

UnitedHealthcare[®] Community Plan Medical Benefit Drug Policy

Off-Label/Unproven Specialty Drug Treatment

Off-Label/Unproven Specialty Drug Treatment

Commercial Policy

Policy Number: CS2023D0054N Effective Date: July 1, 2023

Instructions for Use

Page 1 of 7

Table of Contents	Page
Application	1
Coverage Rationale	1
Definitions	2
Background	2
Clinical Evidence	3
U.S. Food and Drug Administration	6
References	6
Policy History/Revision Information	7
Instructions for Use	7

Application

This Medical Benefit Drug Policy does not apply to the states listed below; refer to the state-specific policy/guideline, if noted:

State	Policy/Guideline	
Indiana	None	
Kansas	None	
Louisiana		
North Carolina		
Ohio Off-Label/Unproven Specialty Drug Treatment (for Ohio Only)		

Coverage Rationale

Description

This policy provides parameters for coverage of off-label and unproven indications of FDA-approved medications covered under the medical benefit for **one** of the following:

- Provider administered or supervised specialty drug or patient self-administered specialty drug covered under the medical • benefit with a corresponding UnitedHealthcare policy that does not address the requested indication
- Provider administered or supervised specialty drug or patient self-administered specialty drug covered under the medical • benefit with a corresponding UnitedHealthcare policy that lists the drug as unproven for the requested indication
- Provider administered or supervised specialty drug or patient self-administered specialty drug covered under the medical • benefit without a UnitedHealthcare drug policy

This policy does not address coverage for self-administered medications covered under the pharmacy benefit. Please refer to pharmacy benefit coverage.

This policy does not address coverage of oncology medications (including, but not limited to octreotide acetate, leuprolide acetate, leucovorin and levoleucovorin), including therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals, covered under the medical benefit based

Off-Label/Unproven Specialty Drug Treatment UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Medical Benefit Drug Policy Effective 07/01/2023 Proprietary Information of UnitedHealthcare. Copyright 2023 United HealthCare Services, Inc.

upon the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Drugs & Biologics Compendium[®] (NCCN Compendium[®]). Refer to the Medical Benefit Drug Policy titled <u>Oncology Medication Clinical Coverage</u> for more information.

This policy does not address coverage of vaccines.

Indications of Coverage

A specialty drug may be determined medically necessary for the requested off-label or unproven indication when all of the criteria are met:

- The drug is approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA); and
- The requested drug is a covered benefit by the member's state Medicaid agency; and
- **One** of the following:
 - The requested drug is considered 'unproven' per UnitedHealthcare drug policy, where applicable
 - The indication for the requested drug is not addressed by a UnitedHealthcare drug policy, where applicable
 - o A UnitedHealthcare drug policy does not exist for the requested drug;

and

0

- The requested drug is intended to treat a chronic and seriously debilitating, or Serious Rare Disease; and
- The patient has not failed a previous course or trial of the requested drug; and
- The patient is not currently in an eligible clinical trial; and
- Documented history of failure, contraindication, or intolerance to standard, conventional therapies to treat or manage the disease or condition, where available; **and**
- Diagnosis is **clinically supported** as a use by at least **one** of the following:
 - One of the following compendia:
 - The American Hospital Formulary Service Drug Information (AHFS DI) under the Therapeutic Uses section¹
 - The Elsevier Gold Standard's Clinical Pharmacology under the Indications section²
 - DRUGDEX System by Micromedex[®] has a Strength of Recommendation rating of Class I, Class IIa, or Class IIb under the Therapeutic Uses section;³

or

- ο Clinical indications supported by InterQual® Specialty Rx⁸; or
- Two (2) articles from major peer reviewed medical journals that present data supporting the proposed off-label use or uses as generally safe and effective unless there is validated, and uncontested contradictory evidence presented in a major peer-reviewed medical journal. (Examples of accepted journals include, but are not limited to, Journal of American Medical Association, New England Journal of Medicine, and Lancet. Accepted study designs may include, but are not limited to, randomized, double blind, placebo controlled clinical trials. Evidence limited to case studies or case series is not sufficient to meet the standard of this criterion)

Definitions

Serious Rare Disease: A clinical condition or disease is considered:

- Serious if it is life threatening or accompanied by significant major disability or imminent threat of major disability such as paralysis or limb amputation; and
- **Rare** if it occurs too infrequently for a body of evidence to accumulate within a reasonable period of time.

Note: A serious rare disease is both serious and rare as defined in this policy.

Background

An off label/unlabeled use of a drug is defined as a use for a non-FDA approved indication, that is, one that is not listed on the drug's official label/prescribing information. An indication is defined as a diagnosis, illness, injury, syndrome, condition, or other clinical parameter for which a drug may be given. Off-label use is further defined as giving the drug in a way that deviates significantly from the labeled prescribing information for a particular indication.

Clinical Evidence

In order to meet the requirement that the use of the drug is medically necessary for the treatment of disease, the drugs must be safe and effective relative to other available treatments. Off-label drug prescribing may be determined medically necessary if scientific evidence and/or compendia support the regimen. A compendium is defined "as a comprehensive listing of FDA-approved drugs and biologicals (or a comprehensive listing of a specific subset of drugs and biologicals in a specialty compendium, for example, a compendium of anti-cancer treatment)."⁴

American Hospital Formulary Service Drug Information (AHFS-DI)

AHFS-DI utilizes the following levels of evidence rating system:1

- Level 1:
 - High Strength/Quality as defined by at least one of the following:
 - Evidence consists of at least one randomized, double-blind trial without important limitations (i.e., large treatment effect); intent-to-treat analysis used, confidence intervals reported. If more than one trial is available, these trials have consistent results
 - Evidence consists of a meta-analysis of such trials with consistent results (i.e., low heterogeneity)
 - Evidence consisting of a non-blinded or single blinded trial that meets study objective end points may be considered
 - as Level 1 evidence in some cancer-related cases (e.g., NCI-sponsored cooperative group study or a multicenter trial) Level 2:
 - Moderate Strength/Quality as defined by at least one of the following:
 - Evidence consists of at least one non-blinded or single-blinded, randomized clinical trial
 - Evidence consists of at least one non-blinded or single-blinded, non-randomized clinical trial
 - Evidence consists of a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled clinical trials with heterogeneous results if reasons for heterogeneity in individual trials are adequately discussed
 - Evidence consists of at least one randomized, controlled clinical trial, but with important methodological limitations (e.g., large number of patients lost to follow-up and/or no intent-to-treat analysis and/or important data not recorded)
 - Evidence is inconsistent (i.e., two or more randomized controlled trials with unexplained, widely varying estimates
 of treatment effects, even if results of individual trials would constitute strong Level 1 evidence when considered
 alone)
 - Evidence consisting of a non-blinded, non-randomized trial (i.e., a phase II study) may be considered as Level 2 evidence in some cancer-related cases (i.e., rare cancers or cancers with limited available treatment options)
- Level 3:
 - Low Strength/Quality is defined as:
 - Evidence consists of observational studies, case reports, or case series; may also include randomized clinical trials with multiple serious deficiencies or study limitations
- Level 4:
 - Opinion/Experience is defined as:
 - Evidence consists of expert consensus panel reports or expert reviewers' comments

AHFS-DI utilizes the following grades of recommendation:

- Recommended (Accepted):
 - The drug or biologic should be used, is recommended/indicated, or is useful/effective/beneficial in most cases
 - Reasonable Choice (Accepted, with Possible Conditions) (e.g., treatment option):
 - The drug or biologic is reasonable to use under certain conditions (e.g., in certain patient groups), can be useful/effective/beneficial, or is probably recommended or indicated
- Not Fully Established (Unclear Risk/Benefit, Equivocal Evidence, Inadequate Data and/or Experience):
 - Usefulness and/or effectiveness is unknown, unclear, or uncertain or is not well established relative to the standard of care
- Not Recommended (Unaccepted):
 - The drug or biologic is considered inappropriate, obsolete, or unproven; is not recommended, is not indicated, or is not useful/effective/beneficial; or may be harmful

Off-Label/Unproven Specialty Drug Treatment UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Medical Benefit Drug Policy

Clinical Pharmacology

Off-label drug indication data are included within Clinical Pharmacology when identified as a clinically relevant or as emerging treatment that are adequately supported by a systematic review of the evidence. Off-label data are primarily identified for inclusion in the database through a regular and comprehensive review of:²

- Primary published literature
- New or updated national practice guidelines
- Surveillance of other accepted sources of medical information (e.g., FDA, CDC, NIH communications)
- Dialogue with customers or other external reviewers of the compendia content

The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) system is used to evaluate and rate the quality of evidence to determine qualities of evidence levels and recommendations as follows:⁶⁻⁷

Grade of Recommendation	Clarity of Risk/Benefit	Quality of Supporting Evidence	Implications
1A. Strong recommendation; High quality evidence	Benefits clearly outweigh risk and burdens, or vice versa	Consistent evidence from well-performed randomized, controlled trials or overwhelming evidence of some other form. Further research is unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of benefit and risk.	Strong recommendation, can apply to most patients in most circumstances without reservation
1B. Strong recommendation; Moderate quality evidence	Benefits clearly outweigh risk and burdens, or vice versa	Evidence from randomized, controlled trials with important limitations (inconsistent results, methodologic flaws, indirect or imprecise), or very strong evidence of some other form. Further research (if performed) is likely to have an impact on our confidence in the estimate of benefit and risk and may change the estimate.	Strong recommendation, likely to apply to most patients
1C. Strong recommendation; Low quality evidence	Benefits appear to outweigh risk and burdens, or vice versa	Evidence from observational studies, unsystematic clinical experience, or from randomized, controlled trials with serious flaws. Any estimate of effect is uncertain.	Relatively strong recommendation; might change when higher quality evidence becomes available
2A. Weak recommendation; High quality evidence	Benefits closely balanced with risks and burdens	Consistent evidence from well-performed randomized, controlled trials or overwhelming evidence of some other form. Further research is unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of benefit and risk.	Weak recommendation, best action may differ depending on circumstances or patients or societal values
2B. Weak recommendation; Moderate quality evidence	Benefits closely balanced with risks and burdens, some uncertainly in the estimates of benefits, risks and burdens	Evidence from randomized, controlled trials with important limitations (inconsistent results, methodologic flaws, indirect or imprecise), or very strong evidence of some other form. Further research (if performed) is likely to have an impact on our confidence in the estimate of benefit and risk and may change the estimate.	Weak recommendation, alternative approaches likely to be better for some patients under some circumstances

Grade of Recommendation	Clarity of Risk/Benefit	Quality of Supporting Evidence	Implications
2C. Weak recommendation; Low quality evidence	Uncertainty in the estimates of benefits, risks, and burdens; benefits may be closely balanced with risks and burdens	Evidence from observational studies, unsystematic clinical experience, or from randomized, controlled trials with serious flaws. Any estimate of effect is uncertain.	Very weak recommendation; other alternatives may be equally reasonable

DRUGDEX (Micromedex)

The DRUGDEX (Micromedex) efficacy, strength of evidence, and strength of recommendation definitions are outlined below:³

DRUGD	EX (Micromedex): Strengtl	n of Recommendation, Strength of Evidence and Efficacy
Strength of Recomme	ndation	
Class I	Recommended	The given test or treatment has been proven to be useful and should be performed or administered.
Class IIa	Recommended, In Most Cases	The given test, or treatment is generally considered to be useful, and is indicated in most cases.
Class IIb	Recommended, In Some Cases	The given test, or treatment may be useful, and is indicated in some, but not most, cases.
Class III	Not Recommended	The given test, or treatment is not useful, and should be avoided.
Class Indeterminate	Evidence Inconclusive	
Strength of Evidence		
Category A	Category A evidence is based on data derived from: Meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials with homogeneity with regard to the directions and degrees of results between individual studies. Multiple, well-done randomized clinical trials involving large numbers of patients.	
Category B	Category B evidence is based on data derived from: Meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials with conflicting conclusions with regard to the directions and degrees of results between individual studies. Randomized controlled trials that involved small numbers of patients or had significant methodological flaws (e.g., bias, drop-out rate, flawed analysis, etc.). Nonrandomized studies (e.g., cohort studies, case-control studies, observational studies).	
Category C	Category C evidence is based on data derived from: Expert opinion or consensus, case reports or case series.	
No evidence		
Efficacy		
Class I	Effective	Evidence and/or expert opinion suggests that a given drug treatment for a specific indication is effective.
Class IIa	Evidence Favors Efficacy	Evidence and/or expert opinion is conflicting as to whether a given drug treatment for a specific indication is effective, but the weight of evidence and/or expert opinion favors efficacy.
Class IIb	Evidence is Inconclusive	Evidence and/or expert opinion is conflicting as to whether a given drug treatment for a specific indication is effective, but the weight of evidence and/or expert opinion argues against efficacy.
Class III	Ineffective	Evidence and/or expert opinion suggests that a given drug treatment for a specific indication is ineffective.

InterQual[•] Specialty Rx

The InterQual clinical content development is generally consistent with:

• AHRQ Methods Guides, the Cochrane Handbook, and the NICE guideline development manual for literature searching, critical appraisal, and combining results of studies

Off-Label/Unproven Specialty Drug Treatment UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Medical Benefit Drug Policy Page 5 of 7 Effective 07/01/2023

Proprietary Information of UnitedHealthcare. Copyright 2023 United HealthCare Services, Inc.

GRADE methodology for compiling evidence and determining recommendations

Classification	Type of Evidence
Class I	Meta-analysis, technology assessment, or systematic review
Class II	Randomized controlled clinical trial
Class III	Observational or epidemiologic study
Class IV	Evidence-based guideline
Class V	Expert opinion, panel consensus, literature review, text or reference book, descriptive study, case report, or case series
Classification	Type of Evidence
High	Additional research is considered very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect
Medium	Further research is likely to have an important impact on the estimate of effect
Low	Further research is very likely to change the estimate of effect
Very Low	Our estimate of effect is very uncertain

Evidence classification and quality of evidence definitions are outlined below:

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

This section is to be used for informational purposes only. FDA approval alone is not a basis for coverage.

The U.S. FDA released an information sheet entitled "Off – Label" and Investigational Use of Marketed Drugs, Biologics, and Medical Devices" which states:⁵

Good medical practice and the best interests of the patient require that physicians use legally available drugs, biologics
and devices according to their best knowledge and judgment. If physicians use a product for an indication not in the
approved labeling, they have the responsibility to be well informed about the product, to base its use on firm scientific
rationale and on sound medical evidence, and to maintain records of the product's use and effects.

References

- AHFS Drug information [website]. Available at: <u>http://www.ahfsdruginformation.com/levels-of-evidence-rating-system/</u>. Accessed May 8, 2023.
- 2. Clinical Pharmacology [database online]. Tampa, FL: Gold Standard, Inc.; 2021. URL: http://www.clinicalpharmacology.com. Accessed May 8, 2023.
- 3. IBM Micromedex[®] DRUGDEX[®] (electronic version). Truven Health Analytics, Greenwood Village, Colorado, USA. Available at: <u>http://www.micromedexsolutions.com/</u>. Accessed May 8, 2023.
- 4. 42 CFR § 414.930. Available at: <u>www.CMS.gov</u>. Accessed May 8, 2023.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration Regulatory Information [website]. "Off-Label" and Investigational Use of Marketed Drugs, Biologics, and Medical Devices - Information Sheet. <u>http://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm126486.htm</u>. Last updated May 6, 2020. Accessed May 8, 2023.
- Schünemann H, Brożek J, Guyatt G, Oxman A, editors. GRADE handbook for grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. Updated October 2013. The GRADE Working Group, 2013. Available from <u>http://gdt.guidelinedevelopment.org/app/handbook/handbook.html</u>. Accessed May 8, 2023.
- 7. Grading Guide. UpToDate [database online]. Available at: <u>http://www.uptodate.com/home/grading-guide</u>. Accessed May 8, 2023.
- 8. InterQual[®] Specialty Rx (Oncology and Non-Oncology), Ambulatory Care Planning Criteria, 2023 Release. Accessed May 9, 2023.

Off-Label/Unproven Specialty Drug Treatment UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Medical Benefit Drug Policy Proprietary Information of UnitedHealthcare. Copyright 2023 United HealthCare Services, Inc.

Policy History/Revision Information

Date	Summary of Changes	
07/01/2023	Supporting Information	
	Updated References section to reflect the most current information	
	Archived previous policy version CS2022D0054M	

Instructions for Use

This Medical Benefit Drug Policy provides assistance in interpreting UnitedHealthcare standard benefit plans. When deciding coverage, the federal, state or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage must be referenced as the terms of the federal, state or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage may differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage govern. Before using this policy, please check the federal, state or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage. UnitedHealthcare reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary. This Medical Benefit Drug Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice.

UnitedHealthcare may also use tools developed by third parties, such as the InterQual[®] criteria, to assist us in administering health benefits. The UnitedHealthcare Medical Benefit Drug Policies are intended to be used in connection with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.