 Jun;113(6):1482–1488. Accessed October 24, 2017. [http://www.ahjonline.com/article/0002-8703\(87\)90665-X/pdf](http://www.ahjonline.com/article/0002-8703(87)90665-X/pdf).
31. Kastor JA. Atrioventricular block (first of two parts). *N Engl J Med*. 1975;292:462–5. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJM197502272920906>.
32. Kastor JA. Atrioventricular block (second of two parts). *N Engl J Med*. 1975 Mar;292:572–574. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJM197503132921106>.

33. Perloff JK, Stevenson WG, Roberts NK, et al. Cardiac involvement in myotonic muscular dystrophy (Steinert's disease): a prospective study of 25 patients. *Am J Cardiol*. 1984 Nov;54(8):1074-81. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0002914984801472? rdoc=1& fmt=high& origin=gateway& d oanchor=&md5=b8429449ccfc9c30159a5f9aeaa92ffb>.
34. Zipes DP. Second-degree atrioventricular block. *Circulation*. 1979 Sept;60(3):465-72. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/60/3/465>.
35. Langberg JJ, Chin MC, Rosenqvist M, et al. Catheter ablation of the atrioventricular junction with radiofrequency energy. *Circulation*. 1989 Dec;80(6):1527-1535. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/80/6/1527>.
36. Fujimura O, Klein GJ, Yee R, et al. Mode of onset of atrial fibrillation in the Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome: How important is the accessory pathway? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1990 Apr;15(5):1082-1086. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://www.onlinejacc.org/content/15/5/1082>.
37. Reiffel J and Kuehnert M. Electrophysiological testing of sinus node function: diagnostic and prognostic application-including updated information from sinus node electrograms. *PACE*. 1994 Mar;17(3):349-65. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/7513860>.
38. Sheldon R, Koshman ML, Wilson W, et al. Effect of dual-chamber pacing with automatic rate-drop sensing on recurrent neurally mediated syncope. *Am J Cardiol*. 1998 Jan;81(2):158-162. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1540-8159.1994.tb01397.x/abstract>.
39. Barold SS. Indications for permanent cardiac pacing in first-degree AV block: class I, II, or III? *PACE*. 1996 May;19(5):747-751. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1540-8159.1996.tb03355.x/full?scrollTo=references>.
40. Connelly DT and Steinhaus DM. Mobitz type I atrioventricular block: an indication for permanent pacing? *PACE*. 1996 Mar;19(3):261-264. Accessed October 24, 2017. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1540-8159.1996.tb03325.x/abstract>.
41. Group BP and E. Recommendations for pacemaker prescription for symptomatic bradycardia. *British Heart Journal*. 1991 Aug;66(2):185-189. Accessed October 25, 2017. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1024617/>.
42. Connolly SJ, Sheldon R, Thorpe KE, et al. Pacemaker therapy for prevention of syncope in patients with recurrent severe vasovagal syncope: Second Vasovagal Pacemaker Study (VPS II): a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2003;289(17):2224-2229. Accessed October 24, 2017. <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/196492>.
43. Epstein AE, DiMarco JP, Ellenbogen KA, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/HRS Focused Update of the 2008 Guidelines for Device-Based Therapy of Cardiac Rhythm Abnormalities: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2012 Sept;126(14):p1784-1800. Accessed November 30, 2017. <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2012/09/06/CIR.0b013e3182618569>.

Echocardiography

- 93303** **Transthoracic Echocardiography for Congenital Cardiac Anomalies; Complete**
- 93304** **Transthoracic Echocardiography for Congenital Cardiac Anomalies; Follow-up or Limited Study**
- 93306** **Echocardiography, Transthoracic, Real-time with Image Documentation (2D), Includes M-mode Recording, when Performed, Complete, with Spectral Doppler Echocardiography, and with Color Flow Doppler Echocardiography**
- 93307** **Echocardiography, Transthoracic, Real-time with Image Documentation (2D) with or without M-mode Recording; Complete**
- 93308** **Echocardiography, Transthoracic, Real-time with Image Documentation (2D) with or without M-mode Recording; Follow-up or Limited Study**
- 93320** **Doppler Echocardiography, Pulsed Wave and/or Continuous Wave with Spectral Display; Complete**
- 93321** **Doppler Echocardiography, Pulsed Wave and/or Continuous Wave with Spectral Display; Follow-up or Limited Study**
- 93325** **Doppler Echocardiography Color Flow Velocity Mapping**

I. Transthoracic Echocardiography (TTE)

A. Coding

TTE CODES		
Transthoracic Echocardiography		CPT®
TTE for congenital cardiac anomalies, complete		93303
TTE for congenital cardiac anomalies, follow-up or limited		93304
TTE with 2-D, M-mode, Doppler and color flow, complete		93306
TTE with 2-D, M-mode, without Doppler or color flow		93307
TTE with 2-D, M-mode, follow-up or limited		93308
Doppler Echocardiography		CPT®
Doppler echo, pulsed wave and/or spectral display		+93320*
Doppler echo, pulsed wave and/or spectral display, follow-up or limited study		+93321*
Doppler echo, color flow velocity mapping		+93325
*CPT® 93320 and CPT® 93321 should not be requested or billed together		
Transthoracic Echocardiography		CPT®
C8921	TTE for congenital cardiac anomalies, complete	93303
C8922	TTE for congenital cardiac anomalies, follow-up or limited	93304
C8929	TTE with 2-D, M-mode, Doppler and color flow, complete	93306
C8923	TTE with 2-D, M-mode, without Doppler or color flow	93307
C8924	TTE with 2-D, M-mode, follow-up or limited	93308

C codes are unique temporary codes established by CMS. C codes were established for contrast echocardiography. Each echocardiography C code corresponds to a standard echo code (Class I CPT code) The C code and the matching CPT code should not both be approved.

Investigational Codes		
0399T	Myocardial strain imaging (quantitative assessment of myocardial mechanics using image-based analysis of local myocardial dynamics) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)	Investigational
0439T	Myocardial contrast perfusion echocardiography, at rest or with stress, for assessment of myocardial ischemia or viability	Investigational

B. The most commonly performed study is a complete transthoracic echocardiogram with spectral and color flow Doppler (CPT® 93306).

1. CPT® 93306 includes the Doppler exams, so CPT® codes 93320-93325 should **not** be assigned together with CPT® 93306
2. Doppler codes (CPT® 93320, CPT® 93321, and CPT® 93325) are 'add-on codes' (as denoted by the + sign) and are assigned in addition to code for the primary procedure

3. For a 2D transthoracic echocardiogram without Doppler, report CPT® 93307
 4. Limited transthoracic echocardiogram should be billed if the report does not “evaluate or document the attempt to evaluate” all of the required structures.
 - a. A limited transthoracic echocardiogram is reported with CPT® 93308.
 - b. CPT® 93321 (not CPT® 93320) should be reported with CPT® 93308 if Doppler is included in the study. CPT® 93325 can be reported with CPT® 93308 if color flow Doppler is included in the study.
 - c. A limited congenital transthoracic echocardiogram is reported with CPT® 93304.
 5. Providers performing echo on a patient, may not know what procedure codes they will be reporting until the initial study is completed.
 - a. If a congenital issue is found on the initial echo, a complete echo is reported with codes CPT® 93303, CPT® 93320, and CPT® 93325 because CPT® 93303 does NOT include Doppler and color flow mapping.
 - b. If no congenital issue is discovered, then CPT® 93306 is reported alone and includes 2-D, Doppler and color flow mapping.
 - c. Since providers may not know the appropriate code/s that will be reported at the time of the pre-authorization request, they may request all 4 codes (CPT® 93303, CPT® 93320, CPT® 93325, and CPT® 93306).
 - d. Depending upon individual health plan payer contracts, post-service audits may be completed to ensure proper claims submission.
 - e. CPT® 76376 and CPT® 76377 are not unique to 3D Echo. These codes also apply to 3D rendering of MRI and CT studies. (See [Echocardiography – Coding](#))
 - f. CPT® 93325 may also be used with fetal echocardiography.
 6. Doppler echo may be used for evaluation of the following:
 - a. Shortness of breath
 - b. Known or suspected valvular disease
 - c. Known or suspected hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy
 - d. Shunt detection
- C. Transthoracic Echocardiography (TTE) – Indications
1. New or worsening cardiac signs or symptoms, such as:
 - a. Dyspnea
 - b. Chest pain
 - c. Palpitations
 - d. Syncope
 - e. Symptoms of heart failure
 - f. Murmur

2. Valve function and structure:
 - a. Valvular stenosis or regurgitation
 - b. Valvular structure
 - c. Valve Surgery
 - i. If valve surgery is being considered can have TTE twice a year
 - ii. One routine study (surveillance) 3 years or more after valve surgery (repair or prosthetic valve implantation).
 - iii. TAVR follow-up may be approved at, 3 months, and at one year post-procedure and annually thereafter.
 01. A baseline post-op TTE is usually performed within one week after surgery. This baseline study may also be approved as an outpatient if not performed in the hospital prior to discharge.
3. Ventricular function including global and segmental wall motion for evaluating ejection fraction (EF) and coronary artery disease
 - a. Dyspnea
 - b. Symptoms of Heart Failure
 - c. Cardiomyopathy
 - d. Chemotherapy
 - i. See also: **MUGA Study – Oncologic Indications for Cancer Therapeutics- Related Cardiac Dysfunction (CTRCD)**
 - ii. Determine LV function in patients in patients on cardiotoxic chemotherapeutic drugs.
 01. The time frame should be determined by the provider, but no more often than baseline and at every 6 weeks.
 02. May repeat every 4 weeks if cardiotoxic chemotherapeutic drug is withheld for significant left ventricular cardiac dysfunction
 - iii. If the LVEF is < 50% on echocardiogram than follow up can be done with MUGA at appropriate intervals.
 - e. Arrhythmias
4. Ventricular structure including but not limited to:
 - a. Infiltrative diseases (e.g. sarcoid, amyloid)
 - b. Ventricular septal defect (VSD)
 - c. Papillary muscle rupture/dysfunction
 - d. Hypertrophy including:
 - i. asymmetric septal hypertrophy
 - ii. spade heart
 - iii. Hypertensive concentric hypertrophy
 - iv. Infiltrative hypertrophy
5. Evaluation of right ventricular systolic pressure/pulmonary hypertension
6. Evaluation of atrial or ventricular chamber size (e.g. patients with atrial fibrillation, tachyarrhythmias, or left ventricular dilatation)
 - a. Yearly TTE may be indicated depending on the clinical circumstance.

7. Cardiac Defects or Masses
 - a. Embolic source in patients with recent Transient Ischemic Attack (TIA), stroke, or peripheral vascular emboli as an initial study before TEE
 - b. ASD repair or VSD repair:
 - i. Within the first year of surgery **or**
 - ii. If newly symptomatic
 - c. Tumor evaluation including myxomas
 - d. Clot detection
 - e. Evaluation of congenital heart disease
 8. Inflammatory
 - a. Pericardial effusion/pericardial disease including pericardial cysts
 - b. Congenital heart disease
 - c. Endocarditis including:
 - i. Fever
 - ii. Positive blood cultures indicating bacteremia **or**
 - iii. A new murmur
 9. Pacemaker insertion complication
 10. Screening for first-degree relatives of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM)
 - a. First-degree relatives who are 12 to 18 years old should be screened yearly for HCM by 2D- echocardiography and ECG
 - b. First-degree relatives who are older than age 18 should have 2D-echo and ECG every five years to screen for delayed adult-onset LVH
 - c. Systematic screening is usually not indicated for first-degree relatives who are younger than age 12 unless there is a high-risk family history or the child is involved in particularly intense competitive sports
 - d. Affected individuals identified through family screening or otherwise should be evaluated every 12 to 18 months with 2D-echo, Holter monitor, and blood pressure response during maximal upright exercise
 11. New abnormality on an EKG that has not been evaluated
 12. Assess aortic root and proximal ascending aorta (see **Thoracic Aorta**)
- D. Frequency of Echocardiography Testing
1. Repeat routine echocardiograms are not supported (annually or otherwise) for evaluation of clinically stable syndromes
 2. Once a year (when no change in clinical status), when there a history of:
 - a. Significant valve dysfunction
 - b. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (see **Stress Echocardiography – Indications, other than ruling out CAD**)
 - c. Chronic pericardial effusions
 - d. Left ventricular contractility/diastolic function prior to planned medical therapy for heart failure or to evaluate the effectiveness of on-going

- therapy
- e. Aortic root dilatation
- f. Pulmonary hypertension
- 3. Prior TAVR (see [Transthoracic Echocardiography \(TTE\) – Indications](#))
- 4. Twice a year for the following assessments:
 - a. New or changing (not chronic stable) pericardial effusions
 - b. New/changed medical therapy for congestive heart failure
 - c. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy when the results of the echo will potentially change patient management
 - d. Critical valvular heart disease when the results of the echo will potentially change patient management
- 5. Anytime, without regard for the number or timing of previous ECHO studies, if there are new signs or symptoms such as:
 - a. Cardiac murmurs
 - b. Myocardial infarction or acute coronary syndrome
 - c. Congestive heart failure (new or worsening)
 - i. New symptoms of dyspnea
 - ii. Orthopnea
 - iii. Paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea
 - iv. Edema
 - v. Elevated BNP
 - d. Pericardial disease
 - e. Stroke/transient ischemic attack
 - f. Decompression illness
 - g. Prosthetic valve dysfunction or thrombosis

II. 3D Echocardiography

A. Coding

1. The procedure codes used to report 3D rendering for echocardiography are not unique to echocardiography and are the same codes used to report the 3D post processing work for CT, MRI, ultrasound and other tomographic modalities
 - a. CPT® 76376, not requiring image post-processing on an independent workstation, is the most common code used for 3D rendering done with echocardiography
 - b. CPT® 76377 requires the use of an independent workstation

B. 3D Echocardiography – Indications

1. 3D Echo Indications
 - a. Echocardiography with 3-dimensional (3D) rendering is becoming universally available, yet its utility remains limited based on the current literature. Current indications include:
 - i. Left ventricular volume and ejection fraction assessment
 - ii. Mitral valve anatomy specifically related to mitral valve stenosis
 - iii. Guidance of transcatheter procedures

References

1. Bangalore S, Yao S, and Chaudhry F. Usefulness of stress echocardiography for risk stratification and prognosis of patients with left ventricular hypertrophy. *American Journal of Cardiology* 2007; 100: 536-543. Accessed on November 1, 2017. [http://www.ajconline.org/article/S0002-9149\(07\)00852-1/fulltext](http://www.ajconline.org/article/S0002-9149(07)00852-1/fulltext).
2. Douglas P, Khandheria B, Stainback R, et al. ACCF/AHA/ACEP/AHA/ASNC/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR 2008 appropriateness criteria for stress echocardiography: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriateness Criteria Task Force, American Society of Echocardiography, American College of Emergency Physicians, American Heart Association, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, and Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society and the Society of Critical Care Medicine. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2008; 51: 1127-1147. Accessed on November 1, 2017. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0735109707039629?via%3Dihub>.
3. Maron B, McKenna W, Danielson G, et al. American College of Cardiology/European Society of Cardiology Clinical Expert Consensus Document on Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Clinical Expert Consensus Documents and the European Society of Cardiology Committee for Practice Guidelines. *European Heart Journal* 2003; 24:1965-1991. Accessed on November 1, 2017. [https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article-lookup/doi/10.1016/S0195-668X\(03\)00479-2](https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article-lookup/doi/10.1016/S0195-668X(03)00479-2).
4. Metz LD, Beattie M, Hom R, et al. The prognostic value of normal exercise myocardial perfusion imaging and exercise echocardiography: A meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;49 (2): 227-237. Accessed November 30, 2017. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S073510970602506X?via%3Dihub>.
5. Pellikka P, Nagueh S, Elhendy A, et al. American Society of Echocardiography recommendations for performance, interpretation, and application of stress echocardiography. *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography* 2007; 20 (9):1021-1041. Accessed on November 1, 2017. <http://www.asecho.org/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/Performance-Interpretation-and-App-of-Stress-Echo.pdf>.
6. Tandogan I, Yetkin E, Yanik A, et al. Comparison of thallium-201 exercise SPECT and dobutamine stress echocardiography for diagnosis of coronary artery disease in patients with left bundle branch block. *International Journal of Cardiovascular Imaging* 2001; 17:339-345 Accessed November 30, 2017. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1011973530231>.
7. Nishimura RA, Otto CM, Bonow RO, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; 63:e57. Accessed November 30, 2017. <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/129/23/2440.long>.
8. Rudski L, Lai W, Afilalo J, et al. Guidelines for the echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography endorsed by the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology, and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography* 2010; 23:685. Accessed on November 1, 2017. [http://www.onlinejase.com/article/S0894-7317\(10\)00434-7/fulltext](http://www.onlinejase.com/article/S0894-7317(10)00434-7/fulltext).
9. Holmes DR Jr, Mack MJ, Kaul S, et al. 2012 ACCF/AATS/SCAI/STS expert consensus document on transcatheter aortic valve replacement: developed in collaboration with the American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery, Heart Failure Society of America, Mended Hearts, Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, and Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2012; 59:1200. Accessed on November 1, 2017. [http://www.annalsthoracicsurgery.org/article/S0003-4975\(12\)00196-8/fulltext](http://www.annalsthoracicsurgery.org/article/S0003-4975(12)00196-8/fulltext).
10. Zoghbi WA, Chambers JB, Dumesnil JG, et al. Recommendations for evaluation of prosthetic valves with echocardiography and doppler ultrasound: a report From the American Society of Echocardiography's Guidelines and Standards Committee and the Task Force on Prosthetic Valves, developed in conjunction with the American College of Cardiology Cardiovascular Imaging Committee, Cardiac Imaging Committee of the American Heart Association, the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology, the Japanese Society of Echocardiography and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography, endorsed by the American College of Cardiology Foundation, American Heart Association, European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology, the Japanese Society of Echocardiography, and Canadian Society of Echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2009; 22:975. Accessed November 30, 2017. [http://www.onlinejase.com/article/S0894-7317\(09\)00676-2/abstract](http://www.onlinejase.com/article/S0894-7317(09)00676-2/abstract).

11. Wolk MJ, Bailey SR, Doherty JU, et al. ACCF/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2013 multimodality appropriate use criteria for the detection and risk assessment of stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; 63:380. Accessed November 30, 2017. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0735109713061470?via%3Dihub>.
12. Doherty JU, Kort S, Mehran R, et al ACC/AATS/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2017 Appropriate Use Criteria for Multimodality Imaging in Valvular Heart Disease. A Report of the American College of Cardiology Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. September 2017; 70(13). Accessed on November 1, 2017. <http://www.onlinejacc.org/content/70/13/1647>.

Stress Echocardiography

93350 Echocardiography, Transthoracic, Real-Time with Image Documentation (2D), Includes M-Mode Recording, when Performed, During Rest and Cardiovascular Stress Test Using Treadmill, Bicycle Exercise and/or Pharmacologically Induced Stress, with Interpretation and Report with or without M-Mode Recording, During Rest and Cardiovascular Stress Test, with Interpretation and Report

93351 Echocardiography, Transthoracic, Real-Time with Image Documentation (2D), Includes M-Mode Recording, when Performed, During Rest and Cardiovascular Stress Test Using Treadmill, Bicycle Exercise and/or Pharmacologically Induced Stress, with Interpretation and Report with or without M-Mode Recording, During Rest and Cardiovascular Stress Test, with Interpretation and Report; Including Performance of Continuous Electrocardiographic Monitoring, with Supervision by a Qualified Healthcare Professional

I. Stress Echocardiography (Stress Echo)

A. Coding

Stress ECHO Procedure Codes	
Stress Echocardiography	CPT®
Echo, transthoracic, with (2D), includes M-mode, during rest and exercise stress test and/or pharmacologically induced stress, with report;*	93350
Echo, transthoracic, with (2D), includes M-mode, during rest and exercise stress test and/or pharmacologically induced stress, with report: <i>including performance of continuous electrocardiographic monitoring, with physician supervision*</i>	93351
Doppler Echocardiography:	CPT®
Doppler echo, pulsed wave and/or spectral display**	+93320
Doppler echo, pulsed wave and/or spectral display, follow-up/limited study	+93321
Doppler echo, color flow velocity mapping**	+93325
*CPT® 93350 and CPT® 93351 do not include Doppler studies	
*Doppler echo (CPT® +93320 and CPT® +93325), if performed, may be reported separately in addition to the primary SE codes: CPT® 93350 or CPT® 93351.	

CPT®	Stress Echocardiography	
93350	Echo, transthoracic, with (2D), includes M-mode, during rest and exercise stress test and/or pharmacologically induced stress, with report;*	C8928
93351	Echo, transthoracic, with (2D), includes M-mode, during rest and exercise stress test and/or pharmacologically induced stress, with report: <i>including performance of continuous electrocardiographic monitoring, with physician supervision*</i>	C8930

B. Stress Echocardiography—Indications, other than ruling out CAD

1. In addition to the evaluation of CAD, stress echo can be used to evaluate the following conditions:
 - a. Dyspnea on exertion (specifically to evaluate pulmonary hypertension)
 - b. Right heart dysfunction
 - c. Valvular heart disease
 - d. Exercise-induced pulmonary hypertension
 - e. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy
 - i. In a patient with a history of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy who has been previously evaluated with a stress echo, another stress echo may be appropriate if there are worsening symptoms or if there has been a therapeutic change (for example: change in medication, surgical procedure performed).
 - ii. In general spectral Doppler (CPT® 93320 or 93321) and color-flow Doppler (CPT® 93325) are necessary in the evaluation of the above conditions and can be added to the stress echo code.

II. General Issues – Cardiac

- A. Cardiac imaging is not indicated if the results will not affect patient management decisions. If a decision to perform cardiac catheterization or other angiography has already been made, there is often no need for imaging stress testing.
- B. A current clinical evaluation (within 60 days) is required prior to considering advanced imaging, which includes:
1. Relevant history and physical examination and appropriate laboratory studies and non-advanced imaging modalities, such as recent ECG (within 60 days), chest x-ray or ECHO/ultrasound, after symptoms started or worsened.
 - a. Effort should be made to obtain copies of reported “abnormal” ECG studies in order to determine whether the ECG is uninterpretable.
 - b. Most recent previous stress testing and its findings
 - c. Other meaningful contact (telephone call, electronic mail or messaging) by an established patient can substitute for a face-to-face clinical evaluation.
 2. Vital signs, height and weight or BMI or description of general habitus is needed.
 3. Advanced imaging should answer a clinical question which will affect management of the patient’s clinical condition.
 4. Assessment of coronary artery disease can be determined by the following:
 - a. Typical angina (definite):
 - i. Substernal chest discomfort (generally described as pressure, heaviness, burning, or tightness)
 - ii. Generally brought on by exertion or emotional stress and relieved by rest
 - iii. May radiate to the left arm or jaw
 - iv. When clinical information is received indicating that a patient is experiencing chest pain that is "exertional" or "due to emotional stress", this meets the typical angina definition under the Pre-Test Probability Grid. No further description of the chest pain is required (location within the chest is not required).
 - b. The **Pre-Test Probability Grid** ([Table 1](#)) is based on age, gender, and symptoms. All factors must be considered in order to approve for stress testing with imaging using the Pre-Test Probability Grid.
 - c. **Atypical angina (probable):** Chest pain or discomfort (arm or jaw pain) that lacks one of the characteristics of definite or typical angina.
 - d. **Non-anginal chest pain:** Chest pain or discomfort that meets one or none of the typical angina characteristics.
 - e. **Anginal variants or equivalents:** a manifestation of myocardial ischemia which is perceived by patients to be (otherwise unexplained) dyspnea, unusual fatigue, more often seen in women and may be unassociated with chest pain.

Table 1

Pre-Test Probability of CAD by Age, Gender, and Symptoms					
Age (years)	Gender	Typical / Definite Angina Pectoris	Atypical / Probable Angina Pectoris	Non- anginal Chest Pain	Asymptomatic
39 and younger	Men	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low	Very low
	Women	Intermediate	Very low	Very low	Very low
40 - 49	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low
	Women	Intermediate	Low	Very low	Very low
50 - 59	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low
	Women	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low	Very low
60 and over	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low
	Women	High	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low
High	Greater than 90% pre-test probability				
Intermediate	Between 10% and 90% pre-test probability				
Low	Between 5% and 10% pre-test probability				
Very Low	Less than 5% pre-test probability				

III. Stress Testing without Imaging – Procedures

The Exercise Treadmill Test (ETT) is without imaging

- A. Necessary components of an ETT include:
 1. ECG that can be interpreted for ischemia
 2. Patient capable of exercise on a treadmill or similar device (generally at 4 METs or greater; see functional capacity below)
- B. An abnormal ETT (exercise treadmill test) includes any one of the following:
 1. ST segment depression (usually described as horizontal or downsloping, greater or equal to 1.0 mm below baseline)
 2. Development of chest pain
 3. Significant arrhythmia (especially ventricular arrhythmia)
 4. Hypotension

- C. Functional capacity greater than or equal to 4 METs equates to the following:
1. Can walk four blocks without stopping
 2. Can walk up a hill
 3. Can climb one flights of stairs without stopping
 4. Can perform heavy work around the house

IV. Stress Testing with Imaging-Procedures

- A. Imaging Stress Tests include any one of the following:
1. Stress Echocardiography see [Stress Echocardiography \(Stress Echo\) – Coding](#)
 2. MPI see Myocardial Perfusion Imaging (MPI) – Coding
 3. Stress perfusion MRI see Cardiac MRI – Indications for Stress MRI
- B. Stress testing with imaging can be performed with maximal exercise or chemical stress (dipyridamole, dobutamine, adenosine, or regadenoson) and does not alter the CPT® codes used to report these studies

V. Stress Testing with Imaging - Indications

- A. Stress echo, MPI **OR** stress MRI, can be considered for the following:
1. New, recurrent or worsening cardiac symptoms **AND** any of the following:
 - a. High pretest probability (greater than 90% probability of CAD)
 - b. A history of CAD based on:
 - i. A prior anatomic evaluation of the coronaries **OR**
 - ii. A history of CABG or PCI
 - c. Evidence or high suspicion of ventricular tachycardia
 - d. Age 40 years or greater and known diabetes mellitus
 - e. Coronary calcium score ≥ 100
 - f. Poorly controlled hypertension defined as systolic BP greater than or equal to 180mmhg, if provider feels strongly that CAD needs evaluation prior to BP being controlled
 - g. ECG is uninterpretable for ischemia due to any one of the following:
 - i. Complete Left Bundle Branch Block (bifasicular block, involving right bundle branch and left anterior hemiblock, does not render ECG uninterpretable for ischemia)
 - ii. Ventricular paced rhythm
 - iii. Pre-excitation pattern such as Wolff-Parkinson-White
 - iv. Greater or equal to 1.0 mm ST segment depression (**NOT** nonspecific ST/T wave changes)
 - v. LVH with repolarization abnormalities, also called LVH with strain (**NOT** without repolarization abnormalities or by voltage criteria)
 - vi. T-wave inversion in the inferior and/or lateral leads. This includes leads II, AVF, V5, or V6 (T wave inversion isolated in lead III or T wave inversion in lead V1 and V2 are not included)
 - vii. Patient on digitalis preparation

- h. Continuing symptoms in a patient who had a normal or submaximal exercise treadmill test and there is suspicion of a false negative result
 - i. Patients with recent equivocal, borderline, or abnormal stress testing where ischemia remains a concern
 - j. Heart rate less than 50 bpm in patients on beta blocker and/or calcium channel blocker medication where it is felt that the patient may not achieve an adequate workload for a diagnostic exercise study
 - k. Inadequate ETT:
 - i. Physical inability to achieve target heart rate (85% MPPHR or 220-age)
 - 01. Target heart rate is calculated as 85% of the maximum age predicted heart rate (MPPHR). MPPHR is estimated as 220 minus the patient's age.
 - ii. History of false positive exercise treadmill test: a false positive ETT is one that is abnormal however the abnormality does not appear to be due to macrovascular CAD.
2. Within 3 months of an acute coronary syndrome (e.g. ST segment elevation MI [STEMI], unstable angina, non-ST segment elevation MI [NSTEMI]), one MPI can be performed to evaluate for inducible ischemia if all of the following related to the most recent acute coronary event apply:
 - a. Individual is hemodynamically stable
 - b. No recurrent chest pain symptoms and no signs of heart failure
 - c. No prior coronary angiography or imaging stress test in regards to the current episode of symptoms
 3. Assessing myocardial viability in patients with significant ischemic ventricular dysfunction (suspected hibernating myocardium) and persistent symptoms or heart failure such that revascularization would be considered
 - a. **NOTE:** MRI, cardiac PET, MPI, or Dobutamine stress echo can be used to assess myocardial viability depending on physician preference
 - b. PET and MPI perfusion studies are usually accompanied by PET metabolic examinations (CPT® 78459). TI-201 MPI perfusion studies may assess viability without accompanying PET metabolism information.
 4. Unheralded syncope (not near syncope)
 5. Asymptomatic patient with an uninterpretable ECG that has never been evaluated or is a new uninterpretable change.
 6. Patient with an elevated cardiac troponin.
 7. One routine study 2 years or more after a stent, except with a left main stent where it can be done at 1 year
 8. One routine study at 5 years or more after CABG, without cardiac symptoms
 9. Every 2 years if there was documentation of previous "silent ischemia" on the imaging portion of a stress test but not on the ECG portion
 10. To assess for CAD prior to starting a Class IC antiarrhythmic agent

(flecainide or propafenone) and annually while taking the medication Prior anatomic imaging study (coronary angiogram or CCTA) demonstrating coronary stenosis in a major coronary branch which is of uncertain functional significance can have one stress test with imaging

- B. Evaluating new, recurrent or worsening left ventricular dysfunction/CHF.

VI. Stress Testing with Imaging – Preoperative

- A. There are 2 steps that determine the need for imaging stress testing in (stable) pre-operative patients:
1. Would the patient qualify for imaging stress testing independent of planned surgery?
 - a. If yes, proceed to stress testing guidelines;
 - b. If no, go to step 2 (C)
 - B. Is the surgery considered high, moderate or low risk? (see [Table 2](#)) If high or moderate-risk, proceed below. If low-risk, there is no evidence to determine a need for preoperative cardiac testing.
 1. **High Risk Surgery:** All patients in this category should receive an imaging stress test if there has not been an imaging stress test within 1 year*, unless the patient has developed new cardiac symptoms or a new change in the EKG since the last stress test.
 2. **Intermediate Surgery:** One or more risk factors and unable to perform an ETT per guidelines if there has not been an imaging stress test within 1 year* unless the patient has developed new cardiac symptoms or a new change in the EKG since the last stress test.
 3. **Low Risk:** Preoperative imaging stress testing is not supported.
 - C. Clinical Risk Factors (for cardiac death & non-fatal MI at time of non-cardiac surgery)
 1. Planned high risk surgery (open surgery on the aorta or open peripheral vascular surgery)
 2. History of ischemic heart disease (previous MI, previous positive stress test, use of nitroglycerin, typical angina, ECG Q waves, previous PCI or CABG)
 3. History of compensated previous congestive heart failure (history of heart failure, previous pulmonary edema, third heart sound, bilateral rales, chest x-ray showing heart failure)
 4. History of previous TIA or stroke
 5. Diabetes Mellitus
 6. Creatinine level > 2 mg/dL

*Time interval is based on consensus of eviCore executive cardiology panel.

Table 2

Cardiac Risk Stratification List		
High Risk (> 5%)	Intermediate Risk (1-5%)	Low Risk (<1%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Open aortic and other major open vascular surgery • Open peripheral vascular surgery 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Open intraperitoneal and/or intrathoracic surgery • Open carotid endarterectomy • Head and neck surgery • Open orthopedic surgery • Open prostate surgery 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Endoscopic procedures • Superficial procedures • Cataract surgery • Breast surgery • Ambulatory surgery • Laparoscopic and endovascular procedures that are unlikely to require further extensive surgical intervention

VII. Transplant Patients

A. Post-cardiac transplant assessment of transplant CAD:

1. One of the following imaging studies may be performed annually
 - a. MPI
 - b. Stress Echocardiogram
 - c. Stress MRI
 - d. Cardiac PET perfusion with coronary flow quantitation (CPT® 78491 or CPT® 78492)

B. Non-Cardiac Transplant Patients

1. Individuals who are awaiting an organ, bone marrow or stem cell transplant can undergo imaging stress testing every year (usually stress echo or MPI) prior to the transplant
2. Individuals who have undergone organ transplant are at increased risk for ischemic heart disease secondary to their medication. An imaging stress test can be repeated annually after transplant for at least two years or within one year of a prior cardiac imaging study if there is evidence of progressive vasculopathy
3. After two consecutive normal imaging stress tests, repeated testing is supported every two years unless there is evidence of progressive vasculopathy or new symptoms. Stress testing after five years may proceed according to normal patterns of consideration.

VIII. Non-imaging Heart Function and Cardiac Shunt Imaging

- A. Procedures reported with CPT® 78414 and CPT® 78428 are essentially obsolete and should not be performed in lieu of other preferred modalities.
- B. Echocardiogram is the preferred method for cardiac shunt detection, rather than the cardiac shunt imaging study described by CPT® 78428.
- C. Ejection fraction can be obtained by echocardiogram, MPI, MUGA study, cardiac MRI, cardiac CT, or cardiac PET depending on the clinical situation, rather than by the non-imaging heart function study described by CPT® 78414.

IX. Genetic lab testing in the evaluation of CAD

A. Corus® CAD genetic expression score – refer to lab management program guidelines

References

1. Bangalore S, Yao S, and Chaudhry F. Usefulness of stress echocardiography for risk stratification and prognosis of patients with left ventricular hypertrophy. *American Journal of Cardiology* 2007; 100: 536-543. Accessed on November 1, 2017. [http://www.ajconline.org/article/S0002-9149\(07\)00852-1/fulltext](http://www.ajconline.org/article/S0002-9149(07)00852-1/fulltext).
2. Douglas P, Khandheria B, Stainback R, et al. ACCF/AHA/ACEP/AHA/ASNC/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR 2008 appropriateness criteria for stress echocardiography: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriateness Criteria Task Force, American Society of Echocardiography, American College of Emergency Physicians, American Heart Association, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, and Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society and the Society of Critical Care Medicine. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2008; 51: 1127-1147. Accessed on November 1, 2017. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0735109707039629?via%3Dihub>.
3. Maron B, McKenna W, Danielson G, et al. American College of Cardiology/European Society of Cardiology Clinical Expert Consensus Document on Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Clinical Expert Consensus Documents and the European Society of Cardiology Committee for Practice Guidelines. *European Heart Journal* 2003; 24:1965-1991. Accessed on November 1, 2017. [https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article-lookup/doi/10.1016/S0195-668X\(03\)00479-2](https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article-lookup/doi/10.1016/S0195-668X(03)00479-2).
4. Metz LD, Beattie M, Hom R, et al. The prognostic value of normal exercise myocardial perfusion imaging and exercise echocardiography: A meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;49 (2): 227-237. Accessed November 30, 2017. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S073510970602506X?via%3Dihub>.
5. Pellikka P, Nagueh S, Elhendy A, et al. American Society of Echocardiography recommendations for performance, interpretation, and application of stress echocardiography. *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography* 2007; 20 (9):1021-1041. Accessed on November 1, 2017. <http://www.asecho.org/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/Performance-Interpretation-and-App-of-Stress-Echo.pdf>.
6. Tandogan I, Yetkin E, Yanik A, et al. Comparison of thallium-201 exercise SPECT and dobutamine stress echocardiography for diagnosis of coronary artery disease in patients with left bundle branch block. *International Journal of Cardiovascular Imaging* 2001; 17:339-345 Accessed November 30, 2017. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1011973530231>.
7. Nishimura RA, Otto CM, Bonow RO, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; 63:e57. Accessed November 30, 2017. <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/129/23/2440.long>.
8. Rudski L, Lai W, Afilalo J, et al. Guidelines for the echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography endorsed by the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology, and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography* 2010; 23:685. Accessed on November 1, 2017. [http://www.onlinejase.com/article/S0894-7317\(10\)00434-7/fulltext](http://www.onlinejase.com/article/S0894-7317(10)00434-7/fulltext).
9. Holmes DR Jr, Mack MJ, Kaul S, et al. 2012 ACCF/AATS/SCAI/STS expert consensus document on transcatheter aortic valve replacement: developed in collaboration with the American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery, Heart Failure Society of America, Mended Hearts, Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, and Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2012; 59:1200. Accessed on November 1, 2017. [http://www.annalsthoracicsurgery.org/article/S0003-4975\(12\)00196-8/fulltext](http://www.annalsthoracicsurgery.org/article/S0003-4975(12)00196-8/fulltext).
10. Zoghbi WA, Chambers JB, Dumesnil JG, et al. Recommendations for evaluation of prosthetic valves with echocardiography and doppler ultrasound: a report From the American Society of Echocardiography's Guidelines and Standards Committee and the Task Force on Prosthetic Valves, developed in conjunction with the American College of Cardiology Cardiovascular Imaging Committee, Cardiac Imaging Committee of the American Heart Association, the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology, the Japanese Society of Echocardiography and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography, endorsed by the American College of Cardiology Foundation, American Heart Association, European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology, the Japanese Society of Echocardiography, and Canadian Society of Echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2009; 22:975. Accessed November 30, 2017. [http://www.onlinejase.com/article/S0894-7317\(09\)00676-2/abstract](http://www.onlinejase.com/article/S0894-7317(09)00676-2/abstract).

11. Wolk MJ, Bailey SR, Doherty JU, et al. ACCF/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2013 multimodality appropriate use criteria for the detection and risk assessment of stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; 63:380. Accessed November 30, 2017.
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0735109713061470?via%3Dihub>.
12. Doherty JU, Kort S, Mehran R, et al ACC/AATS/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2017 Appropriate Use Criteria for Multimodality Imaging in Valvular Heart Disease. A Report of the American College of Cardiology Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. September 2017; 70(13). Accessed on November 1, 2017.
<http://www.onlinejacc.org/content/70/13/1647>.

Diagnostic Heart Catheterization

- 93452** Left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, imaging supervision and interpretation, when performed
- 93453** Combined right and left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, imaging supervision and interpretation, when performed
- 93454** Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation
- 93455** Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with catheter placement(s) in bypass graft(s) (internal mammary, free arterial venous grafts) including intraprocedural injection(s) for bypass graft angiography
- 93456** Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with right heart catheterization

93457 Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with catheter placement(s) in bypass graft(s) (internal mammary, free arterial, venous grafts) including intraprocedural injection(s) for bypass graft angiography and right heart catheterization

93458 Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, when performed

93459 Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, when performed, catheter placement(s) in bypass graft(s) (internal mammary, free arterial, venous grafts) with bypass graft angiography

93460 Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with right and left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, when performed

93461 Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with right and left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, when performed, catheter placement(s) in bypass graft(s) (internal mammary, free arterial, venous grafts) with bypass graft angiography

Cardiac Catheterization Procedure Codes

Cardiac Cath Procedures	CPT®
Congenital Heart Disease Code "Set"	93530-93533
Right Heart Catheterization (CHD)	93530
Right/Left Heart Catheterization (CHD)	93531
Right/Left Heart Catheterization (CHD-TS)	93532
Right/Left Heart Catheterization (CAD-ASD)	93533
Anomalous coronary arteries, patent foramen ovale, mitral valve prolapse, and bicuspid aortic valve	93451-93464, 93566-93568
RHC without LHC or coronaries	93451
LHC without RHC or coronaries	93452
RHC and retrograde LHC without coronaries	93453
Native coronary artery catheterization;	93454
with bypass grafts	93455
with RHC	93456
with RHC and bypass grafts	93457
with LHC	93458
with LHC and bypass grafts	93459
with RHC and LHC	93460
with RHC and LHC and bypass grafts	93461
LHC by transseptal or apical puncture	+93462
Angiography of noncoronary arteries and veins, performed as a distinct service	Select appropriate codes from the Radiology and Vascular Injection Procedures sections.

I. Diagnostic Left Heart Catheterization (LHC)

- A. These guidelines apply to individuals with stable conditions and who are not in the acute setting (acute coronary syndrome) or patients with unstable angina. Individuals in acute settings or with unstable angina should be handled as medical emergencies.
- B. Incidental angiography can be performed:
 - 1. Iliac/femoral artery angiography when dissection or obstruction to the passage of the catheter/guidewire is encountered.
 - 2. Renal arteriography if criteria outlined in the Abdomen Imaging Guidelines are met (see **Renovascular Hypertension**).
- C. Identifying disease for which invasive procedures have been shown to prolong survival:
 - 1. Left main coronary artery disease plus right coronary artery disease plus left ventricular dysfunction.
 - 2. Triple vessel coronary artery disease plus left ventricular dysfunction.
- D. Unstable angina (new, accelerating, or worsening symptoms that are suggestive of unstable angina), even in the absence of noninvasive cardiac testing.
- E. Symptomatic patients with a high pretest probability of CAD.
- F. Angina that is unresponsive to optimized medical therapy (see **General Issues – Cardiac**) and for which invasive procedures are needed to provide pain relief.
- G. Left ventricular dysfunction (congestive heart failure) in patients suspected of having coronary artery disease.
- H. Ventricular fibrillation or ventricular tachycardia where the etiology is unclear.
- I. Unheralded syncope (not near syncope).
- J. Recent noninvasive cardiac testing was equivocal, unsuccessful in delineating the clinical problem, or led to a conclusion that intervention is indicated for the following conditions:
 - 1. Suspicion of cardiomyopathy, endocarditis, or myocarditis
 - 2. Significant/serious ventricular arrhythmia
 - 3. Evaluating progression of known CAD when symptoms are persistent or worsening
 - 4. An intermediate or large amount of myocardium (>5%) may be in jeopardy
 - 5. Evaluation of coronary grafts
 - 6. Evaluation of previously placed coronary artery stents
 - 7. Evaluation of structural disease
- K. Ruling out coronary artery disease prior to planned non-coronary cardiac or great vessel surgery (i.e. cardiac valve surgery, aortic dissection, aortic aneurysm, congenital disease repair such as atrial septal defect, etc.).

- L. Assessment for accelerated coronary artery disease associated with cardiac transplantation.
- M. Pre-organ transplant (non-cardiac). Some institutions perform a heart cath as part of their initial evaluation protocol. Others use an imaging stress test for evaluation. Either is appropriate and can be approved but NOT both.
- N. Valvular heart disease when there is a discrepancy between the clinical findings (history, physical exam, and non-invasive test results) or valvular surgery is being considered.
- O. Suspected pericardial disease.

II. Right Heart Catheterization (RHC)

A. General Information RHC

1. It is performed most commonly from the femoral vein, less often through the subclavian or internal jugular veins and interatrial septal puncture approach.
2. It includes a full oximetry for detection and quantification of shunts.
3. Pressure measurements are made and are done simultaneously with aortic and left ventricular pressures.
4. Cardiac outputs are calculated by several techniques including thermodilution.

B. Diagnostic Right Heart Catheterization – Indications

1. Atrial septal defect (ASD) including shunt detection and quantification
2. Ventricular septal defect (VSD) including shunt detection and quantification
3. Patent foramen ovale (PFO)
4. Anomalous pulmonary venous return
5. Congenital defects including persistent left vena cava
6. Pulmonary hypertension
7. Pericardial diseases (constrictive or restrictive pericarditis)
8. Valvular disease
9. Right heart failure
10. Left heart failure
11. Preoperative evaluation for valve surgery
12. Newly diagnosed or worsening cardiomyopathy
13. During a left heart cath where the etiology of the symptoms remains unclear.
14. Pre-lung transplant to assess pulmonary pressures
15. Uncertain intravascular volume status with an unclear etiology
16. Assessment post cardiac transplant
 - a. For routine endomyocardial biopsy
 - b. Assess for rejection

- c. Assess pulmonary artery pressure
 - d. Can be done per the institution protocol or anytime organ rejection is suspected and biopsy is needed for assessment
17. Evaluation of right ventricular morphology
18. Suspected arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia

III. Combined Right and Left Heart Catheterization Indications

- A. Preoperative evaluation for valve surgery
- B. Newly diagnosed or worsening cardiomyopathy
- C. If the major component of the patient symptoms is dyspnea, and the indications for Diagnostic Left Heart Catheterization are also met
- D. If indications are met according to Diagnostic Left Heart Catheterization (LHC) and Right Heart Catheterization, then a combination heart catheterization may be appropriate.

IV. Planned (Staged) Coronary Interventions

- A. The CPT[®] codes for percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) include the following imaging services necessary for the procedure(s):
 - 1. Contrast injection, angiography, 'roadmapping', and fluoroscopic guidance
 - 2. Vessel measurement
 - 3. Angiography following coronary angioplasty, stent placement, and atherectomy
- B. Separate codes for these services should not be assigned in addition to the PCI code/s because the services are already included.
- C. A repeat diagnostic left heart catheterization is not medically necessary when the patient is undergoing a planned staged percutaneous coronary intervention.
- D. CPT[®] 93530 to 93533 are appropriate for invasive evaluation of congenital heart disease.

References

1. Boden WE, O'Rourke RA, TeoKK, et al. Impact of optimal medical therapy with or without percutaneous coronary intervention on long-term cardiovascular end points in patients with stable coronary artery disease (from the COURAGE trial). *Am J Cardiol* 2009 July; 104(1):1-4. Accessed November 30, 2017. <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa070829#t=article>.
2. Friedewald V, King S, Pepine C, et.al. The Editor's Roundtable: Chronic stable angina pectoris. *American Journal of Cardiology* 2007 Dec, 100(11):1635-1643. Accessed on November 1, 2017. [http://www.ajconline.org/article/S0002-9149\(07\)01706-7/fulltext](http://www.ajconline.org/article/S0002-9149(07)01706-7/fulltext).
3. Olade R, Cardiac Catheterization of Left Heart. Medscape Updated: Apr 13, 2016. Accessed November 1, 2017. <https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/1819224-overview>.
4. Amsterdam E, Wenger N, Brindis R, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons *Circulation*. 2014; Accessed November 1, 2017. <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/130/25/2354>