Coverage Rationale

Oral Surgery: Miscellaneous Surgical Procedures

Policy Number: DCP027.08
Effective Date: July 1, 2021

Coverage Rationale

Oroantral Fistula Closure
An Oroantral Fistula will not heal spontaneously and must be surgically repaired.

Primary Closure of a Sinus Perforation
Primary closure of a sinus perforation is indicated for large (≥ 2mm) defects resulting from routine tooth extraction, retrieval of root tips, or implant placement.

Tooth Reimplantation and/or Stabilization of Accidentally Evulsed or Displaced Tooth
Tooth reimplantation and/or stabilization of accidentally evulsed or displaced tooth are indicated for the following:
- Subluxation injuries to permanent teeth
- Lateral Luxation injuries of primary and permanent teeth
- Extrusion injuries of <3mm in an immature developing primary tooth
- Avulsion of permanent teeth

Tooth reimplantation and/or stabilization of accidently evulsed or displaced tooth are not indicated for the following, and extraction is recommended:
- Primary teeth if injury is severe or tooth is near exfoliation
- Intrusion injuries to primary teeth when the apex is displaced toward the permanent tooth germ
- Extrusion injuries of a primary tooth that is fully formed, mobile, and near exfoliation, or the child is unable to cope with an emergency situation
- When a tooth has been out of the oral cavity for 60 minutes or more
- Lack of alveolar integrity
- Risk of ankylosis

Related Dental Policies
- Dental Barrier Membrane Guided Tissue Regeneration
- Fixed Prosthodontics
- Implants
- Oral Surgery: Alveoloplasty and Vestibuloplasty
- Oral Surgery: Non-Pathologic Excisional Procedures
- Removable Prosthodontics

Related Medical Policy
- Cosmetic and Reconstructive Procedures
Surgical Repositioning of Teeth
Surgical repositioning of teeth is indicated for the following:
- The treatment of displacement injuries to permanent teeth
- Extrusion of teeth with crown/root fractures to prepare for restoration of permanent teeth

Bone Replacement Graft for Ridge Preservation
Bone replacement graft for ridge preservation is indicated to preserve the alveolar ridge needed to support a dental prosthesis.

Osseous, osteoperiosteal or cartilage grafting is indicated to augment deficient alveolar bone needed to support a dental prosthesis.

Collection and Application of Autologous Blood Concentrate Product
Collection and application of autologous blood concentrate product is not indicated due to insufficient evidence of efficacy.

Sinus Augmentation Procedures
Sinus Augmentation is indicated when there is poor bone quality/quantity that prevents adequate initial stability during implant placement.

Sinus Augmentation is not indicated when conditions blocking the ventilation and clearance of the maxillary sinus are present.

Salivary Gland and Duct Procedures
Procedures include the removal of sialoliths, surgical excision of portions of, or the entire gland, repair of salivary fistulas and defects of salivary ducts, and may be completed intraorally or extraorally.

As with any surgery, these oral surgery procedures may not be indicated for individuals with unmanaged medical conditions that may result in excessive or uncontrolled bleeding, reduced resistance to infection, or poor healing response.

Coverage Limitations
- Bone replacement graft for ridge preservation is limited to 1 per site per lifetime, and not covered if done in conjunction with other bone graft replacement procedures
- Primary closure of a sinus perforation is limited to 1 per tooth per lifetime
- Tooth reimplantation and transplantation is limited to 1 per site per lifetime

Exclusions
- Any Dental Procedure performed solely for cosmetic/aesthetic reasons
- Procedures that are considered to be Experimental, Investigational or Unproven
- Treatment of malignant neoplasms or Congenital Anomalies of hard or soft tissue, including excision
- Dental Services that are not Necessary

Definitions
Avulsion: Complete displacement of the tooth out of socket; the periodontal ligament is severed and fracture of the alveolus may occur. (AAPD)

Experimental, Investigational or Unproven Services: Medical, dental, surgical, diagnostic, or other health care services, technologies, supplies, treatments, procedures, drug therapies or devices that are determined to be:
- Not approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to be lawfully marketed for the propose use and not identified in the American Hospital Formulary Service or the United States Pharmacopoeia Dispensing Information as appropriate for the proposed use; or
Subject to review and approval by any institutional review board for the proposed use; or
The subject of an ongoing clinical trial that meets the definition of a Phase 1, 2 or 3 clinical trial set forth in the FDA regulations, regardless of whether the trial is actually subject to FDA oversight; or
Not demonstrated through prevailing peer-reviewed professional literature to be safe and effective for treating or diagnosing the condition or illness for which its use is proposed; or
Pharmacological regimens not accepted by the American Dental Association (ADA) Council on Dental Therapeutics

Extrusion: Partial displacement of the tooth axially from the socket; partial Avulsion. The periodontal ligament is usually torn. (AAPD)

Intrusion: Apical displacement of tooth into the alveolar bone. The tooth is driven into the socket, compressing the periodontal ligament and commonly causes a crushing fracture of the alveolar socket. (AAPD)

Lateral Luxation: Displacement of the tooth in a direction other than axially. The periodontal ligament is torn and contusion or fracture of the supporting alveolar bone occurs. (AAPD)

Necessary: Dental Services and supplies which are determined through case-by-case assessments of care based on accepted dental practices to be appropriate; and
• Needed to meet your basic dental needs; and
• Rendered in the most cost-efficient manner and type of setting appropriate for the delivery of the dental service; and
• Consistent in type, frequency and duration of treatment with scientifically based guidelines of national clinical, research, or health care coverage organizations or governmental agencies that are accepted; and
• Consistent with the diagnosis of the condition; and
• Required for reasons other than the convenience of you or your dental provider; and
• Demonstrated through prevailing peer-reviewed dental literature to be either:
  o Safe and effective for treating or diagnosing the condition or sickness for which its use is proposed; or
  o Safe with promising efficacy:
    ▪ For treating a life threatening dental disease or condition; and
    ▪ In a clinically controlled research setting; and
    ▪ Using a specific research protocol that meets standards equivalent to those defined by the National Institutes of Health

Oroantral Fistula: An open connection between the maxillary sinus usually caused by extraction of maxillary posterior teeth. (Visscher 2010)

Sinus Augmentation: Oral surgery to replace lost bone in the maxilla (the jaw bone in which the top row of teeth are anchored). It can be used to prepare the maxilla for dental implants, or to repair congenital oral defects. Synonym(s): sinus lift.

Subluxation: Injury to tooth-supporting structures with abnormal loosening but without tooth displacement. (AAPD)

Applicable Codes

The following list(s) of procedure and/or diagnosis codes is provided for reference purposes only and may not be all inclusive. Listing of a code in this policy does not imply that the service described by the code is a covered or non-covered health service. Benefit coverage for health services is determined by the member specific benefit plan document and applicable laws that may require coverage for a specific service. The inclusion of a code does not imply any right to reimbursement or guarantee claim payment. Other Policies and Guidelines may apply.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CDT Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D7260</td>
<td>Oroantral fistula closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7261</td>
<td>Primary closure of a sinus perforation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7270</td>
<td>Tooth reimplantation and/or stabilization of accidentally evulsed or displaced tooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7272</td>
<td>Tooth transplantation (includes reimplantation from one site to another and splinting and/or stabilization)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Description of Services

These procedures involve the treatment of various conditions that may be inherent or iatrogenically related to dental infections, osteomyelitis, radiation therapy or trauma. Some oral surgery procedures may be covered under the member’s medical benefit when determined to be medical in nature. Refer to the member’s Certificate of Coverage and/or health plan documentation for specific coverage guidelines.

Autologous blood products include platelet rich plasma, fibrin, growth factors and stem cells. These are used to enhance healing of bone and soft tissues.

### Clinical Evidence

Damsaz et al. (2020) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to determine if the addition of leukocyte platelet rich fibrin (L-PRF) as a sole grafting material is as efficient as other biomaterials, or the combination of both in clinical cases of maxillary sinus floor augmentation. Seven articles met the inclusion criteria, and of these, four used L-PRF in combination with de-proteinized bovine bone mineral (DBBM), one used L-PRF as a sole grafting material and one utilized L-PRF as a repair material/method for a sinus membrane perforation. The results showed no appreciable clinical advantages to the use of L-PRF alone or in combination with bone grafting materials for sinus floor augmentation, and additional studies utilizing standardized protocols are indicated.

In a 2019 systematic review, DelFabbro et al. reviewed eight studies with a total of 614 extraction sites in 338 patients to determine whether the adjunctive use of plasma rich in growth factors (PRGF) in postextraction sites could be beneficial for postoperative pain and hard tissue healing. Five of these studies evaluated post operative pain for 7 days, and showed less pain in the extraction sites treated with PRGF than the control sites, with post operative pain almost absent in one of them. In one...
split mouth study, 18 out of 40 in the control group presented with AO, and only 4 in the sites treated with PRGF (even if they had AO on the untreated side). Another study showed PRGF was as effective as fibrin glue in preventing severe bleeding in patients with bleeding disorders and thrombocytopenia. For the secondary outcome of hard tissue healing, the results showed higher residual socket volume, radiodensity, bone quality and new bone formation in the sites treated with PRGF than control sites. Additionally, overall improvement in epithelialization and soft tissue healing in diabetics was reported for sites treated. The authors concluded that these results suggest that PRGFs could represent a useful tool for improving postextraction hard- and soft-tissue healing and reducing adverse events, complications, and patients’ discomfort. However, the actual benefits of PRGF on healing and pain control in extraction sockets are still not quantifiable. More studies, with standardized protocols, are needed to confirm and strengthen the results of this review.

In a 2018 systematic review, Ghanaati et al investigated the level of scientific evidence of published articles related to the use of platelet rich fibrin (PRF) for bone and soft tissue regeneration in dentistry and maxillofacial surgery. A total of 392 articles were found, 72 of which were classified for each indication field. The results showed the following: When comparing PRF with biomaterials vs biomaterial alone in sinus lift (5 studies; IIa), no statistically significant differences were detected. Sockets preservation and ridge augmentation using PRF significantly enhanced new bone formation compared to healing without PRF (seven studies Ib, IIa, IIb). Reepithelialization and bone regeneration was achieved in 96 of 101 patients diagnosed with medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (5 studies, III). In periodontology, PRF alone (six studies; Ib, IIa, IIb) vs its combination with biomaterials (six studies; Ib, IIa, IIb) significantly improved the pocket depth and attachment loss compared to a treatment without PRF. Over 70% of the patients were part of studies with a high level of scientific evidence (randomized and controlled prospective studies). The authors concluded that PRF is a beneficial tool that significantly improves bone and soft tissue regeneration. However, the clinical community requires a standardization of PRF protocols to further examine the benefit of PRF in bone and soft tissue regeneration in reproducible studies, with a higher scientific level of evidence.

Del Fabbro et al (2017) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to determine the effectiveness of an autologous platelet concentrate (APC) adjunct in the preservation of fresh extraction sockets. Databases were searched and only controlled clinical trials or randomized clinical trials were included. Selected articles underwent risk-of-bias assessment. The outcomes evaluated were complications and adverse events, discomfort and quality of life, bone healing and remodeling assessed by histologic and radiographic techniques, and soft tissue healing. Overall, 1,193 teeth were extracted from 911 patients. Meta-analysis showed that soft tissue healing, probing depth at 3 months, and bone density at 1, 3, and 6 months were statistically better for the APC group. Qualitative analysis suggested that APCs might be associated with a decrease in swelling and trismus. However, no relevant difference among groups was found for probing depth at 1 month, incidence of alveolar osteitis, acute inflammation or infection, percentage of new bone, and indirect measurement of bone metabolism. The authors concluded that APCs should be used in postextraction sites to improve clinical and radiographic outcomes such as bone density and soft tissue healing and postoperative symptoms. The actual benefit of APCs on decreasing pain in extraction sockets is still not quantifiable.

Lemos et al (2016) conducted a systematic review to evaluate the effect on bone formation and implant survival of combining platelet-rich plasma (PRP) with bone grafts in maxillary augmentation. A comprehensive review of articles listed in the PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase, and Cochrane Library databases covering the period January 2000 to January 2015 was performed. The meta-analysis was based on bone formation for which the mean difference (MD, in millimeters) was calculated. Implant survival was assessed as a dichotomous outcome and evaluated using the risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). After inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied, 17 studies were selected for qualitative analysis and 13 for quantitative analysis. A total of 369 patients (mean age 51.67 years) and 621 maxillary sinus augmentations were evaluated. After the data analysis, additional analyses were performed of the implant stability quotient, marginal bone loss, and alveolar bone height measured by MD. The results showed no significant difference in implant stability, marginal bone loss, alveolar bone height, implant survival, or bone formation. In conclusion, this meta-analysis indicates no influence of PRP with bone graft on bone formation and implant survival in maxillary sinus augmentation.

Pocaterra et al (2016) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled clinical trials to assess the scientific evidence on the effectiveness of platelet rich plasma (PRP) as an adjunctive material in the sinus floor elevation technique. Only randomized controlled clinical trials comparing a group receiving PRP as an adjunctive material to a control group without PRP, involving adult human subjects (age > 18 years) with no systemic disease, were included. Of the studies identified, only one reported a significant difference in bone augmentation in favour of the adjunctive use of PRP, while four studies did not find any significant difference. None of the studies included reported a significant difference in the implant
survival rate. The authors concluded that further randomized clinical trials are needed to clarify the effectiveness of adjunctive PRP.

Temmerman et al (2016) conducted a split mouth randomized control trial to investigate the influence of the use leukocyte- and platelet-rich fibrin (L-PRF) as a socket filling material and its ridge preservation properties. Twenty-two patients in need of single bilateral and closely symmetrical tooth extractions in the maxilla or mandible were included in a split-mouth RCT. Treatments were randomly assigned (L-PRF socket filling versus natural healing). CBCT scans were obtained after tooth extraction and three months. Scans were evaluated by superimposition using the original DICOM data. Mean ridge width differences between time points were measured at three levels below the crest on both the buccal and lingual sides. Mean vertical height changes at the buccal were -1.5 mm for control sites and 0.5 mm for test sites. At the buccal side, control sites values were, respectively, -2.1, -0.3 mm and -0.1 mm and test sites values were, respectively, -0.6 mm, -0.1 mm and 0.0 mm. Significant differences were found for total width reduction between test at 1 mm below crest level. Significant differences were found for socket fill (visible mineralized bone) between test and control sites. The authors concluded that the use of L-PRF as a socket filling material to achieve preservation of horizontal and vertical ridge dimension at three months after tooth extraction is beneficial. This study is limited to a small number of participants.

Del Fabbro et al (2014) conducted a systematic review to evaluate the efficacy of platelet concentrates for alveolar socket healing after tooth extraction. Autologous platelet concentrates are claimed to enhance hard and soft tissue healing due to the considerable amount of growth factors that are released after application in the surgical site. However, their actual efficacy for improving tissue healing and regeneration in oral surgery applications is controversial. Medline, Embase and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials were searched as well as manual searching of the relevant journals and of the reference lists of reviews and all identified randomized controlled trials. Randomized controlled trials evaluating the effect of a platelet concentrate on fresh extraction sockets were included. Further inclusion criteria were that at least 10 patients were treated (at least 5 per group) and there was a minimum follow-up duration of 3 months. Primary outcomes were postoperative complications, patient satisfaction and postoperative discomfort. Secondary outcomes were any clinical, radiographic, histological and histomorphometric variables used to assess hard and soft tissue healing. Six articles met the inclusion criteria (199 teeth in 156 patients). Three studies were considered at high risk of bias, two at medium risk and one at low risk. A large heterogeneity in study characteristics and outcome variables used to assess hard tissue healing was observed. A meta-analyses of two studies reporting histomorphometric evaluation of bone biopsies at 3 months' follow-up showed greater bone formation when platelet concentrates were used, as compared to controls (P <0.001; mean difference 20.41%, 95% C.I. 13.29%, 27.52%). Beneficial effects of platelet concentrates were generally but not systematically reported in most studies, in particular when considering the effects on soft tissue healing and the patient's reported postoperative symptoms like pain and swelling, although no meta-analysis could be done for such parameters. The authors concluded that although the results of the meta-analysis of the present review are suggestive for a positive effect of platelet concentrates on bone formation in post-extraction sockets, due to the limited amount and quality of the available evidence, they need to be cautiously interpreted. A standardization of the experimental design is necessary for a better understanding of the true effects of the use of platelet concentrates for enhancing post-extraction socket healing.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

This section is to be used for informational purposes only. FDA approval alone is not a basis for coverage.

Bone graft products and delivery methods are extensive. See the following website for more information and search by product name in device name section: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMN/pmn.cfm. (Accessed May 3, 2021)

Administration of platelet-rich plasma (PRP) and platelet rich fibrin are procedures and therefore not subject to regulation by the FDA. Devices for the preparation of platelet concentration systems do require FDA approval. See the following website for more information and search in device name section: http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/default.htm. (Accessed May 3, 2021)
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**Instructions for Use**

This Dental Clinical Policy provides assistance in interpreting UnitedHealthcare standard dental benefit plans. When deciding coverage, the member specific benefit plan document must be referenced as the terms of the member specific benefit plan may differ from the standard dental plan. In the event of a conflict, the member specific benefit plan document governs. Before using this policy, please check the member specific benefit plan document and any applicable federal or state mandates. UnitedHealthcare reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary. This Dental Clinical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice.