

Apheresis (for Ohio Only)

Policy Number: CS004OH.B
Effective Date: January 1, 2025

[Instructions for Use](#)

| Table of Contents | Page |
|---|------|
| Application | 1 |
| Coverage Rationale | 1 |
| Applicable Codes | 4 |
| Description of Services | 4 |
| Clinical Evidence | 6 |
| U.S. Food and Drug Administration | 21 |
| References | 22 |
| Policy History/Revision Information | 25 |
| Instructions for Use | 25 |

Related Policy

- [Prolotherapy and Platelet Rich Plasma Therapies \(for Ohio Only\)](#)

Application

This Medical Policy only applies to the state of Ohio. Any requests for services that are stated as unproven or services for which there is a coverage or quantity limit will be evaluated for medical necessity using Ohio Administrative Code 5160-1-01.

Coverage Rationale

Therapeutic apheresis is proven and medically necessary for treating or managing the following conditions/diagnoses:

- Acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, primary treatment
- Acute liver failure [requiring [high volume therapeutic plasma exchange](#) (TPE-HV)]
- Anti-glomerular basement membrane disease
 - Dialysis independent
 - Diffuse alveolar hemorrhage
 - Chronic acquired demyelinating polyneuropathies
 - IgG/IgA/IgM related
 - Anti-myelin-associated glycoprotein
- Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy
- Cryoglobulinemia, second line therapy
- Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma; erythrodermic mycosis fungoides; Sézary syndrome
- Erythrocytosis, polycythemia vera
- Dilated cardiomyopathy, idiopathic, New York Heart Association class II-IV, via immunoadsorption
- Familial hypercholesterolemia
 - Homozygotes, lipoprotein apheresis
 - Heterozygotes, lipoprotein apheresis, second line therapy
 - All patients via therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE)
- Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, recurrent in transplanted kidney, second line therapy
- Graft-versus-host disease
 - Acute
 - Chronic, second line therapy
- Hereditary hemochromatosis
- Hypertriglyceridemic pancreatitis, severe
- Hyperviscosity in hypergammaglobulinemia

- Inflammatory bowel disease, ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease via adsorptive cytapheresis
- Lipoprotein(a) hyperlipoproteinemia
- Multiple sclerosis, acute attack or relapse, second line therapy
- Myasthenia gravis, acute
- Myeloma cast nephropathy, second line therapy
- Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders, acute or relapse, second line therapy
- N-methyl D-aspartate receptor antibody encephalitis
- Pediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders, PANDAS/PANS exacerbation
- Peripheral vascular diseases
- Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy associated with natalizumab
- Pruritus due to hepatobiliary diseases, treatment resistant
- Rheumatoid arthritis, refractory, second line therapy
- Sickle cell disease
 - Acute stroke or multiorgan failure
 - Acute chest syndrome, severe, second line therapy
 - Stroke prophylaxis
 - Individuals requiring chronic transfusion (receiving transfusions once every 5 weeks or more frequently)
- Thrombotic microangiopathy, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP)
- Transplantation, heart, second line therapy
 - Cellular rejection
 - Recurrent rejection
 - Desensitization
 - In children less than 40 months of age, ABO incompatible
 - Rejection prophylaxis via therapeutic plasma exchange
- Transplantation, hematopoietic stem cell, ABO incompatible, second line therapy
 - Hemopoietic progenitor cells collected from marrow [HPC(M)]
 - Hemopoietic progenitor cells collected by apheresis [HPC(A)]
- Transplantation, kidney, ABO compatible
 - Antibody mediated rejection
 - Desensitization/prophylaxis living donor
- Transplantation, kidney, ABO Incompatible, second line therapy
 - Antibody mediated rejection
 - Desensitization, living donor
- Transplantation, liver
- Desensitization, ABO, incompatible, living donor, via therapeutic plasma exchange
- Transplantation, lung
 - Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome
 - Chronic lung allograft dysfunction
- Vasculitis, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA)-associated
 - Microscopic polyangiitis
 - Granulomatosis with polyangiitis
- Voltage gated potassium channel antibody related diseases
- Wilson's disease, fulminant

Due to insufficient evidence of efficacy, therapeutic apheresis including plasma exchange, plasmapheresis, or photopheresis is unproven and not medically necessary for treating or managing the following conditions/diagnoses, including but not limited to:

- Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM)
- Acute liver failure and acute fatty liver of pregnancy (requiring TPE)
- Acute toxins, venoms, and poisons
- Age related macular degeneration, dry
- Alzheimer's disease (mild or moderate)
- Amyloidosis, systemic dialysis related
- ANCA-associated rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis, dialysis independent (granulomatosis with polyangiitis; and microscopic polyangiitis)
- Anti-glomerular basement membrane disease, dialysis dependent, without diffuse alveolar hemorrhage
- Atopic dermatitis, recalcitrant
- Autoimmune dysautonomia

- Autoimmune hemolytic anemia; severe warm autoimmune hemolytic anemia (WAIHA); severe cold agglutinin disease
- Babesiosis, severe
- Burn shock resuscitation
- Cardiac neonatal lupus
- Catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome/hemolytic uremic syndrome
- Chronic acquired demyelinating polyneuropathies, CANOMAD/CANDA
- Chronic focal encephalitis
- Coagulation factor deficiency and inhibitors
- Complex regional pain syndrome
- Cryoglobulinemia
- Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma; mycosis fungoides; non-erythrodermic
- Dilated cardiomyopathy, idiopathic, New York Heart Association class II-IV, via TPE
- Erythropoietic protoporphyria, liver disease
- Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, steroid resistant in native kidney via TPE or lipoprotein apheresis for all types
- Hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis
- Heparin induced thrombocytopenia and thrombosis
- Hyperleukocytosis
- Hypertriglyceridemic pancreatitis, prevention of relapse
- Idiopathic inflammatory myopathies, including anti-synthetase-syndrome, clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis, and immune-mediated necrotizing myopathies
- IgA nephropathy
- Immune checkpoint inhibitors, immune-related adverse events
- Immune thrombocytopenia, refractory
- Inflammatory bowel disease, Crohn's disease, via extracorporeal photopheresis
- Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome
- Malaria
- Multiple sclerosis, chronic
- Myasthenia gravis, long term treatment
- Myeloma cast nephropathy
- Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis
- Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders, maintenance
- Paraneoplastic autoimmune retinopathies
- Paraneoplastic neurologic syndromes
- Pemphigus vulgaris
- Phytanic acid storage disease
- Post transfusion purpura
- Psoriasis
- Red blood cell alloimmunization, pregnancy complications
- Sepsis with multiorgan failure
- Sickle cell disease (unless noted above as proven)
- Steroid-responsive encephalopathy associated with autoimmune thyroiditis
- Stiff-person syndrome
- Sudden sensorineural hearing loss
- Sydenham's chorea, severe
- Systemic lupus erythematosus, severe complications
- Systemic sclerosis
- Thrombocytosis
- Thrombotic microangiopathy
 - Coagulation mediated (THBD, DGKE, and PLG mutations)
 - Complement mediated (factor H autoantibody and complement factor gene mutations)
 - Drug associated (ticlopidine, clopidogrel, gemcitabine, quinine)
 - Infection associated (STEC-HUS, severe; pHUS)
 - Pregnancy associated, severe; extremely preterm preeclampsia, severe
 - Transplantation associated
- Thyroid storm
- Toxic epidermal necrolysis
- Transplantation, heart

- Rejection prophylaxis via extracorporeal photopheresis
- Antibody mediated rejection
- Transplantation, hematopoietic stem cell ABO incompatible
 - Minor ABOi HPC(A)
 - Pure red cell aplasia
- Transplantation, hematopoietic stem cell, HLA desensitization
- Transplantation, intestine
- Transplantation, liver
 - Desensitization, ABO incompatible, deceased donor
 - Antibody mediated rejection
 - Immune suppression withdrawal
 - Desensitization, ABO incompatible, via extracorporeal photopheresis
- Transplantation, lung
 - Antibody mediated rejection
 - Desensitization
- Vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia
- Vasculitis, ANCA-associated, eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis
- Vasculitis IgA:
 - Crescentic rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis
 - Severe extra-renal manifestations
- Vasculitis:
 - Hepatitis B polyarteritis nodosa
 - Kawasaki disease
 - Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children

Note: Refer to the [Description of Services](#) section for information regarding all apheresis-based procedures.

Applicable Codes

The following list(s) of procedure and/or diagnosis codes is provided for reference purposes only and may not be all inclusive. Listing of a code in this policy does not imply that the service described by the code is a covered or non-covered health service. Benefit coverage for health services is determined by federal, state, or contractual requirements and applicable laws that may require coverage for a specific service. The inclusion of a code does not imply any right to reimbursement or guarantee claim payment. Other Policies and Guidelines may apply.

| CPT Code | Description |
|----------|---|
| 0342T | Therapeutic apheresis with selective HDL delipidation and plasma reinfusion |
| 36511 | Therapeutic apheresis; for white blood cells |
| 36512 | Therapeutic apheresis; for red blood cells |
| 36513 | Therapeutic apheresis; for platelets |
| 36514 | Therapeutic apheresis; for plasma pheresis |
| 36516 | Therapeutic apheresis; with extracorporeal immunoadsorption, selective adsorption or selective filtration and plasma reinfusion |
| 36522 | Photopheresis, extracorporeal |

CPT® is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association

| HCPCS Code | Description |
|------------|--|
| S2120 | Low density lipoprotein (LDL) apheresis using heparin-induced extracorporeal LDL precipitation |

Description of Services

Therapeutic apheresis does not include stem cell collection or harvesting for use in bone marrow/stem cell transplantation. It is usually performed in an outpatient facility and usually requires several hours to complete. In some clinical situations, plasma exchange may be performed daily for at least 1 week.

Adsorptive Cytapheresis: A therapeutic procedure in which blood of the patient is passed through a medical device, which contains a column or a filter that selectively adsorbs activated monocytes and granulocytes, allowing the remaining leukocytes and other blood components to be returned to the patient.

B2 Microglobulin Column: The B2 microglobulin apheresis column contains porous cellulose beads specifically designed to bind to B2 microglobulin as the patient's blood passes over the beads.

Double Filtration Plasmapheresis (DFPP): A two-step procedure that removes pathogenic substances from plasma where membrane plasma separation is followed by plasma filtration. The procedure is used for elimination of autoantibodies, immune complexes, or lipoproteins.

Erythrocytapheresis: A procedure in which blood of the patient or donor is passed through a medical device which separates red blood cells from other components of blood. The red blood cells are removed and replaced with crystalloid or colloid solution, when necessary.

Extracorporeal Photopheresis (ECP): A therapeutic procedure, in which the buffy coat is separated from the patient's blood, treated extracorporeally with a photoactive compound (e.g., psoralens) and exposed to ultraviolet A light then subsequently reinfused to the patient during the same procedure.

Filtration Selective Removal: A procedure which uses a filter to remove components from the blood based on size. Depending on the pore size of the filters used, different components can be removed. Filtration-based instruments can be used to perform plasma exchange or LDL apheresis. They can also be used to perform donor plasmapheresis where plasma is collected for transfusion or further manufacture.

High-Volume Plasma Exchange (HVP): HVP is defined as an exchange of 15% of ideal body weight (representing 8-12 L); patient plasma was removed at a rate of 1-2 L per hour with replacement with plasma in equivalent volume.

Immunoabsorption (IA): A therapeutic procedure in which plasma of the patient, after separation from the blood, is passed through a medical device which has a capacity to remove immunoglobulins by specifically binding them to the active component (e.g., Staphylococcal protein A) of the device.

Low-Density Lipoprotein (LDL) Apheresis: The selective removal of low-density lipoproteins from the blood with the return of the remaining components. A variety of instruments are available which remove LDL cholesterol based on charge (dextran sulfate and polyacrylate), size (double-membrane filtration), precipitation at low pH (HELP), or immunoabsorption with anti-Apo B-100 antibodies.

Leukocytapheresis (LCP): A procedure in which blood of the patient or the donor is passed through a medical device which separates white blood cells (e.g., leukemic blasts or granulocytes), collects the selected cells, and returns the remainder of the patient's or the donor's blood with or without the addition of replacement fluid such as colloid and/or crystalloid solution. This procedure can be used therapeutically or in the preparation of blood components.

Photopheresis: A procedure where blood is removed from the body, treated with ultraviolet light and medications that are activated by the ultraviolet light, then reinfused into the body.

Plasma Exchange (Plasmapheresis): A procedure that involves the use of a machine to separate and remove the plasma from the blood cells and then replace the plasma with a solution prior to reinfusion into the patient.

Platelet Apheresis: A procedure in which blood of the donor is passed through a medical device which separates platelets, collects the platelets, and returns the remainder of the donor's blood. This procedure is used in the preparation of blood components (e.g., apheresis platelets).

Red Blood Cell (RBC) Exchange: A therapeutic procedure in which blood of the patient is passed through a medical device which separates red blood cells from other components of blood. The patient's red blood cells are removed and replaced with donor red blood cells and colloid solution.

Rheopheresis: A therapeutic procedure in which blood of the patient is passed through a medical device which separates high-molecular-weight plasma components such as fibrinogen, α_2 -macroglobulin, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and IgM to reduce plasma viscosity and red cell aggregation. This is done to improve blood flow and tissue oxygenation. LDL apheresis devices and selective filtration devices using two filters, one to separate plasma from cells and a second to separate the high-molecular-weight components, are used for these procedures.

Therapeutic Apheresis: A procedure in which blood is collected, part of the blood (such as platelets or white blood cells) is removed, and the remaining components of the blood are reinfused into the body. It is a general term which includes all apheresis-based procedures; also called pheresis.

Thrombocytapheresis: A therapeutic procedure in which blood of the patient is passed through a medical device which separates platelets, removes the platelets, and returns the remainder of the patient's blood with or without the addition of replacement fluid such as colloid and/or crystalloid solution.

Clinical Evidence

Cryoglobulinemia, Second Line Therapy

Miao et al. (2023) performed a systematic review to assess the efficacy of different therapeutic apheresis (TA) modalities, such as plasma exchange (PE), plasmapheresis (PP), and cryofiltration (CF), in treating cryoglobulinemic vasculitis (CV) patients with renal involvement. A total of 76 articles (n = 154) met inclusion criteria. The CV types included 15 type I (single monoclonal Igs, primarily IgM), 97 type II (combination of monoclonal and polyclonal Igs, usually IgM κ plus IgG κ or IgG λ), and 13 type III (comprises both polyclonal IgM and IgG), while the remaining patients exhibited mixed (n = 17) or undetermined CV types (n = 12). TA overall response rate for was 78%, with response rates of 84% in Type I, 77% Type II, and 75% in Type III patients, respectively. The overall long-term renal outcome rate was 77%, patients with type I, II, and III experiencing response rates of 89%, 76%, and 90%, respectively. The renal outcomes in patients receiving PE, PP, and CF were comparable, with rates of 78%, 76%, and 81%, respectively. The authors concluded that incorporating TA with other treatments, especially immunosuppressive therapy, is a successful strategy for effectively managing severe renal involvement in CV patients. Among the TA modalities assessed, including PE, PP, and CF, all determined to be effective, with PE being the most deployed approach. Limitations in the study included a lack of RCTs and small sample size. Further studies are necessary to determine the best TA modality and treatment regimen for patients with CV of different subtypes, in addition to examine long-term outcomes beyond renal function.

Desensitization for Kidney Transplants

Chen et al. (2022) conducted a retrospective study to investigate and analyze the clearance effects of desensitization therapy on HLA antibodies to provide a reference for the formulation of clinical desensitization therapy regimens. Twenty-seven individual recipients of kidney transplant who received preoperative/postoperative desensitization therapy based on Protein A immunoabsorption (PA-IA) therapy in combination with drug therapy were enrolled. The pre-treatment mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of 1324 human leukocyte antigen (HLA) antibody specificities (MFI > 2000) and the post-treatment MFI of the corresponding antibody specificities (after one, four, seven, and 10 sessions) were recorded to analyze the changes in antibody level reduction for the different antibody classes and MFI ranges. After 10 sessions of PA-IA therapy, the MFI of class I antibodies decreased from 8298.56 to 3196.15 (reduction of 66.80%), while the MFI of class II antibodies decreased from 13,521.09 to 2773.29 (reduction of 71.14%). The pre-treatment level of class II antibodies was significantly higher than that of class I antibodies (p < 0.001), whereas the post-treatment levels of class I and II antibodies were comparable (p > 0.05). The clearance effects of PA-IA therapy were greater for strongly positive (MFI > 10,000) class II antibodies than for strongly positive class I antibodies, showing a reduction of 62.59% (25.17% to 91.04%) and 45.13% (32.70% to 73.94%), respectively (p = 0.015). The removal efficacy of PA-IA for HLA antibodies was confirmed. The removal efficacy of class II antibodies on PA-IA was not inferior to that of class I. Under an adequate number of treatment sessions, the clearance effect of PA-IA therapy for strongly positive class II antibodies may be greater than that for strongly positive class I antibodies. Following a thorough analysis of the differences in antibody clearance among different classes, initial MFI subgroups, and different treatment phases, findings confirmed the clearance effects of PA-IA on HLA antibodies. The authors concluded that the findings imply that desensitization therapy based on PA-IA is clinically effective in ensuring the successful completion of kidney transplantation and the stable recovery of postoperative renal allograft function. The conclusions of these previous studies are subject to limitations due to their small HLA antibody-specific sample size, an insufficient number of groups, or the inadequate number of treatments. Future prospective and control trials are required to validate the above conclusions.

In a single-center retrospective study, Campise et al (2019) evaluated their experience with prophylactic and therapeutic plasmapheresis in a cohort of 21 individual recipients of deceased-donor kidney transplant with primary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS). The authors analyzed ten patients who received post-transplant prophylactic plasmapheresis only with eleven who received both pre- and post-transplant prophylactic plasmapheresis. They also compared these groups to a historical control group of transplant recipients with FSGS who did not receive plasmapheresis prophylaxis. The authors observed that response to treatment was only seen in patients who received a more intensive prophylactic plasmapheresis regimen and that half of the recipients with FSGS recurrence did not respond to plasmapheresis and developed graft failure, a quarter of the recipients showed complete response and the remaining 25% became plasmapheresis dependent. While therapeutic plasmapheresis is still a valid treatment option for first-line treatment of

relapsing FSGS, the authors concluded that there is no benefit from prophylactic plasmapheresis in deceased-donor kidney transplant recipients with FSGS and recommended that prospective randomized trials comparing alternative preemptive strategies be done. They acknowledged the limitations of this study including the retrospective design, the small, homogeneous sample size, and the differences in follow-up between the treatment groups.

Montgomery et al. (2011a) used a protocol that included plasmapheresis and the administration of low-dose IVIG to desensitize 211 patients sensitized to HLA who underwent live-donor renal transplantation (treatment group). The rates of death were compared between the group undergoing desensitization treatment and 2 carefully matched control groups of patients on a waiting list for kidney transplantation who continued to undergo dialysis (dialysis-only group) or who underwent either dialysis or HLA-compatible transplantation (dialysis-or-transplantation group). In the treatment group, Kaplan-Meier estimates of patient survival were 90.6% at 1 year, 85.7% at 3 years, 80.6% at 5 years, and 80.6% at 8 years, as compared with rates of 91.1%, 67.2%, 51.5%, and 30.5%, respectively, for patients in the dialysis-only group and rates of 93.1%, 77.0%, 65.6%, and 49.1%, respectively, for patients in the dialysis-or-transplantation group. The authors concluded that live-donor transplantation after desensitization provided a significant survival benefit for patients with HLA sensitization, as compared with waiting for a compatible organ. By 8 years, this survival advantage more than doubled. According to the authors, plasmapheresis does not result in a durable reduction in HLA antibody unless the patient undergoes transplantation within several days after the last treatment. This factor accounts for the paucity of reports of protocols that use plasmapheresis to desensitize patients who are on the waiting list for a transplant from a deceased donor.

Montgomery et al. (2011b) used mathematical simulations verified by actual data from several national kidney-paired donation (KPD) programs to evaluate which donor/recipient phenotypes are likely to benefit from each transplant modality. They found that pairs that are easy to match are likely to receive compatible kidneys in a KPD. Those who are hard to match may be better served by desensitization with high-dose IVIG or plasmapheresis and low-dose IVIG. The phenotype which is both hard to match and hard to desensitize due to board and strong HLA reactivity are most likely to be transplanted by a hybrid modality utilizing desensitization after identifying a more immunologically favorable donor in a KPD. The authors state that recent outcomes from desensitization in which starting donor-specific antibody strength is low have been very good. For broadly sensitized patients with a high-strength cross match, searching for a better donor in a KPD pool can facilitate a safer and more successful desensitization treatment course.

Yuan et al. (2010) evaluated the efficacy of plasmapheresis plus low-dose IVIG in highly sensitized patients waiting for a deceased-donor renal transplant. Thirty-five highly sensitized patients (HLA class I panel reactive antibody greater than 50%) received plasmapheresis, plus low-dose IVIG treatment. In 25 patients (group 1), a positive T- and/or B-cell cytotoxicity crossmatch became negative by plasmapheresis plus low-dose IVIG treatment. Two patients did not receive renal transplants due to persistent positive crossmatch. Eight patients already had a negative crossmatch before desensitization. During the same time, 32 highly sensitized patients (group 2), without desensitization, had a negative crossmatch and received deceased-donor renal transplants. Group 1 showed a numerically higher rate of acute rejection (32.0% vs 21.9%) and AMR (20.0% vs 9.4%), but the difference was not statistically significant. Comparable mean serum creatinine levels at 24 months were observed. No difference in Kaplan-Meier graft survival was found between group 1 and group 2 after follow-up of 52 +/- 26 months. The authors concluded that desensitization with plasmapheresis plus low-dose IVIG enables successful deceased-donor renal transplant in highly sensitized patients with a positive crossmatch. AMR occurred predominantly in recipients with donor-specific antibodies of high titers.

Meng et al. (2009) determined the percentage of panel reactivity and specificity of anti-HLA immunoglobulin (IgG) antibodies in 73 presensitized renal allograft recipients who underwent cadaveric renal transplantation compared with 81 unsensitized recipients who received cadaveric renal transplantation (control group). Sensitized patients had higher rates of graft rejection and graft loss. A total of 20 out of the 73 patients received pre-transplantation plasmapheresis (PP) and/or Immunoabsorption (IA) and of these, 10 achieved negative panel reactive antibodies (PRAs). Graft rejection rate was 18% in unsensitized group, 41% in non-PP and/or IA sensitized group, and 20% in PP and/or IA sensitized group. Graft loss rate was 5% in unsensitized group, 21% in non-PP and/or IA sensitized group, and 15% in PP and/or IA sensitized group (20% positive PRA at transplant and 10% negative PRA at transplant). The authors concluded that pre-transplant PRA preparations might improve the access of presensitized patients to renal donors.

Familial Hypercholesterolemia

Luirink, et al. (2020) performed an observational multicenter case series on data from an international registry on the execution and outcomes of lipoprotein apheresis (LA) in children with homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (hoFH). Their analysis included 50 children aged 0-19 years who were treated at 15 sites in nine countries and who were on medication and LA for hoFH. The median age at diagnosis was 5.0 (3.0 – 8.0) years, and in 46 (92%) patients, the diagnosis of hoFH or compound heterozygous FH (heFH) was genetically confirmed. The median untreated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) level was 19.2 (16.2 – 22.1) mmol/L and the total cholesterol was 22.0 (18.4-24.4) mmol/L

for the study participants. On medication, the median LDL-C level was 14.4 (10.8 – 16.7) mmol/L showing a median reduction of LDL-C on medication of 19.3% (11.6 – 37.6). The children were started on apheresis on average at 2.8 (1.0–4.7) years after their diagnosis. The frequency of treatment ranged from two times per week to once every three weeks with most patients (n = 21; 43%) being treated weekly or once every two weeks (n = 18; 37%) with most patients (n = 35; 71%) having been treated for more than two years. Their analysis showed that the median LDL-C in patients on LA for longer than 3 months dropped to 4.6 (3.8 – 7.2) mmol/L with the LDL-C being lower on average the more frequently patients were treated with LA. They reported that 7 (17%) patients reached mean LDL-C levels < 3.5 mmol/L, all of which were treated either once a week (n = 4) or twice a week (n = 3). The authors concluded that the results show that LA may lead to a significant and relevant reduction of LDL-C in children with hoFH and that twice a week LA was significantly more effective in lowering mean LDL-C. They noted that the study had several limitations including the potential for variability in the data being entered and that the results might not be representative of the entire population of children with hoFH since the registry was not open to all sites treating all children with hoFH around the world. The authors recommend further studies with long-term follow-up data of the effect of LA on CVD or surrogate markers for CVD.

Khan et al. (2017) conducted a single-blinded RCT to determine the clinical impact of lipoprotein apheresis in 20 patients with refractory angina and raised lipoprotein(a) > 500 mg/L. Participants received 3 months of blinded weekly lipoprotein apheresis or sham, followed by crossover. The primary endpoint was change in quantitative myocardial perfusion reserve (MPR). Secondary endpoints included measures of atheroma burden, exercise capacity, symptoms, and quality of life. MPR increased following apheresis compared with sham, yielding a net treatment increase of 0.63. All secondary endpoints showed improvements as well. The researchers concluded that lipoprotein apheresis may represent an effective novel treatment for patients with refractory angina and raised lipoprotein(a). They state that a larger study in these patients incorporating the impact of apheresis on major cardiovascular AEs would help to validate the findings.

Hypertriglyceridemic Pancreatitis

Yan et al. (2023) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to explore the efficacy of plasmapheresis in the management of hypertriglyceridemia (HTG)-induced acute pancreatitis (AP). A total of 791 articles were selected and 15 observational studies (n = 1080) met the inclusion criteria. In comparison to conventional treatment, plasmapheresis aided in serum triglyceride level reduction within 24 hours of hospital admission. The plasmapheresis group had a higher in-hospital mortality than in the conventional treatment group; however, the results were disturbed by confounding factors as per the subgroup and sensitivity analysis, as well as trial sequential analysis (TSA). The authors concluded that plasmapheresis reduced serum TG levels in the first 24 h after admission to hospital more significantly than conventional treatment in HTG-induced AP but did not improve disease prognosis. Further high-quality randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are required to validate these findings. Limitations in the study included small sample size and lack of RCTs.

Sahin et al. (2023) conducted a retrospective cross-sectional study to investigate the efficacy of medical treatment and plasmapheresis in patients with acute pancreatitis due to hypertriglyceridemia (HTG). A total of 47 patients met the inclusion criteria and were included in the study. The patients were divided into two groups based on the treatment they received. Group 1 consisted of 29 patients and received medical treatment. Group 2 consisted of 18 patients and received plasmapheresis treatment. The findings suggest the level of triglyceride decrease in 24 h was statistically significantly higher in patients who underwent plasmapheresis (70.4% ±15.1%) than those who received medical treatment (59.7% ±17.3%) (p = 0.032). Triglyceride level (AUC: 0.822, 95% CI: 0.703–0.940; p < 0.001) and bedside index of severity in acute pancreatitis (BISAP) score (AUC: 0.681, 95% CI: 0.518–0.844; p = 0.039) may be helpful to physicians as determinants for plasmapheresis treatment of patients. The authors concluded there is insufficient evidence that early performance of plasmapheresis will be beneficial in HTG-associated acute pancreatitis (HTG-AP), however, there may be potential benefit when added to medical treatment because an earlier and rapid decrease in plasma triglyceride levels may affect the clinical course. The plasma triglyceride level and BISAP score may help physicians to predict the need for plasmapheresis according to the data of the study. Limitations in the study included the retrospective design and small sample size. Further studies are needed and should include prospective, multicenter studies with large patient populations.

Idiopathic Dilated Cardiomyopathy (DCM) via Immunoabsorption

In a meta-analysis on 12 studies with 395 patients with dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), Bian, et al. (2021) reported that immunoabsorption (IA) treatment resulted in significantly improved left ventricular ejection fraction, reduced the left ventricular end diastolic diameter, and reduced severity of symptoms according to the New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional classification but that IA did not have any effect on values for safety parameters. There were 201 patients that received IA therapy and 194 that received optimal medical treatments other than IA. The 12 included studies were all comparative and 4 were randomized studies. The studies included 5 that assessed IA therapy, 4 that assessed IA/immunoglobulin G polytherapy, 3 that assessed IVIG and 2 studies that included a placebo treatment in the control group. Limitations noted by the authors included the number of studies and participants, the heterogeneity among studies

including different treatments and different treatment durations. The authors concluded that IA treatment can improve clinical outcome in DCM patients and recommended further studies to validate the relative safety of IA treatment with multi-center, double blind studies.

Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD)

Sakai et al. (2023) conducted a retrospective analysis to determine whether leukocytapheresis/granulocytapheresis (L/G-CAP) compared with anti-tumor necrosis factor- α monoclonal antibody biological preparations (BP) agents for refractory ulcerative colitis (UC) offered sustained beneficial effects over 2-year period. The patients who had moderately to severely active UC (Rachmilewitz clinical activity index (CAI) ≥ 5) and were treated with a series (10 sessions) of L/G-CAP (n = 19) or BP (n = 7) as an add-on therapy to conventional medications were followed. At baseline, L/G-CAP and BP groups manifested similar disease activity (CAI, L/G-CAP; 7.0 [6.0-10.0], BP; 10.0 [6.0-10.0], p = .207). The L/G-CAP and BP treatment suppressed the activity, with CAI 1 or less attained on day 180. When the L/G-CAP group was dichotomized into L/G-CAP-high and L/G-CAP-low group based on CAI values (≥ 3 or < 3) on day 365, CAI was gradually elevated in L/G-CAP-high group but remained suppressed in L/G-CAP-low group without additional apheresis for 2 years. Anemia was corrected more rapidly, and hemoglobin levels were higher in BP group. The authors concluded that L/G-CAP is as effective as BP in a substantial number of patients over 2 years. Thus, L/G-CAP can effectively manage the disease activity with no additional implementation for 2 years although further therapeutic modalities might be required in a certain population with high CAI observed on day 365. Study was conducted in 2 individual facilities for relatively a short duration, and the study population was small. Current treatment protocols for UC with anti-TNF- α monoclonal antibody agents stick in principle to the continued use of these products even though the remission is maintained. In contrast, the L/G-CAP therapy is conducted at the start of the study and no additional session of L/G-CAP is performed unless required, which strategy might tend to elevate the disease activity in a certain population. Further investigation is needed before clinical usefulness of this procedure is proven.

Iizuka et al. (2022) conducted a systematic review aimed to summarize the current literature on the use of cytapheresis (CAP) in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) showing a poor response or secondary loss of response (LOR) to biologics and its advantages and limitations. In addition, the efficacy of CAP in patients with UC showing insufficient response to thiopurines or immunomodulators (IM) was analyzed. Eight studies evaluated the efficacy of CAP in patients with UC with inadequate responses to thiopurines or IM. There were no differences in the rate of remission and steroid-free remission between patients exposed or not exposed to thiopurines or IM. Three studies evaluated the efficacy of CAP in patients with UC showing an insufficient response to biologic therapies. Mean remission rates of biologics exposed or unexposed patients were 29.4% and 44.2%, respectively. Fourteen studies evaluated the efficacy of CAP in combination with biologics in patients with inflammatory bowel disease showing a poor response or LOR to biologics. The rates of remission/response and steroid-free remission in patients with UC ranged 32%-69% (mean: 48.0%, median: 42.9%) and 9%-75% (mean: 40.7%, median: 38%), respectively. CAP had the same effectiveness for remission induction with or without prior failure on thiopurines or IM but showed little benefit in patients with UC refractory to biologics. Although heterogeneity existed in the efficacy of the combination therapy with CAP and biologics, these combination therapies induced clinical remission/response and steroid-free remission in more than 40% of patients with UC refractory to biologics on average. The authors concluded given the safety profile of CAP, this combination therapy can be an alternative therapeutic strategy for UC refractory to biologics. Extensive prospective studies are needed to understand the efficacy of combination therapy with CAP and biologics.

A retrospective observational study was completed by Fukuchi et al. (2022) to examine the long-term maintenance rate after inducing UC remission by intensive granulocyte/monocyte adsorptive apheresis (GMA) without use of corticosteroids (CS) and GMA re-treatment efficacy in the same patients upon relapse with ulcerative colitis. Patients who achieved clinical remission and mucosal healing (MH) by first-time intensive GMA (first GMA) without CS were enrolled. The cumulative non-relapse survival rate up to week 156 was calculated. Patients with relapse during the maintenance period underwent second-time intensive GMA without CS. Clinical remission and MH rates following second GMA were compared to those following first GMA in the same patients. Of the 84 patients enrolled, 78 were followed until week 156 and 34 demonstrated relapse. The cumulative non-relapse survival rate by week 156 was 56.4%. The authors concluded for the goal of MH in UC patients, intensive GMA prior to use of CS and biologics can be a suitable choice. Such cases generally have a favorable clinical prognosis, including a sufficient rate of clinical remission maintenance, as well as superior re-induction rate of clinical and endoscopic remission by GMA re-treatment even when disease relapse occurs. The findings are, however, limited by lack of a comparison group.

In a systematic review and meta-analysis on the role of granulocyte and monocyte apheresis (GMA) in the induction and maintenance of clinical remission in ulcerative colitis (UC), Kiss, et al. (2021) analyzed 11 studies that included a total of 589 patients. The studies consisted of 11 RCTs, including the Domènech (2018) and the Eberhardson (2017) studies previously included in this policy, with one study with minimization. Eight of the studies reported on patients with active UC and three contained data on patients with UC who were in clinical remission. In the studies on patients with active UC,

350 patients received GMA and 248 were in control groups. With regard to the three studies reporting on patients with UC who were in clinical remission, there were 71 participants, of which, 36 received GMA and 35 were in the control groups. In the meta-analysis, GMA was shown to induce and maintain clinical remission more effectively than conventional therapy alone, primarily sham or steroids. Risk of bias was assessed as high risk for three of the studies due to the unblinded design of the studies, four studies were assessed as high risk due to the lack of a description of the blinding process and two others were assessed as high risk of bias for other biases. The authors noted that their results were limited by the relatively low number of patients and the heterogeneous reporting of adverse events. The study was also limited by the heterogeneity of the study designs such as the treatments rendered, the length of the studies, and the number of participants. The authors concluded that GMA appears to be more effective as an adjunctive treatment in inducing and maintaining remission in patients with UC than conventional therapy alone (low certainty). It is however unclear how this therapy would compare to more recent medications, such as biologics. The authors recommend further RCTs to justify the role of GMA for inducing remission in patients with UC.

A large-scale, prospective, observational study was performed by Yokoyama et al. (2014) which enrolled patients from 116 medical facilities in Japan with active ulcerative colitis (UC) treated with LCAP. Out of 847 patients, 623 were available for efficacy analysis. 80.3% of the patients had moderate to severe disease activity, and 67.6% were steroid refractory. Concomitant medications, 5-aminosalicylic acids, corticosteroids, and thiopurines were administered to 94.8%, 63.8%, and 32.8% of the patients, respectively. In addition, infliximab and tacrolimus were concomitantly used in 5.8% and 12.3%, respectively. Intensive LCAP (≥ 4 sessions within the first 2 weeks) was used in $> 70\%$ of the patients. AEs were seen in 10.3%, which were severe in only 5 patients. Any concomitant medications did not increase the incidence of AEs. The authors concluded that LCAP, including intensive procedure, is a safe and effective therapeutic option for active UC. However, this study did not translate research data into clinical guidelines that can be used to improve physician decision-making and patient care.

Myeloma Cast Nephropathy (Light Chain Cast Nephropathy), Second Line Therapy

In a multicenter retrospective study from 10 plasmapheresis centers in Turkey, Kalpakci et al. (2021) observed that therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) reduced all biochemical markers related to cast nephropathy (CN) in patients with multiple myeloma (MM) when TPE was performed for up to seven days until improvement was seen in patient's symptoms and laboratory findings. A mean Mean: 3.3 (median: 3) sessions of TPE were performed in newly diagnosed MM, mean: 4 (median: 4.5) sessions of TPE were performed in relapsed refractory disease, and 22 patients received concomitant chemotherapy containing bortezomib. According to the authors, the overall response rate was 83.6% ($n = 51$) with statistically significant differences observed in serum levels of all clinically relevant biomarkers before and after treatment. The authors stated that TPE also contributed to the clinical improvement in 40 of 50 patients with multiple myeloma and CN. The incidence of side effects associated with TPE was reported by the authors to affect 4 patients (6.6%), with no severe side effects that required termination of the procedure. These results were noted one week after TPE was added to standard medical treatment. The authors noted that the main limitations of their study were the small sample size and the absence of a comparative control group,

Premuzic et al. (2018) examined whether plasmapheresis in combination with chemotherapy could significantly remove free light chains (FLCs) in patients with multiple myeloma (MM) and acute kidney injury (AKI), ultimately improving renal recovery and patient survival in a single center study. During the study period, 29 patients with MM and AKI were treated with two different therapy modalities (plasmapheresis with chemotherapy or bortezomib). At the end of treatment, a significant decrease of FLCs was present in the group treated with plasmapheresis compared to the bortezomib group. While overall survival was similar between groups, there was a significantly higher decrease of FLCs and longer survival in patients treated with ≥ 3 plasmapheresis sessions than in patients treated with two sessions. The authors concluded that plasmapheresis therapy still remains a useful and effective method in the treatment of AKI in MM patients. Plasmapheresis significantly reduces FLCs compared to bortezomib, especially with higher number of plasma exchange sessions, but it must be combined with other chemotherapy agents in order to prolong renal recovery and therefore patient survival.

Yu et al. (2015) conducted a meta-analysis to quantitatively evaluate the clinical efficacy of chemotherapy with or without plasmapheresis in the treatment of patients with MM and renal failure. Three RCTs were selected and analyzed. A total of 63 patients received chemotherapy only and 84 patients were given both chemotherapy and plasmapheresis. No difference was observed in 6-month survival rate between plasmapheresis and control group (75% vs. 66.7%). The 6-month dialysis-dependent ratio was significantly lower in patients treated with both chemotherapy and plasmapheresis than chemotherapy alone (15.6% vs. 37.2%). The authors concluded that plasmapheresis used as an adjunct to chemotherapy had a benefit in the management of dialysis-dependent MM patients with renal failure.

A systematic review covering 56 articles regarding survival benefits, recovery, and improvement in renal function after extracorporeal removal of sFLCs did not suggest a benefit of plasmapheresis independent of chemotherapy for patients with MM and acute renal injury (Gupta et al., 2010).

Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum Disorder (NMOSD), Acute or Relapse, Second Line Therapy

Naphattalung et al. (2023) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to determine whether plasma exchange (PLEX) is effective in improving visual function following acute optic neuritis (ON) in cases of neuromyelitis optica (NMO) or neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD), based on a literature review. Twelve qualitative synthesized articles were identified and only 5 of them were identified for quantitative synthesis. The PLEX in the 5 observational studies was performed as second-line or adjunctive therapy for acute ON in NMO/NMOSD. In total, 48 participants were evaluated; 32 of them received PLEX and had pre and posttreatment visual acuity data available. The authors findings identified the qualitative synthesis revealed that visual-acuity recovery occurred between one day and 6 months after the first PLEX cycle completion. Thirty-two of 48 participants in the 5 quantitative-synthesis studies received PLEX. Regarding the post-PLEX time points, visual-acuity improvements were nonsignificant. Post-plasma exchange identified the following: 1 day (SMD 0.611; 95% CI -0.620 to 1.842); 2 weeks (SMD 0.0214; 95% CI -1.250 to 1.293); 3 months (SMD 1.014; 95% CI -0.954 to 2.982); and 6 months (SMD 0.450; 95% CI -2.643 to 3.543). The authors concluded there was an inadequate level of evidence to determine whether PLEX is effective in improving VA in cases of acute ON in NMO/NMOSD. Limitations in the study include that nature of retrospective observational studies, large variabilities in the baseline characteristics of the participants, symptom onsets, treatment courses, and outcome measures. Further well-designed, prospective, multicenter, controlled studies with larger numbers of participants and longer follow-up periods are required to accurately determine the efficacy and efficiency of PLEX.

Pediatric ABO-Incompatible Heart Transplantation

Issitt et al. (2021) completed a retrospective case series of patients transplanted using intraoperative anti-A/B immunoadsorption (ABO-IA) to compare outcomes with those undergoing plasma exchange facilitated ABO-incompatible heart transplantation (ABO-PE). Data were retrospectively analyzed on all ABO-incompatible heart transplants undertaken at a single center between January 1, 2000, and June 1, 2020. Data included all routine laboratory tests, demographics and pre-operative characteristics, intraoperative details and post-operative outcomes. Primary outcome measures were volume of blood product transfusions, maximum post-transplant isohemagglutinin titers, occurrence of rejection, and graft survival. Secondary outcome measures were length of intensive care and hospital stay. Demographic and survival data were also obtained for ABO-compatible transplants during the same time period for comparison. Thirty-seven patients ages 7 months to 8 years old underwent ABO-incompatible heart transplantation, with 27 (73%) using ABO-PE and 10 (27%) using ABO-IA. ABO-IA patients were significantly older than ABO-PE patients ($p < 0.001$) and the total volume of blood products transfused during the hospital admission was significantly lower (164 [126-212] ml/kg vs 323 [268-379] ml/kg, $p < 0.001$). No significant differences were noted between methods in either pre- or post-transplant maximum isohemagglutinin titers, incidence of rejection, length of intensive care or total hospital stay. Survival comparison showed no significant difference between antibody reduction methods, or indeed ABO-compatible transplants ($p = 0.6$). The authors concluded that this technique appears to allow a significantly older population than typical to undergo ABO-incompatible heart transplantation, as well as significantly reducing blood product utilization. Furthermore, intraoperative anti-A/B immunoadsorption does not demonstrate increased early post-transplant isohemagglutinin accumulation or rates of rejection compared to ABO-PE. Early survival is equivalent between ABO-IA, ABO-PE, and ABO-compatible heart transplantation.

Issitt et al. (2012) performed a retrospective analysis of all elective ABO-incompatible heart transplants performed at a single center from January 2001 - January 2011. Data included underlying conditions and demographics of the patients, the isohemagglutinin titer before and after plasma exchange, and survival figures to date. Twenty-one patients (ages 3-44 months) underwent ABO-incompatible heart transplantation. All patients underwent a "3 times" plasma exchange before transplantation, requiring exchange volumes of up to 3209 mL. Isohemagglutinin titers that were as high as 1:32 preoperatively were reduced to a range of 0-1:16 post transplantation. One patient expired from causes unrelated to organ rejection. The authors concluded that through the use of a combination of adult reservoir/pediatric oxygenator and extracorporeal circuit, ABO-incompatible plasma exchange transfusions can be undertaken safely using a simplified "3 times" method, reducing the circulating levels of isohemagglutinins while providing minimal circuit size. This allows ABO-incompatible heart transplantation in a broader patient population than reported previously.

Dipschand et al. (2010) conducted a non-randomized prospective observational single institution study comparing clinical outcomes over 10 years of the largest cohort of ABO-incompatible recipients. ABO-incompatible ($n = 35$) and ABO-compatible ($n = 45$) infant heart transplantation recipients (≤ 14 months old, 1996-2006) showed no important differences in pretransplantation characteristics. In 7 patients, donor-specific isohemagglutinin titers were elevated at the time of

transplantation but were significantly reduced using intraoperative plasma exchange. Only 2 of the 7 required treatment for AMR (which occurred early post-transplantation, was easily managed and did not recur in follow-up). Occurrence of graft vasculopathy (11%), malignancy (11%) and freedom from severe renal dysfunction were identical in both groups. Survival was identical (74% at 7 years post-transplantation). The researchers concluded that ABO-blood group incompatible heart transplantation has excellent outcomes that are indistinguishable from those of the ABO-compatible population and there is no clinical justification for withholding this lifesaving strategy from all infants listed for heart transplantation. Further studies into observed differing responses in the development of donor-specific isohemagglutinins and the implications for graft accommodation are warranted.

Pediatric Autoimmune Neuropsychiatric Disorders Including Pediatric Acute-Onset Neuropsychiatric Disorders Associated With Streptococcus (PANDAS) and Pediatric Acute-Onset Neuropsychiatric Syndrome (PANS)

Prus et al. (2022) conducted a retrospective chart review on all patients treated with therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) for pediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcal (PANDAS) infections and pediatric acute-onset neuropsychiatric syndrome (PANS) indications via a single outpatient apheresis service located in a medium-sized urban medical center. In total, 16 patients were identified (aged 14–41 years, median 19.5 years). Eight patients had recorded concurrent psychiatric comorbidities, including obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) (n = 6), anxiety (n = 3), autism, bipolar disorder, depression, and schizophrenia (n = 1). Five of these patients had at least two different diagnoses. Twelve patient records revealed previous medication-based psychiatric treatments prior to TPE referral. Eleven of those patients remained on psychiatric medications at the time of TPE. Prior to TPE, most patients had received other PANDAS/PANS-oriented treatments. Seven patients had completed at least one course of antibiotics, usually with azithromycin though two patients used amoxicillin clavulanic acid. Two patients reported use of steroids. For TPE treatment, one course was defined as five or seven single plasma volume TPE with 5% albumin replacement and citrate anticoagulant, utilizing a Spectra Optia. Fourteen (5 male, 9 female) received 7 days of treatment, while the remaining two female patients received 5 days of TPE treatment, all scheduled every other day (excluding weekends). Four female patients were treated with multiple courses of TPE, receiving 1, 2, 3, or 8 additional courses. The longest duration of treatment was over nine courses, performed for symptomatic indications. Ages of those receiving multiple courses were 16, 18, 18, and 22 years. No adverse reactions or complications of apheresis treatment were identified. Seven patients had recorded post-TPE PANDAS/PANS responses, denoting improved (n = 4) or not improved (n = 3). Improvement after TPE was noted 1–10 days after treatment in four females aged 16–18. Three of these responders received subsequent TPE courses for PANDAS/PANS exacerbations. The authors concluded that improvement was noted in over half of the patients with available follow-up information. However, limitations of the study include the retrospective nature, lack of comparison group, and incomplete data availability, including post-treatment ASO and Cunningham Panel results. Well designed, comparative studies with larger patient populations are needed to further describe safety and clinical outcomes.

Sigra et al. (2018) conducted a systematic review of published peer reviewed literature which addressed treatment for PANDAS and related disorders. Twelve studies (n = 529) as well as 240 case reports were identified. Treatments evaluated in these studies included IVIG, TPE, antibiotics, cognitive behavior therapy, and tonsillectomy. The authors determined that the studies generally had a high risk of bias, and the results were inconclusive. Further rigorous research is needed.

Rheumatoid Arthritis

In a single institution observational study, Kitagaichi et al. evaluated the efficacy of treatment on 85 individuals with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) using leukocytapheresis (LCAP) and drug therapy initiated between 2006 and 2015. Participants received LCAP once a week for up to 5 weeks. The clinical response was evaluated at the completion of the series and again 4 weeks later using the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria and the 28-joint disease activity score (DAS28) of the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR). Marked decreases were seen in tender joint count, swollen joint count, and CRP level, and the DAS28-CRP was significantly improved from before to after LCAP. The authors concluded that LCAP is a safe and worthy therapy for individuals with intractable RA where there is drug allergy or other complications. ACR20 response was 61%, and efficacy persisted to 4 weeks after LCAP completion (2016).

Roth (2004) conducted a noninterventional prospective study on 91 patients with RA who qualified for Prosorba column apheresis therapy (PCT) per the package insert and completed the 12 prescribed treatments. An initial baseline assessment was performed prior to first treatment and then up to 4 additional assessments were performed at weeks 9, 16, 20, and 24. Criteria from the ACR (ACR20) were noted in order to assess response rate, and AE reporting was used to record serious/unanticipated AEs. There was a ACR20 (or greater) response rate of 53.8% in these patients with previously refractory RA. The individual criteria showed a much greater improvement than reflected by ACR20; for example, this response included a 52% improvement in joint tenderness, 40% improvement in swelling, 42% improvement in patient's pain, 38% improvement in patient's global response, and 48% improvement in physician's global scores (76%

of responders had measured ACR20 by Week 16 and 100% by Week 24). Some patients stated that they felt improvement began closer to the 6th week. Most responders were concurrently taking biologics or DMARD, e.g., methotrexate and etanercept, despite previously inadequate RA response to those medications. The author concluded that this post marketing study of PCT used commercially in 59 rheumatology practice settings supports the safety and efficacy of this treatment regime in selected patients with refractory RA and compares favorably with the initial sham controlled clinical trial. PCT is a relatively underutilized choice for the management of active, aggressive RA.

Furst et al. (2000) conducted a double-blind, placebo RCT to determine the efficacy of the Proserba Immunoabsorption Column in patients with refractory RA. Ninety-nine patients received 12 weekly procedures after being randomized to the active treatment arm or to the sham treatment arm (apheresis only). Evaluations were double-blinded and occurred at baseline and periodically for 24 weeks thereafter. Primary efficacy was assessed at 7 and 8 weeks after the completion of 12 treatments (at trial weeks 19 and 20) using the ACR definition of improvement, and results from the assessments at weeks 19 and 20 were averaged. Analysis of patients who completed all treatments and follow-up indicated that 15 of 36 (41.7%) Proserba-treated patients responded compared to 5 of 32 (15.6%) sham-treated patients. Common AEs included joint pain, fatigue, joint swelling, and hypotension. There was no significant increase in AEs in Proserba-treated patients compared to sham-treated patients. The authors concluded that immunoabsorption therapy was proven to be a new alternative in patients with severe, refractory disease.

Sickle Cell Disease

Acute Chest Syndrome

Velasquez et al. (2009) retrospectively reviewed red cell exchange (RCE) for the management of acute chest syndrome (ACS) in 44 patients with SCD. Clinical Respiratory Score (CRS) was assigned retrospectively to assess respiratory distress (0 = no distress, > 6 = severe). Median admission CRS of 2, progressed to 4 before RCE and declined to 2 within 24 hr. afterwards. Median day of RCE was day 2 (IQR 1-3) and the main indication was worsening respiratory distress. No patient developed venous thrombosis, alloantibodies, or other complications from RCE. According to the authors, RCE appears to be a safe and effective treatment for patients with SCD and ACS. The small study population limits the validity of the conclusion of this study.

Individuals Requiring Chronic Transfusion

Wade et al. (2022) performed a retrospective chart review of pediatric patients with SCD receiving chronic red cell exchange (RCE) over 3 years to determine the frequency of adverse events (AEs) and identify procedural and patient AE risk factors. AE incidence, AE rate, incident rate ratios (IRRs), and relative risks (RR) were calculated based on various procedural and patient characteristics by univariable (UV) and multivariable (MV) analyses. In 38 patients receiving 760 procedures, there were 150 (19.7%) AEs, of which 36 (4.7%) were symptomatic AEs. The rate of AEs was 20.2 per 100 person-months [95% CI 17.2, 23.6], and the rate of symptomatic AEs was 4.8 per 100 person-months [95% CI 3.49, 6.70]. AE incidences were hypocalcemia (117; 15.4%), dizziness (22; 3.0%), hypotension (15; 2.0%), and nausea (14; 1.8%). Patients with a baseline Hct \geq 30% experienced more total AEs and symptomatic AEs. Pre-procedure initial systolic BP < 50th percentile and patients with severe CNS vasculopathy and non SCA phenotype (i.e., HbSC or S β + thalassemia) were associated with an increase in total AEs. IHD depletion was not associated with an increased incidence of AEs or symptomatic AEs. The authors concluded that patients with SCD and HCT \geq 30%, systolic BP < 50th percentile, severe CNS vasculopathy and possibly non - SCA genotype may be at higher risk for RCE-related AEs. The effect of isovolemic hemodilution (IHD) on AE risk is likely minimal. Individualized AE risk assessment should be performed in all patients with SCD undergoing chronic automated RCE.

A systematic review and meta-analysis by Mukherjee et al. (2022) was performed to evaluate the efficacy and safety profile of automated red cell exchange (aRBX) procedure over manual red cell exchange transfusion (MET) in patients with sickle cell disease (SCD). A standard meta-analysis protocol was developed, and after performing a comprehensive literature search in PubMed/MEDLINE, Cochrane, and International Clinical Trial Registry Platform (ICTRP), reviewers assessed eligibility and extracted data from nine relevant studies. A random effects model was used to estimate the pooled effect size calculated from the mean difference in hemoglobin S (HbS) percentage, serum ferritin level and risk ratio for the adverse events. Quality assessment was done using the risk-of-bias assessment tool, and a summary of observations was prepared using standard Cochrane methodology with GradePro GDT. The random-model analysis revealed a mean difference of 4.10 (95% CI: -3.29-11.49; Z = 1.09; p = 0.28) for HbS percentage, mean difference of 435.29 (95% CI: -73.74-944.32; Z = 1.68; p = 0.09) for serum ferritin and pooled risk ratio of 1.35 (95% CI: 0.63-2.87; Z = 0.77; p = 0.44) for adverse events. The authors concluded that this meta-analysis did not reveal any benefit of aRBX in reducing HbS percentage and attenuating the serum ferritin level when compared with MET. There was also no significant increased risk of adverse events detected in association with aRBX. The Fasano et al. (2016) publication previously cited in this policy was included in this systematic review study.

Hequet et al. (2021) completed a prospective case series to evaluate the clinical safety of the red blood cell (RBC) exchange (RCE)/RBC-primed procedure in 12 children with sickle cell anemia (SCA) and low-body weight (< 20 kg) under either a chronic RCE program or emergency treatment over 65 sessions. The authors monitored grade 2 AEs such as a decrease in blood pressure, increase in heart rate, fainting sensation, or transfusion reactions and identified the critical times during the sessions in which AEs could occur. Post-apheresis hematocrit (Hct) and a fraction of cell remaining (FCR) values were compared to the expected values. They also compared the impact of automatic RCE (n = 7) vs. RCE/RBC-primed (n = 8) on blood viscosity and RBC rheology. A low incidence of complications was observed in the 65 RCE sessions with only seven episodes of transient grade 2 AEs. Post-apheresis Hct and FCR reached expected values with the RCE/RBC-primed method. Both the automatic and priming procedures improved RBC deformability and decreased the sickling tendency during deoxygenation. Blood rheological features improved in both RCE/RBC-primed and automatic RCE without priming conditions. The authors concluded that RCE/RBC-primed procedure provides blood rheological benefits, and is safe and efficient to treat, notably in young children with SCA in prophylactic programs or curatively when a SCA complication occurs.

Cochrane has published systematic reviews for the use of transfusion therapies, including simple or exchange transfusions, for the treatment of complications of SCD including chronic chest complications (Estcourt et al., 2019) and intrahepatic cholestasis (Martí-Carvajal and Martí-Amarista, 2020). In both of these systematic reviews, the authors could not find any published randomized controlled trials to evaluate the use of transfusion therapies in these instances. The authors recommend randomized controlled trials looking at the safety and efficacy of transfusion therapies compared to current standard therapies for these complications of SCD.

Wahl et al. (2012) compared alloimmunization rates between patients receiving simple or exchange chronic transfusions with erythrocytapheresis (ECP). Data were retrospectively collected for 45 patients with SCD (n = 23 simple, n = 22 ECP) who received blood transfusion of 10 to 15 ml of red blood cells (RBCs)/kg with each transfusion every 3 to 4 weeks on a chronic transfusion program to determine the rate of antibody formation (antibodies formed per 100 units transfused). The 45 patients received 10,949 units and formed 6 new alloantibodies during the study period; therefore, the overall alloimmunization rate was 0.055 alloantibodies per 100U. The ECP group received significantly more blood. The rate of antibody formation (auto plus allo) was 0.040 antibodies per 100U in the ECP group and 0.171 antibodies per 100U in the simple transfusion group. The alloantibodies formed per 100 units was 0.013 in the ECP group and 0.143 in the simple transfusion group. The authors concluded that chronic ECP should be considered in patients requiring optimal management of HbS levels and iron burden. The authors stated that concerns about increased alloimmunization with ECP may be unjustified.

In a single center retrospective case series, Venkateswaran et al. (2011) performed chart reviews on 93 patients to evaluate the incidence of allo- and auto-immunization to red cell antibodies in patients with SCD who were started on chronic red cell transfusion (RCT). Each patient received RCTs every 3–4 weeks for a minimum of 6 months with a total of 4,472 packed red blood cell units being administered. The authors reported that 9 patients (9%) had red cell antibodies prior to the initiation of chronic RCT and 23 patients (24%) developed one or more red cell antibodies during chronic RCT. The authors concluded that limited red cell antigen matching is effective for reducing the incidence of allo- and auto-immunization in chronically transfused children with SCD and that RCE does not appear to increase the risk of allo- or auto-immunization, despite exposure to more red cell units.

Turner et al. (2009) evaluated the efficacy of exchange transfusion (XC) versus simple transfusion (ST) for treatment of SCA ACS. Twenty patients who received XC for ACS were compared with 20 patients who received ST. Cohorts were similar with regard to age; sex; prior ACS episodes; echocardiogram results; and antibiotic, bronchodilator, and hydroxyurea use. Maximum temperature recorded was higher in the XC group, but lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), WBCs, and indirect bilirubin were comparable. Admission Hb levels were higher for XC (XC 8.6 g/dL vs. ST 7.4 g/dL, p = 0.02) and XC had higher peak Hb levels during hospitalization. No differences were demonstrable in post-procedure length of stay (XC 5.6 days vs. ST 5.9 days) or total length of stay (XC 8.4 days vs. ST 8.0 days). A total of 10.3 +/- 3.0 units were transfused for XC compared to 2.4 +/- 1.2 units for ST. Based on post procedure length of stay or total length of stay, the authors could not detect a difference in the efficacy of XC compared to ST in populations despite red blood cell product usage fourfold higher in the XC group. According to the authors, there is a need for an adequately powered, randomized trial to examine the true risk-benefit ratio of XC in ACS.

Stroke Prophylaxis

Hulbert et al. (2006) conducted a retrospective cohort study of 137 children with SCA and strokes to test the hypothesis that exchange transfusion at the time of stroke presentation more effectively prevents second strokes than simple transfusion. Children receiving simple transfusion had a 5-fold greater relative risk of second stroke than those receiving exchange transfusions. Interpretation of these findings is limited due to the retrospective design of the study.

Vasculitis, Antineutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody (ANCA)-Associated Including Microscopic Polyangiitis or Granulomatosis With Polyangiitis

Yamada et al. (2021) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to assess whether plasma exchange (PE) is associated with prognosis in antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-associated vasculitis (AAV) patients. A systematic search of PubMed, MEDLINE, Embase, and CENTRAL databases from inception to 17 June 2020 was conducted. Four RCTs comparing PE vs. no PE (n = 827) and 1 RCT comparing PE vs. pulse steroid treatment (n = 137) were included. All participants were microscopic polyangiitis (MPA) or granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA) patients (no eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (EGPA) patients). PE was not associated with main primary outcomes compared with no PE (mortality RR 0.93 [95% confidence interval {CI} 0.70-1.24], I2 = 0%; CR RR 1.02 [95% CI 0.91-1.15], I2 = 0%; and AE RR 1.10 [95% CI 0.73-1.68], I2 = 37%) or pulse steroid (mortality RR 0.99 [95% CI 0.71-1.37]; CR [the Birmingham Vasculitis Activity score] mean difference - 0.53 [95% CI - 1.40-0.34]; and AE RR 1.05 [95% CI 0.74-1.48]). Focusing on the early treatment phases, PE was associated with a reduction in end-stage renal disease incidence compared with both no PE (PE 1/43 vs. no PE 10/41; RR 0.14 [0.03-0.77] at 3 months) and pulse steroid (PE 11/70 vs. pulse steroid 23/67; RR 0.46 [0.24-0.86] at 3 months). The authors concluded that In AAV patients, performing PE was not associated with the risk of mortality, CR, and AE. No RCT exists evaluating the efficacy of PE for EGPA; hence, this is required in the future. The results may affect the development of guidelines for AAV and may indicate the direction of future clinical research on AAV. Further investigation is needed before clinical usefulness of this procedure is proven.

Focal Segmental Glomerulosclerosis (FSGS)

There is insufficient quality evidence to support the use of therapeutic plasma exchange for focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, steroid resistant in native kidney or lipoprotein apheresis in FSGS.

A 2020 ECRI health technology assessment focuses on the effectiveness of LDL apheresis for treating steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome associated with focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS.) The conclusion of four small studies reported that LDL apheresis treatment may delay progression to end-stage renal disease and complications associated with chronic kidney disease. Additional studies and long-term follow up would be useful to confirm findings. All studies identified were conducted in Japan and results may not be generalizable to other countries and healthcare systems.

Idiopathic Inflammatory Myopathies

There is insufficient quality evidence to support the use of apheresis for idiopathic inflammatory myopathies.

Kruse et al. (2022) conducted a retrospective case series study and literature review of patients presenting with necrotizing autoimmune myopathy (NAM) and undergoing treatment with therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) performed. Clinical data including patient demographics, symptoms, physical exam findings, muscle biopsy, lower extremity imaging, prior therapy, and duration from diagnosis to TPE initiation were collected retrospectively for adult patients with NAM treated with TPE after failing to respond to immunomodulatory therapy. Laboratory data including change in CK levels and myositis-specific antibody titers from baseline were measured in some patients. Six patients (median age at diagnosis 52.5 years, interquartile range [IQR] 35.8-64.5 years, four male/two female) underwent a median of 7.5 (IQR: 5-10) TPE procedures with 5% albumin as replacement. All patients exhibited a statistical reduction in CK level from pre-TPE baseline (range: 43.0%-58.7% reduction). Responses in this cohort were best in patients with antibodies targeting HMGCR and SRP, which are most strongly associated with NAM. These results compare favorably to a literature review of NAM patients (n = 19) treated with TPE, who also exhibited positive clinical and laboratory responses across varying treatment lengths. The authors concluded that TPE can play a role in the management of NAM, particularly in patients with HMGCR or SRP antibodies who are refractory to pharmacologic immunosuppression. Limitations include this being a single-center, retrospective analysis with few patients, and short trials of TPE for NAM treatment. Heterogeneity with regard to underlying antibody, as well as prior and concurrent treatments makes attribution of clinical benefit to TPE uncertain. Furthermore, response to myopathy treatments is largely subjective having been based on patients' reporting of clinical benefit whereby CK was the only available biomarker used to assess response. Further research with randomized controlled trials is needed to validate these findings.

Ning et al. (2019) conducted a retrospective study to investigate therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) treatment outcomes in 18 patients with acute polymyositis/dermatomyositis interstitial lung disease (PM/DM-ILD) who were resistant to conventional therapies. Five patients were diagnosed with dermatomyositis (DM) (27.8%), 11 with clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis (CADM) (61.1%), and two with polymyositis (PM) (11.1%). Among 18 patients, 11 (61.1%) achieved satisfactory improvement after four or more rounds of TPE, whereas seven died due to respiratory failure. Risk factors to predict unresponsiveness to TPE in these patients was also analyzed. Notably, the prevalence of subcutaneous/mediastinal emphysema was significantly higher in the non-responsive group (6/7, 85.7%) than in the responsive group (2/11, 18.2%; p = 0.013); moreover, patients with this complication were mainly in the CADM subgroup (6/8, 75%). Subcutaneous/mediastinal emphysema and increased serum ferritin levels were shown to be poor prognostic

factors, predictive of unresponsiveness to TPE, in PM/DM patients. No autoantibodies were found to be associated with TPE outcome; the clinical significance of other myositis-specific autoantibodies, especially anti-melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5) antibody, is not known. The authors concluded results indicate that TPE might be an alternative treatment for acute PM/DM-ILD patients resistant to conventional therapies, except for those with subcutaneous/mediastinal emphysema and high serum ferritin levels. Further, subcutaneous/mediastinal emphysema and serum ferritin levels might serve as poor prognostic factors of responsiveness to TPE. More controlled trials and long-term observations are required in the future.

Immune Thrombocytopenia (ITP)

There is insufficient quality evidence to support the use of apheresis for immune thrombocytopenia (ITP).

Basturk, et al. (2021) conducted a multicenter retrospective analysis on the efficacy of TPE in ITP. The study included 17 adult patients (8 male, 9 female) with chronic refractory ITP who failed to respond to standard treatment, had platelet counts $< 30 \times 10^9 / L$ and underwent TPE in 5 healthcare centers. The authors reported that partial response was achieved in 7 patients (41%), while complete response was achieved in 9 patients (52%). One patient who failed to respond died due to bleeding at the end of 2 sessions. All patients had received corticosteroids for an average of 4 weeks before TPE and were administered Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) before TPE. Three patients had also been received both rituximab and eltrombopag before TPE, and 4 patients had undergone splenectomy before TPE. There were also three patients who underwent splenectomy after TPE. The platelet count reached $> 30 \times 10^9 / L$ in a mean of 2.07 sessions using plasma, and in mean of 4.6 sessions using albumin. The authors concluded that TPE may be an alternative treatment option in patients with chronic refractory ITP and recommended prospective, randomized controlled studies to evaluate the effectiveness of TPE for preventing bleeding in patients with ITP. The findings are limited by lack of a comparison group.

Pemphigus Vulgaris

There is insufficient quality evidence to support the use of apheresis for pemphigus vulgaris.

Martin et al. (2011) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the safety and efficacy of interventions for pemphigus vulgaris and pemphigus foliaceus. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) including participants with the diagnosis of pemphigus vulgaris or pemphigus foliaceus confirmed with clinical, histopathological, and immunofluorescence criteria were included. All interventions were considered. Primary outcomes studied were remission and mortality. Secondary outcomes included disease control, relapse, pemphigus severity score, time to disease control, cumulative glucocorticoid dose, serum antibody titers, adverse events, and quality of life. Eleven studies with a total of 404 participants were identified. Interventions assessed included prednisolone dose regimen, pulsed dexamethasone, azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, cyclosporine, dapsone, mycophenolate, plasma exchange, topical epidermal growth factor, and traditional Chinese medicine. Plasma exchange was evaluated in one study of 40 participants. The effect of plasma exchange was inconclusive on all reported outcomes. The authors found some interventions to be superior for certain outcomes, although they were unable to conclude which treatments are superior overall. The authors concluded there is inadequate evidence available at present to ascertain the optimal therapy for pemphigus vulgaris and pemphigus foliaceus. Many interventions for pemphigus have not been evaluated in controlled trials. All studies were insufficiently powered to establish definitive results. Further research with randomized controlled trials is needed to validate these findings.

Post Transfusion Purpura (PTP)

There is insufficient quality evidence to support the use of apheresis for post transfusion purpura.

Porretti et al. (1992) conducted a single patient case report investigating intravenous immunoglobulins and therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) in a 74-year-old multiparous Caucasian female who developed severe thrombocytopenia following red blood cell transfusion who developed post-transfusion purpura (PTP). Six ineffective platelet transfusions (a total of 42 random donor concentrates) were given from day 0 to day +6, high-dose steroids from day +1, progressively tapered until day +30, and a total of 150 g of intravenous immunoglobulins from day +2 to day +6. As platelet count had not increased significantly by day +8, four plasma exchange procedures, each consisting of 2,000 ml of plasma exchanged with 5% albumin solution, were performed on days +8, +10, +14 and +18. Platelet count was 5, 50, 100 and $234 \times 10^9 / L$ on days +8, +14, +26 and +32 (discharge), respectively. The patient's acute phase serum contained increased levels of platelet alloantibodies with anti-HPA-1a (PIA1, Zwa) specificity and a titer of 3,200. IgG1, IgG2 and IgG3 subclasses of platelet-reactive antibodies in the patient's serum were elevated, whereas IgG4, IgM and IgA were within the reference values. Levels of IgG1, IgG2 and IgG3 of antiplatelet antibodies showed a marked and parallel reduction during treatment, however, were still above the reference values at the end of treatment and 1 year later, when the patient platelet count was normal. The authors concluded that although a failure of intravenous immunoglobulins

cannot be proven in this case, plasma exchange seems to have contributed more than intravenous immunoglobulins to clinical remission. The full understanding of the pathogenesis of PTP caused by platelet antibodies with different specificities requires further study. In particular, further investigation is warranted to clarify the relative role of different immunoglobulin sub classes and the mechanisms and timing of the catabolism of platelet antigens of donor origin in determining the clearance of autologous platelets. Well designed, adequately powered, prospective, controlled clinical trials of TPE are needed to further describe safety and clinical outcomes (or efficacy).

Sepsis With Multiorgan Failure

There is insufficient quality evidence to support the use of apheresis for sepsis with multiorgan failure.

Kuklin et al. (2024) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the clinical impact of adjunct therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) on short-term mortality in critically ill adult septic patients with multiple organ dysfunction (MOD). A total of 20 studies (n = 937) met inclusion criteria. The sum of 543 patients received adjunct TPE in addition to standard sepsis management, while 394 patients received standard therapy alone. A meta-analysis of 627 critically ill adult patients with sepsis and MOD were reviewed as the analysis included only those trials comparing patients receiving adjunct TPE with controls. Among these, 300 patients received adjunct TPE in addition to standard sepsis management, while 327 patients received standard therapy alone. The authors findings suggests that adjunct TPE treatment (n = 300) showed a significant reduction in short-term mortality (RR 0.59, 95% CI 0.47–0.74, I2 3%) compared to standard therapy alone (n = 327). Their findings proposed that adding TPE to the standard therapy of critically ill septic patients resulted in faster clinical and/or laboratory recovery. The authors concluded that adjunct TPE using healthy donor plasma as replacement fluid is associated with a decreased risk of short-term mortality despite the small size of trials and heterogeneity of critically ill patients with sepsis and MODS. Further large, well-designed randomized trials are needed before clinical usefulness of this procedure is proven. (Publication by Keith 2020 which was previously cited in this policy, is included in this systematic review).

Stiff-Person Syndrome

There is insufficient quality evidence to support the use of apheresis for stiff-person syndrome.

Albahra, et al. (2019) retrospectively analyzed the clinical data and outcomes of 10 patients (9 female) with the clinical diagnosis of anti-GAD65 positive stiff person syndrome (SPS) in which TPE was used to improve symptoms refractory to conventional treatments including immunosuppression therapies, anti-anxiety medications, muscle relaxants, anticonvulsants, and pain relievers. Five patients (50%) had diabetes (one of which had type 1 diabetes), and two patients (20%) had a history of cancer. TPE was administered via peripheral access in seven patients (total of 350 procedures) or via central double lumen dialysis type catheter in three patients (total of 28 procedures) as a complementary therapy in patients with worsening symptoms of SPS. TPE became a chronic treatment for six of the study participants following their initial course. The authors reported that four patients developed a relapse of symptoms when the interval between procedures was increased and that one of these four patients had worsening of symptoms following complete cessation of TPE. The authors also reported that another 4 patients underwent only an acute hospitalized course of treatment with TPE with one achieving complete resolution of symptoms, one with a partial response and two who did not experience any improvement. Limitations include the single-center, retrospective design of the study, the small sample size, the heterogeneity of previous conventional treatments received, and the lack of a control arm. The authors concluded that TPE may be beneficial for the management of patients with anti-GAD65 positive SPS for both acute exacerbations and for long-term maintenance, either as an adjunct therapy or in lieu of treatment with disease modifying agents.

Sydenham's Chorea

There is insufficient quality evidence to support the use of apheresis for Sydenham's chorea.

Eighteen patients were entered into a randomized controlled trial (RCT) designed to determine if IVIG or plasma exchange would be superior to prednisone in decreasing the severity of chorea. Mean chorea severity for the entire group was significantly lower at the 1-month follow-up evaluation (overall 48% improvement). Although the between-group differences were not statistically significant, clinical improvements appeared to be more rapid and robust in the IVIG and plasma exchange groups than in the prednisone group (mean chorea severity scores decreased by 72% in the intravenous immunoglobulin group, 50% in the plasma exchange group, and 29% in the prednisone group). According to the authors, larger studies are required to confirm these clinical observations and to determine if these treatments are cost-effective for this disorder (Garvey, 2005).

Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis (TEN)

There is insufficient quality evidence to support the use of apheresis for toxic epidermal necrolysis.

In a prospective, single center, observational study conducted by Han, et al. (2017), the effectiveness of plasmapheresis therapy was evaluated in 28 pediatric and adult patients with toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) or TEN with overlapping Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS). The study participants were divided into either the plasmapheresis group (n = 13) or the non-plasmapheresis group (n = 15) on the basis of whether plasma exchange was performed after admission. The plasmapheresis group was further divided into two subgroups with 6 participants in the pure plasmapheresis group, whose members were treated with plasmapheresis alone, and 7 participants in the co-plasmapheresis group, whose members were treated with plasmapheresis in combination with glucocorticoids and/or IVIg. The authors reported that there were no statistical differences with respect to the children/adult ratio, male/female ratio and stripping area after admission between the plasmapheresis group and the non-plasmapheresis group. The authors also reported no statistical difference in the severity of illness score on the 1st and 4th day after admission between the two groups; however, the scores of the plasmapheresis group were lower than those of the non-plasmapheresis group on the 7th, 10th and 20th day of admission. The authors noted that the rate of recovery was higher in the plasmapheresis group and they concluded that plasmapheresis as a first line therapy might present a significant advantage compared to glucocorticoids and/or IVIg in reducing mortality of TEN patients as well as in shortening the duration of stay in the intensive care unit. The authors also concluded that plasmapheresis combined with IVIg and/or glucocorticoids might not be advantageous compared to the effect of plasmapheresis alone.

Zimmerman, et al. (2017) conducted a meta-analysis of 96 studies with 3248 patients diagnosed Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS) and/or toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) who were treated with supportive care or systemic immunomodulating therapies (SITs) including glucocorticosteroids, intravenous immunoglobulins, cyclosporine, plasmapheresis, thalidomide, cyclophosphamide, hemoperfusion, tumor necrosis factor inhibitors, and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor. Only one of the 96 studies was a randomized clinical trial, with 68 retrospective cohort studies, 9 prospective cohort studies and 17 other observational studies with unclear study designs. There were 40 studies that reported findings obtained from case series and 56 studies that include two or more different therapy arms; however, most patients with SJS/TEN were treated without SITs (62 [34.1%]), with glucocorticosteroids (45 [24.7%]), or with intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIGs) (37 [20.3%]). The authors noted that few patients were treated with another SIT, including cyclosporine, plasmapheresis, cyclophosphamide, or thalidomide, or with a combination therapy with more than 1 SIT. The authors also found that, among the 56 publications that describe more than 1 therapy group and were suitable for meta-analysis at the study level, less than half provided enough information to be used to estimate therapy effects. Glucocorticosteroids were associated with a survival benefit for patients in all 3 analyses but were statistically significant in only (aggregated data: OR, 0.5; 95% CI, 0.3-1.01; IPD, unstratified: OR, 0.7; 95% CI, 0.5-0.97; IPD, stratified: OR, 0.8; 95% CI, 0.4-1.3). Despite the low patient size, cyclosporine was associated with a promising significant result in the only feasible analysis of IPD (unstratified model) (OR, 0.1; 95% CI, 0.0-0.4). No beneficial findings were observed for other therapies.

Vasculitis

Kawasaki Disease

There is insufficient quality evidence to support the use of apheresis for Kawasaki disease vasculitis.

Mori et al. (2004) conducted a retrospective study to assess whether plasma exchange is a safe and effective prophylaxis against coronary artery lesions (CALs) in children with Kawasaki disease (KD) intractable to intravenous gamma-globulin (IVGG) therapy. Eighty-nine children with KD at high risk of CALs were selected on the basis of increases in fractional changes in inflammatory markers such as white blood cell count, neutrophil count, and C-reactive protein between the baseline and 1–2 days after IVGG treatment. Of 105 children who received a second course of IVGG therapy because the initial course was ineffective, plasma exchange (PE) was performed in 46 children who had not responded to the second IVGG treatment. The outcome was compared with the results when a third course of IVGG therapy was given to the other 59 children. No complications occurred with the plasma exchange therapy. CALs developed in only 8 of the 46 children (17.3%) who underwent plasma exchange, but they occurred in 24 of the 59 (40.7%) who had received a third course of IVGG therapy (p < 0.0012). The authors concluded that PE was a safe and effective prophylactic measure against CALs in children with KD intractable to IVGG therapy. PE should be performed at an early stage as soon as fractional increases in inflammatory markers are found after IVGG therapy. This study is limited by its retrospective observations. In addition, the IVGG regimen used was different from that which was currently standard in other countries, and it varied from patient to patient. Further research with randomized controlled trials is needed to validate these findings.

Clinical Practice Guidelines

American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP)

The American Academy of Pediatrics and the PANDAS Physicians Network (PPN) treatment guidelines (Pupillo, 2017) for rheumatic fever with Sydenham chorea state that antibiotics may be recommended despite a negative strep throat culture. Prophylactic levels of antibiotics should be considered for children with severe symptoms of PANDAS, those recovering

from immunotherapy or those with multiple GAS-associated neuropsychiatric exacerbations. In addition, cognitive behavioral therapy can benefit those with mild impairments. If symptoms persist, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, corticosteroids, intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) or therapeutic plasma exchange may be necessary. IVIG and therapeutic plasma exchange, however, can be expensive and treatment remains controversial.

American Academy of Neurology (AAN)

AAN treatment guidelines (Cortese et al., 2011) state there is insufficient evidence to support or refute the use of plasmapheresis for myasthenia gravis, pediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcus infection, and Sydenham chorea (Class III evidence, Level U). There is insufficient evidence to support or refute the use of plasmapheresis in the treatment of acute OCD and tic symptoms in the setting of PANDAS (Level U).

The AAN published a practice parameter on the use of immunotherapy for the treatment of Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS) that recommends treatment with therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) or intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) to hasten recovery from GBS. The Academy noted that combining the two treatments is not beneficial and that steroid treatments given alone are not beneficial. The Practice Parameter states that TPE is recommended for adult patients with GBS who are non-ambulatory and are treated within 4 weeks of the onset of neuropathic symptoms and that TPE should also be considered for ambulatory patients seen within 2 weeks of the onset of neuropathic symptoms (Hughes et al., 2003; reaffirmed 2022).

American Society for Apheresis (ASFA)

The ASFA (Connelly-Smith, et al. 2023) has reviewed therapeutic apheresis outcomes and published practice guidelines. The guidelines included analysis based on the quality of the evidence as well as the strength of recommendation derived from the evidence. ASFA categorizes disorders as noted below:

- Category I: Disorders for which apheresis is accepted as first-line therapy, either as a primary standalone treatment or in conjunction with other modes of treatment.
- Category II: Disorders for which apheresis is accepted as second-line therapy, either as a standalone treatment or in conjunction with other modes of treatment.
- Category III: Optimum role of apheresis therapy is not established. Decision making should be individualized.
- Category IV: Disorders in which published evidence demonstrates or suggests apheresis to be ineffective or harmful. Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval is desirable if apheresis treatment is undertaken in these circumstances.

ASFA recognized that categories alone are difficult to translate into clinical practice. Thus, they adopted a system to assign recommendation grades for therapeutic apheresis to enhance the clinical value of ASFA categories. The grading recommendations are adopted from Guyatt et al., 2008, Szczepiorkowski et al., 2010, Schwartz et al., 2016, Padmanabhan et al., 2019, and Connelly-Smith et al., 2023:

- Grade 1A: Strong recommendation, high-quality evidence.
- Grade 1B: Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence.
- Grade 1C: Strong recommendation, low-quality or very low-quality evidence.
- Grade 2A: Weak recommendation, high quality evidence.
- Grade 2B: Weak recommendation, moderate quality evidence.
- Grade 2C: Weak recommendation, low-quality or very low-quality evidence.

Regarding sickle cell disease, ASFA states:

- Red blood cell (RBC) exchange is an option for patients with acute stroke, severe acute chest syndrome (ACS), or other complications including but not limited to multiorgan failure.
- RBC exchange is also recommended as a prophylaxis for primary or secondary stroke.
- Studies have shown automated RBC exchange results in a more efficient removal/replacement of HbS RBCs than manual exchange or simple transfusions.
- Long-term RBC exchange has the advantage of preventing or markedly reducing transfusional iron accumulation (Connelly-Smith et al., 2023).

American Society of Hematology (ASH)

The ASH published a clinical guideline for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of cerebrovascular disease in children and adults with sickle cell disease that includes the following as strong recommendations (DeBaun et al. 2020):

- For children with HbSS or HbS β 0 thalassemia (ages 2-16 years), the panel recommends:
 - Annual Transcranial Doppler (TCD) screening (strong recommendation).
 - Regular blood transfusions for at least a year (vs no transfusion) with the goal of keeping maximum HbS levels below 30% and maintaining hemoglobin levels .9.0 g/dL to reduce the risk of stroke for children with abnormal

TCD velocities who live in a high-income setting where regular blood transfusion therapy, typically every 3-4 weeks, is feasible (strong recommendation).

- Blood transfusion goals for secondary stroke prevention of increasing the hemoglobin above 9 g/dL at all times and maintaining the HbS level at <30% of total hemoglobin until the time of the next transfusion if the child has a history of prior ischemic stroke.
- For children or adults with SCD and acute neurological deficits, including transient ischemic attack (TIA), the ASH guideline panel recommends prompt blood transfusion given immediately upon recognition of symptoms within 2 hours of acute neurological symptom presentation. The type of transfusion (simple, modified exchange, or apheresis) is dependent on individual patient factors and local transfusion resources.

The ASH guideline also includes the following conditional recommendations:

- For children who have compound heterozygous SCD other than HbSC and have evidence of hemolysis in the same range as those with HbSS, the ASH guideline panel suggests:
 - TCD screening.
 - Regular blood transfusions for at least a year (vs no transfusion) with the goal of keeping maximum HbS levels below 30% to reduce the risk of stroke if the child has an abnormal TCD velocity, and lives in a high-income setting where regular blood transfusion therapy is feasible.
- For children with SCD (ages 2-16 years) and abnormal TCD results who have been receiving transfusion therapy for at least 1 year and are interested in stopping transfusion, according to the clinical trial risk stratification with an MRI and magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) of the brain, the ASH guideline panel suggests that hydroxyurea treatment at the maximum tolerated dose can be considered to substitute for regular blood transfusions.
- For children (ages 2-16 years) with HbSS, HbS β 0 thalassemia, or compound heterozygous SCD who have abnormal TCD screening and live in low-middle-income settings where regular blood transfusion therapy and chelation therapy are not available or affordable, the ASH guideline panel suggests hydroxyurea therapy with at least 20 mg/kg per day at a fixed dose or the maximum tolerated dose.
- For children or adults with SCD and acute neurological deficits including TIA, the ASH guideline panel suggests exchange transfusion vs simple transfusion, when exchange transfusion is not available within 2 hours of presentation for medical care and hemoglobin is \geq 8.5 g/dL, simple transfusion can be performed to avoid delays in treatment while a manual exchange transfusion or an automated apheresis is planned.
- For adults and children with SCD, moyamoya syndrome, and a history of stroke or TIA, the ASH guideline panel suggests evaluation for revascularization surgery in addition to regular blood transfusion.
- For all patients, the administration of tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) should not delay prompt simple or exchange blood transfusion therapy for adults with SCD presenting with symptoms of acute ischemic stroke who are being evaluated for IV tPA (age \geq 18 years, no hemorrhage on computed tomography [CT] scan, within 4.5 hours of onset of symptoms/signs and without contraindications for thrombolysis).

The ASH also published guidelines for transfusion support for patients with SCD which includes the following suggestions regarding transfusion and transfusion modalities in patients with SCD who require chronic therapy (Chou et al., 2020):

- The use of automated red cell exchange (RCE) over simple transfusion or manual RCE:
 - In patients with SCD (all genotypes) receiving chronic transfusions.
 - In patients with SCD and severe acute chest syndrome.
 - In patients with SCD and moderate acute chest syndrome.
- Either RCE with isovolemic hemodilution (IHD-RCE) or conventional RCE in patients with SCD (all genotypes) receiving chronic transfusions.
- Either prophylactic transfusion at regular intervals or standard care (transfusion when clinically indicated for a complication or hemoglobin lower than baseline) for pregnant patients with SCD (all genotypes).
- Preoperative transfusion over no preoperative transfusion in patients with SCD undergoing surgeries requiring general anesthesia and lasting more than 1 hour.
- Iron overload screening by MRI (MRI; R2, T2*, or R2*) for liver iron content every 1 to 2 years compared with serial monitoring of ferritin levels alone in patients with SCD (all genotypes) receiving chronic transfusion therapy.
- Not adding routine iron overload screening by T2* MRI for cardiac iron content compared with serial monitoring of ferritin levels alone in patients with SCD (all genotypes) receiving chronic transfusion therapy.

European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS)

Kronenberg et al. (2022) provided a consensus statement by the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS) (2022) on lipoprotein(a) [Lp(a)] to provide updated evidence and clinical guidance for the role of Lp(a) in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) and aortic valve stenosis. The EAS state findings do not support Lp(a) as a risk factor for venous thrombotic events and impaired fibrinolysis. Very low Lp(a) levels may be associated with increased risk of diabetes mellitus meriting further study. Lp(a) has pro-inflammatory and pro-atherosclerotic properties, which may partly

relate to the oxidized phospholipids carried by Lp(a). This panel recommends testing Lp(a) concentration at least once in adults; cascade testing has potential value in familial hypercholesterolemia, or with family or personal history of (very) high Lp(a) or premature ASCVD. Without specific Lp(a)-lowering therapies, early intensive risk factor management is recommended, targeted according to global cardiovascular risk and Lp(a) level. Lipoprotein apheresis is an option for very high Lp(a) with progressive cardiovascular disease despite optimal management of risk factors. The authors concluded this statement reinforces evidence for Lp(a) as a causal risk factor for cardiovascular outcomes. Trials of specific Lp(a)-lowering treatments are needed to confirm clinical benefit for cardiovascular disease and aortic valve stenosis.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)

Guidelines on acute myeloid leukemia indicate that leukapheresis is not recommended in the routine management of patients with a high white blood cell count in acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) because of the difference in leukemia biology. However, in life threatening cases with leukostasis that is not responsive to other modalities, leukapheresis can be considered with caution (2024).

The NCCN Clinical Practice Guideline for Multiple Myeloma indicates that plasmapheresis should be used as an adjunctive therapy for symptomatic hyperviscosity. The Guideline also notes that mechanical removal of free light chains (FLCs) with high cutoff dialysis filters or plasmapheresis may have a limited role (2024).

National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS)

The Neuromyelitis Optica (NMO) information page states that relapses and attacks of NMO (also known as Devic Syndrome) are often treated with corticosteroids and plasma exchange (National Institutes of Health, 2023).

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)

In the clinical pathway for managing familial hypercholesterolemia (FH), NICE (2021) made the following recommendations regarding clinical indications for low density lipoprotein (LDL) apheresis:

- Lipid-modifying drug therapy be considered before LDL apheresis in patients under 16 years of age;
- LDL apheresis should be considered for adults and children/young people with homozygous FH depending on factors such as the person's response to lipid-modifying drug therapy and the presence of coronary artery disease;
- LDL apheresis should be considered for people with heterozygous FH in exceptional circumstances, such as when there is progressive, symptomatic heart disease that does not respond to maximal tolerated lipid-modifying drug therapy and optimal medical and surgical therapy.

NICE clinical guideline on the diagnosis and management of multiple myeloma recommends that facilities treating people with myeloma provide regional access through their network to therapeutic apheresis (2018).

NICE also recommended that Extracorporeal Photopheresis should not be used outside the context of research for Crohn's disease for both adults and children (2009).

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

This section is to be used for informational purposes only. FDA approval alone is not a basis for coverage.

Devices for therapeutic apheresis are regulated by the FDA as Class II or III devices depending on whether they rely on centrifugation or filtration of blood. Devices that separate blood cells from plasma by filtration are Class III devices that are subject to the most extensive regulations enforced by the FDA.

For additional information, search product code LKN (separator, automated, blood cell and plasma, therapeutic) at the following website: <http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMN/pmn.cfm>. (Accessed July 30, 2024)

The FDA has granted premarket approval (PMA) for one Extracorporeal Photopheresis (ECP) device, the Therakos CELLEX Photopheresis Kit (Therakos, Inc., Exton, PA, USA). This system is currently only approved for the palliative treatment of skin manifestations resulting from cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL), which are unresponsive to other treatments. Therakos now markets a second generation of the system under the name UVAR XTS. The UVAR XTS system utilizes the photoactive drug, UVADEX (8-methoxsalen), also manufactured by Therakos and is approved by FDA for the same indication. Additional information is available at the following website:

https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma.cfm?start_search=1&applicant=&tradename=&productcode=&pmanumber=P860003&supplementnumber=&advisorycommittee=&docketnumber=&supplementtype=&expeditedrevi

UVADEX was granted Orphan Drug Status “for use in conjunction with the UVAR™ XTS™ or THERAKOS™ CELLEX™ Photopheresis Kit to treat diffuse systemic sclerosis” in June 1993 and “for use in conjunction with the UVAR photopheresis system to treat graft versus host disease (GVHD)” in October 1998. In addition, UVADEX was granted Orphan Drug Status “for the prevention of acute rejection of cardiac allografts” in May 1994. Additional information is available at the following website: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2013/020969s006lbl.pdf. (Accessed July 30, 2024)

References

- Albahra S, Yates SG, Joseph D, et al. Role of plasma exchange in stiff person syndrome. *Transfus Apher Sci*. 2019 Jun;58(3):310-312.
- Basturk A, Sayin S, Erkurt MA, et al. A multicenter retrospective analysis on therapeutic plasma exchange in immune thrombocytopenic purpura. *Transfus Apher Sci*. 2021 Oct;60(5):103246.
- Bian RT, Wang ZT, Li WY. Immunoabsorption treatment for dilated cardiomyopathy: A PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2021 Jul 2;100(26):e26475.
- Campise M, Favi E, Messa P. Clinical outcomes of prophylactic and therapeutic plasmapheresis in adult deceased-donor kidney transplant recipients with primary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. *Exp Clin Transplant*. 2019 Aug;17(4):461-469.
- Chen X, Wang Y, Dong P, et al. Efficacy of combined desensitization therapy based on protein A immunoabsorption on anti-human leukocyte antigen antibodies in sensitized kidney transplant recipients: a retrospective study. *Cureus*. 2022 Sep 1;14(9):e28661.
- Chou ST, Alsawas M, Fasano RM, et al. American Society of Hematology 2020 guidelines for sickle cell disease: transfusion support. *Blood Adv* (2020) 4 (2): 327–355.
- Connelly-Smith L, Alquist CR, Aqui NA, et al. Guidelines on the use of therapeutic apheresis in clinical practice – Evidence-based approach from the Writing Committee of the American Society for Apheresis: The ninth special issue. *J Clin Apher*. 2023 Apr;38(2):77-278.
- Cortese I, Chaudhry V, So YT, et al. Evidence-based guideline update: plasmapheresis in neurologic disorders: report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. 2011 Jan 18;76(3):294-300.
- DeBaun MR, Jordan LC, King AA, et al. American Society of Hematology 2020 guidelines for sickle cell disease: prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of cerebrovascular disease in children and adults. *Blood Adv* (2020) 4 (8): 1554–1588.
- Dipchand AI, Pollock BarZiv SM, Manlhiot C, et al. Equivalent outcomes for pediatric heart transplantation recipients: ABO-blood group incompatible versus ABO-compatible. *Am J Transplant*. 2010 Feb;10(2):389-97.
- Domènech E, Panés J, Hinojosa J, et al. Addition of granulocyte/monocyte apheresis to oral prednisone for steroid-dependent ulcerative colitis: A randomized multicentre clinical trial. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2018 May 25;12(6):687-694.
- Eberhardson M, Karlén P, Linton L, et al. Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of CCR9-targeted leukapheresis treatment of ulcerative colitis patients. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2017 May 1;11(5):534-542.
- ECRI Institute Health Technology Assessment. Low-density lipoprotein apheresis for treating hyperlipidemia in patients with nephrotic syndrome. March 2020.
- Estcourt LJ, Fortin PM, Hopewell S, et al. Regular long-term red blood cell transfusions for managing chronic chest complications in sickle cell disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;(5):CD008360; updated 2019.
- Fukuchi T, Kawashima K, Koga H, et al. Induction of mucosal healing by intensive granulocyte/monocyte adsorptive apheresis (GMA) without use of corticosteroids in patients with ulcerative colitis: long-term remission maintenance after induction by GMA and efficacy of GMA re-treatment upon relapse. *J Clin Biochem Nutr*. 2022 Mar;70(2):197-204.
- Furst D, Felson D, Thoren G, et al. Immunoabsorption for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: final results of a randomized trial. *Prosorba Trial Investigators*. *Ther Apher*. 2000 Oct;4(5):363-73. Erratum in: *Ther Apher* 2002 Feb;6(1):99.
- Garvey MA, Snider LA, Leitman SF, et al. Treatment of Sydenham’s chorea with intravenous immunoglobulin, plasma exchange, or prednisone. *J Child Neurol*. 2005 May;20(5):424-9.

Gupta D., Bachegowda L., Phadke G., et al. Role of plasmapheresis in the management of myeloma kidney: a systematic review. *Hemodial Int* 2010; 14: pp. 355-363.

Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ Clin Res Ed* 2008; 336:924–926.

Han F, Zhang J, Guo Q, et al. Successful treatment of toxic epidermal necrolysis using plasmapheresis: A prospective observational study. *J Crit Care.* 2017 Dec;42:65-68.

Hayes Inc. Evidence Analysis Research Brief. Plasmapheresis for treatment of autoimmune retinopathy. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; June 2024.

Hequet O, Boisson C, Joly P, et al. Priming with red blood cells allows red blood cell exchange for sickle cell disease in low-weight children. *Front Med (Lausanne).* 2021 Dec 22;8:743483.

Hughes RA, Wijidicks EF, Barohn R, et al; Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Practice parameter: immunotherapy for Guillain-Barré syndrome: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology.* 2003 Sep 23;61(6):736-40.

Hulbert ML, Scothorn DJ, Panepinto JA, et al. Exchange blood transfusion compared with simple transfusion for first overt stroke is associated with a lower risk of subsequent stroke: a retrospective cohort study of 137 children with sickle cell anemia. *J Pediatr.* 2006 Nov;149(5):710-2.

Iizuka M, Etou T, Sagara S. Efficacy of cytapheresis in patients with ulcerative colitis showing insufficient or lost response to biologic therapy. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2022 Sep 14;28(34):4959-4972. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i34.4959. PMID: 36160647; PMCID: PMC9494931.

Issitt R, Booth J, Crook R, et al. Intraoperative anti-A/B immunoadsorption is associated with significantly reduced blood product utilization with similar outcomes in pediatric ABO-incompatible heart transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2021 Nov;40(11):1433-1442.

Issitt RW, Crook RM, Cross NT, et al. Incompatible ABO-plasma exchange and its impact on patient selection in paediatric cardiac transplantation. *Perfusion.* 2012 Nov;27(6):480-5.

Kalpaki Y, Hacibekiroglu T, Darcin T, et al. Efficacy and safety of plasmapheresis in symptomatic hyperviscosity and cast nephropathy: A Multicenter Experience in Turkey. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2021 Oct;60(5):103244.

Keith PD, Wells AH, Hodges J, et al. The therapeutic efficacy of adjunct therapeutic plasma exchange for septic shock with multiple organ failure: a single-center experience. *Crit Care.* 2020 Aug 24;24(1):518.

Khan TZ, Hsu LY, Arai AE, et al. Apheresis as novel treatment for refractory angina with raised lipoprotein(a): a randomized controlled cross-over trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2017 May 21;38(20):1561-1569.

Kiss S, Németh D, Hegyi P, et al. Alizadeh H. Granulocyte and monocyte apheresis as an adjunctive therapy to induce and maintain clinical remission in ulcerative colitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open.* 2021 May 19;11(5):e042374.

Kitagaichi M, Kusaoi M, Tsukahara T, et al. Safety and efficacy of the leukocytapheresis procedure in eighty-five patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2016 Oct;55(2):225-232.

Kronenberg F, Mora S, Stroes ESG, et al. Lipoprotein(a) in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and aortic stenosis: a European Atherosclerosis Society consensus statement. *Eur Heart J.* 2022 Oct 14;43(39):3925-3946.

Kruse RL, Albayda J, Vozniak SO, et al. Therapeutic plasma exchange for the treatment of refractory necrotizing autoimmune myopathy. *J Clin Apher.* 2022 Jun;37(3):253-262.

Kuklin V, Sovershaev M, Bjerner J, et al. Influence of therapeutic plasma exchange treatment on short-term mortality of critically ill adult patients with sepsis-induced organ dysfunction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care.* 2024 Jan 4;28(1):12.

Luirink IK, Hutten BA, Greber-Platzer S, et al. Practice of lipoprotein apheresis and short-term efficacy in children with homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia: Data from an international registry. *Atherosclerosis.* 2020 Apr;299:24-31.

Martí-Carvajal AJ, Martí-Amarista CE. Interventions for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with sickle cell disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2020, Issue 6. Art. No.: CD010985.

Martin LK, Werth VP, Villaneuva EV, et al. A systematic review of randomized controlled trials for pemphigus vulgaris and pemphigus foliaceus. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2011 May;64(5):903-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2010.04.039. Epub 2011 Feb 25. PMID: 21353333; PMCID: PMC7382895.

Meng HL, Jin XB, Li XT, et al. Impact of human leukocyte antigen matching and recipients' panel reactive antibodies on two-year outcome in presensitized renal allograft recipients. *Chin Med J (Engl).* 2009 Feb 20;122(4):420-6.

Miao J, Krisanapan P, Tangpanithandee S, et al. Efficacy of therapeutic apheresis for cryoglobulinemic vasculitis patients with renal involvement: a systematic review. *Blood Purif.* 2024;53(1):1-9.

Montgomery RA, Lonze BE, Jackson AM. Using donor exchange paradigms with desensitization to enhance transplant rates among highly sensitized patients. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant.* 2011b Aug;16(4):439-43.

Montgomery RA, Lonze BE, King KE, et al. Desensitization in HLA-incompatible kidney recipients and survival. *N Engl J Med.* 2011a Jul 28;365(4):318-26.

Mori M, Imagawa T, Katakura S, et al. Efficacy of plasma exchange therapy for Kawasaki disease intractable to intravenous gamma-globulin. *Mod Rheumatol.* 2004;14(1):43-7.

Mukherjee S, Sahu A, Ray GK, et al. Comparative evaluation of efficacy and safety of automated versus manual red cell exchange in sickle cell disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Vox Sang.* 2022 Aug;117(8):989-1000.

Naphattalung Y, Chuenkongkaew WL, Chirapapaisan N, et al. Plasma exchange for acute optic neuritis in neuromyelitis optica or neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder: a systematic review. *Ann Med.* 2023 Dec;55(1):2227422.

National Cancer Institute. NCI Dictionary of Cancer Terms. 21.09d; September 27, 2021: Bethesda, MD.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Acute Myeloid Leukemia. v3.2024. May 17, 2024.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Multiple Myeloma. v4.2024. April 26, 2024.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Extracorporeal Photopheresis for Crohn's Disease. Interventional procedures guidance [IPG288]. February 2009. Accessed August 28, 2024.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Managing familial hypercholesterolaemia. April 2021. Accessed August 28, 2024.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Myeloma: diagnosis and management. Clinical guideline [NG35]. October 2018. Accessed August 28, 2024.

National Institutes of Health (NIH), National Institute for Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS). Neuromyelitis Optica Information Page. Bethesda, MD: NIH; updated April 4, 2023. Accessed August 28, 2024.

Ning Y, Yang G, Sun Y, et al. Efficiency of therapeutic plasma-exchange in acute interstitial lung disease, associated with polymyositis/dermatomyositis resistant to glucocorticoids and immunosuppressive drugs: a retrospective study. *Front Med (Lausanne).* 2019 Nov 5;6:239.

Ohio Administrative Code/5160/Chapter 5160-1-01. Medicaid medical necessity: definitions and principles. Available at: <https://codes.ohio.gov/ohio-administrative-code/rule-5160-1-01>. Accessed September 16, 2024.

Porretti L, Marangoni F, Cofrancesco E, et al. Immunoglobulin classes and subclasses of platelet antibodies in a case of post-transfusion purpura. *Vox Sang.* 1992;63(4):276-81.

Premuzic V, Batinic J, Roncevic P, et al. Role of plasmapheresis in the management of acute kidney injury in patients with multiple myeloma: Should we abandon it? *Ther Apher Dial.* 2018 Feb;22(1):79-86.

Prus K, Weidner K, Alquist C. Therapeutic plasma exchange in adolescent and adult patients with autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcal infections. *J Clin Apher.* 2022 Dec;37(6):597-599. doi: 10.1002/jca.22023. Epub 2022 Oct 17. PMID: 36251457; PMCID: PMC10092170.

Pupillo, J. PANDAS/PANS treatments, awareness evolve, but some experts skeptical. American Academy of Pediatrics. 28 Mar, 2017. Available at: <https://publications.aap.org/aapnews/news/12434/PANDAS-PANS-treatments-awareness-evolve-but-some?autologincheck=redirected>. Accessed on July 30, 2024.

Roth S. Effects of ProSORBA column apheresis in patients with chronic refractory rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol.* 2004 Nov;31(11):2131-5.

Sahin GK, Gulen M, Acehan S, et al. Acute pancreatitis due to hypertriglyceridemia: Plasmapheresis versus medical treatment. *Turk J Emerg Med.* 2023 Jan 9;23(2):111-118.

Sakai M, Hayashi K, Ito T, et al. Sustained effect of leukocytapheresis/granulocytapheresis versus anti-human TNF- α monoclonal antibody on ulcerative colitis: a 2-year retrospective study. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2023 Apr 21;102(16):e33368.

Schwartz J, Padmanabhan A, Aqui N, et al. Guidelines on the use of therapeutic apheresis in clinical practice - evidence-based approach from the writing committee of the American Society for Apheresis: The Seventh Special Issue. *J Clin Apher.* 2016 Jun;31(3):149-62.

Sigra S, Hesselmark E, Bejerot S. Treatment of PANDAS and PANS: a systematic review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2018 Mar; 86:51-65.

Turner JM, Kaplan JB, Cohen HW, et al. Exchange versus simple transfusion for acute chest syndrome in sickle cell anemia adults. *Transfusion* 2009; 49:863–868.

Velasquez MP, Mariscalco MM, Goldstein SL, et al. Erythrocytapheresis in children with sickle cell disease and acute chest syndrome. *Pediatric Blood Cancer* 2009; 53:1060–1063.

Venkateswaran L, Teruya J, Bustillos C, et al. Red cell exchange does not appear to increase the rate of allo- and auto-immunization in chronically transfused children with sickle cell disease. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2011 Aug;57(2):294-6.

Wade J, Yee MEM, Easley KA, et al. Procedural adverse events in pediatric patients with sickle cell disease undergoing chronic automated red cell exchange. *Transfusion.* 2022 Mar;62(3):584-593.

Wahl SK, Garcia A, Hagar W, et al. Lower alloimmunization rates in pediatric sickle cell patients on chronic Erythrocytapheresis compared to chronic simple transfusions. *Transfusion.* 2012 Dec;52(12):2671-6.

Yamada Y, Harada M, Hara Y, et al. Efficacy of plasma exchange for antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated systemic vasculitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2021 Jan 14;23(1):28.

Yan LH, Hu XH, Chen RX, et al. Plasmapheresis compared with conventional treatment for hypertriglyceridemia-induced acute pancreatitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Apher.* 2023 Feb;38(1):4-15.

Yokoyama Y, Matsuoka K, Kobayashi T, et al. A large-scale, prospective, observational study of leukocytapheresis for ulcerative colitis: treatment outcomes of 847 patients in clinical practice. *J Crohns Colitis.* 2014 Sep;8(9):981-91.

Yu X, Gan L, Wang Z, et al. Chemotherapy with or without plasmapheresis in acute renal failure due to multiple myeloma: a meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2015 May;53(5):391-7.

Yuan XP, Wang CX, Gao W, et al. Kidney transplant in highly sensitized patients after desensitization with plasmapheresis and low-dose intravenous immunoglobulin. *Exp Clin Transplant.* 2010 Jun;8(2):130-5.

Zimmermann S, Sekula P, Venhoff M, et al. Systemic immunomodulating therapies for Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2017 Jun 1;153(6):514-522.

Policy History/Revision Information

| Date | Summary of Changes |
|------------|--|
| 01/01/2025 | Supporting Information <ul style="list-style-type: none">Updated <i>Description of Services</i>, <i>Clinical Evidence</i>, and <i>References</i> sections to reflect the most current informationRemoved <i>Definitions</i> sectionArchived previous policy version CS004OH.A |

Instructions for Use

This Medical Policy provides assistance in interpreting UnitedHealthcare standard benefit plans. When deciding coverage, the federal, state (Ohio Administrative Code [OAC]) or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage must be referenced as the terms of the federal, state (OAC) or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage may differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state (OAC) or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage govern. Before using this policy, please check the federal, state (OAC) or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage. UnitedHealthcare reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice.

UnitedHealthcare uses InterQual® for the primary medical/surgical criteria, and the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) for substance use, in administering health benefits. If InterQual® does not have applicable criteria, UnitedHealthcare may also use UnitedHealthcare Medical Policies, Coverage Determination Guidelines, and/or Utilization Review Guidelines that have been approved by the Ohio Department for Medicaid Services. The UnitedHealthcare Medical Policies, Coverage Determination Guidelines, and Utilization Review Guidelines are intended to be used in connection with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.